

Unclassified

ENV/JM/MONO(2014)23

Organisation de Coopération et de Développement Économiques
Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development

11-Jul-2014

English - Or. English

ENVIRONMENT DIRECTORATE
JOINT MEETING OF THE CHEMICALS COMMITTEE AND
THE WORKING PARTY ON CHEMICALS, PESTICIDES AND BIOTECHNOLOGY

NEW SCOPING DOCUMENT ON IN VITRO AND EX VIVO ASSAYS FOR THE IDENTIFICATION
OF MODULATORS OF THYROID HORMONE SIGNALLING

Series on Testing and Assessment

No. 207

JT03360400

Complete document available on OLIS in its original format

This document and any map included herein are without prejudice to the status of or sovereignty over any territory, to the delimitation of international frontiers and boundaries and to the name of any territory, city or area.



ENV/JM/MONO(2014)23
Unclassified

English - Or. English

OECD Environment, Health and Safety Publications

Series on Testing and Assessment

No. 207

NEW SCOPING DOCUMENT ON *IN VITRO* AND *EX VIVO* ASSAYS FOR THE IDENTIFICATION OF MODULATORS OF THYROID HORMONE SIGNALLING

IOMC

INTER-ORGANIZATION PROGRAMME FOR THE SOUND MANAGEMENT OF CHEMICALS

A cooperative agreement among **FAO, ILO, UNDP, UNEP, UNIDO, UNITAR, WHO, World Bank and OECD**

Environment Directorate
ORGANISATION FOR ECONOMIC CO-OPERATION AND DEVELOPMENT
Paris 2014

About the OECD

The Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD) is an intergovernmental organisation in which representatives of 34 industrialised countries in North and South America, Europe and the Asia and Pacific region, as well as the European Commission, meet to co-ordinate and harmonise policies, discuss issues of mutual concern, and work together to respond to international problems. Most of the OECD's work is carried out by more than 200 specialised committees and working groups composed of member country delegates. Observers from several countries with special status at the OECD, and from interested international organisations, attend many of the OECD's workshops and other meetings. Committees and working groups are served by the OECD Secretariat, located in Paris, France, which is organised into directorates and divisions.

The Environment, Health and Safety Division publishes free-of-charge documents in eleven different series: **Testing and Assessment; Good Laboratory Practice and Compliance Monitoring; Pesticides; Biocides; Risk Management; Harmonisation of Regulatory Oversight in Biotechnology; Safety of Novel Foods and Feeds; Chemical Accidents; Pollutant Release and Transfer Registers; Emission Scenario Documents; and Safety of Manufactured Nanomaterials.** More information about the Environment, Health and Safety Programme and EHS publications is available on the OECD's World Wide Web site (<http://www.oecd.org/chemicalsafety/>).

This publication was developed in the IOMC context. The contents do not necessarily reflect the views or stated policies of individual IOMC Participating Organisations.

The Inter-Organisation Programme for the Sound Management of Chemicals (IOMC) was established in 1995 following recommendations made by the 1992 UN Conference on Environment and Development to strengthen co-operation and increase international co-ordination in the field of chemical safety. The Participating Organisations are FAO, ILO, UNDP, UNEP, UNIDO, UNITAR, WHO, World Bank and OECD. The purpose of the IOMC is to promote co-ordination of the policies and activities pursued by the Participating Organisations, jointly or separately, to achieve the sound management of chemicals in relation to human health and the environment.

This publication is available electronically, at no charge.

Also published in the Series on Testing and Assessment [link](#)

**For this and many other Environment,
Health and Safety publications, consult the OECD's
World Wide Web site (www.oecd.org/chemicalsafety/)**

or contact:

**OECD Environment Directorate,
Environment, Health and Safety Division
2 rue André-Pascal
75775 Paris Cedex 16
France**

Fax: (33-1) 44 30 61 80

E-mail: ehscont@oecd.org

© OECD 2014

Applications for permission to reproduce or translate all or part of this material should be made to: Head of Publications Service, RIGHTS@oecd.org, OECD, 2 rue André-Pascal, 75775 Paris Cedex 16, France

FOREWORD

This new scoping document aims at bringing forward relevant *in vitro* and *ex vivo* thyroid assays to the attention of OECD member countries, to provide recommendations for their development/use, and also, to identify aspects/blocks of the thyroid signalling pathways that are not covered and would require further development of thyroid assays.

The scoping document was prepared by a group of experts coming from the OECD Validation Management Group on Non-Animal Testing and the OECD Expert Group on Amphibian Testing, all working under the auspices of the OECD Test Guidelines Programme. The present document was approved by the Working Group of the National Coordinators of the Test Guidelines Programme (WNT) at their 26th meeting in April 2014. The Joint Meeting of the Chemicals Committee and the Working Party on Chemicals, Pesticides and Biotechnology agreed to its declassification on 7th July, 2014.

This document is published under the responsibility of the Joint Meeting of the Chemicals Committee and the Working Party on Chemicals, Pesticides and Biotechnology.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

ACRONYMS, ABBREVIATIONS AND DEFINITIONS	8
EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	12
BACKGROUND	14
PART ONE:	16
Introduction	17
EVALUATION OF BLOCK #1 ASSAYS - CENTRAL REGULATION (HPT)	26
Hypothalamic Thyrotropin-Releasing Hormone (TRH) Production/Release	27
Pituitary - Thyrotropin-Releasing Hormone (TRH) Receptor Activation Assay	29
TSH Receptor Activation Assay	30
EVALUATION OF BLOCK #2 ASSAYS - THYROID HORMONE SYNTHESIS.....	31
Thyroperoxidase (TPO) Function Assays	32
Sodium Iodide Symporter (NIS) Activity Assays	36
EVALUATION OF BLOCK #3 ASSAYS – BINDING AND TRANSPORT IN SERUM	39
Thyroid Hormone Binding Proteins (TTR and TBG)	40
EVALUATION OF BLOCK #4 ASSAYS - METABOLISM AND EXCRETION	42
Deiodination Inhibition and Upregulation.....	44
EVALUATION OF BLOCK #5 ASSAYS - LOCAL CELLULAR CONCENTRATIONS	48
Transmembrane Thyroid Hormone Transporter Assays	49
EVALUATION OF BLOCK #6 ASSAYS - CELLULAR RESPONSES	52
Binding to TR Ligand Binding Domain (LBD)	53
Effects of TR Transactivation	56
EVALUATION OF BLOCK #7 ASSAYS - RELEVANT SHORT-TERM ASSAYS INTEGRATING MULTIPLE MOAS	63
Zebrafish Eleutheroembryo Thyroid Assay	64
Thyroid Gland Explant Culture.....	66
EVALUATION OF BLOCK #8 ASSAYS - INTEGRATIVE CELLULAR ASSAYS.....	68
T-Screen - TR Induced Proliferation Assay	69
Human Neural Progenitor Cell Proliferation/Differentiation/Migration.....	70
OVERALL CONCLUSIONS	72
REFERENCES	75

ACRONYMS, ABBREVIATIONS AND DEFINITIONS

ADME	Absorption, distribution, metabolism and excretion
AhR	aryl hydrocarbon receptor
AMA	Amphibian Metamorphosis Assay (OECD TG 231)
AOP	adverse outcome pathway AOP is a sequence of events from the exposure of an individual or population to a chemical substance through a final adverse (toxic) effect at the individual level (for human health) or population level (for ecotoxicological endpoints).
AR	androgen receptor
Assay	An experimental system that can be used to obtain a range of information from chemical properties through the adverse effects of a substance. The terms 'assay' and 'test method' may be used interchangeably for wildlife as well as for mammalian studies (OECD, 2005)
ATPase	adenosine triphosphatase
BDE	brominated diphenyl ether
BDE-47	2,2',4,4'-tetrabromodiphenylether
BIAC	Business and Industry Advisory Committee
BPA	bisphenol A
cAMP	cyclic adenosine monophosphate
CAR	constitutive androstane receptor
CAT	chloramphenicol acetyltransferase
CCD	charged couple device
CDCA	chenodeoxycholic acid
CERI	Chemicals Evaluation Research Institute, Japan
CF	Conceptual Framework
CNS	central nervous system
CoR	co-repressors
CCV	coefficient of variation
CYP	cytochrome P450
D1	Type I deiodinase
D2	Type II deiodinase
D3	Type III deiodinase
DEX	dexamethasone
DHT	dihydrotestosterone
DNA	Deoxyribonucleic acid
DRP	detailed review paper
DUOX 2	dual oxidase 2
EAS	Endocrine Active Substances
ED	Endocrine Disruptor
EDC	endocrine disrupting chemical
EDSP	Endocrine Disruptor Screening Program

EDTA	Endocrine Disrupter Testing and Assessment
EDTA AG	Endocrine Disruption Testing and Assessment Advisory Group
ER	estrogen receptor
α GSU	glycoprotein-hormone α -subunit
GD	Guidance Document
GEN	Genistein
GFP	Green Fluorescent Protein
GH	growth hormone
GH3	pituitary tumour-cell line
GFP	Green Fluorescent Protein
HBCD	Hexabromocyclododecane
hNPC	human neural progenitor cell
HPT	hypothalamo-pituitary-thyroidal
HPT axis	Hypothalamic/pituitary/thyroid axis
HTP	High Throughput
HRE	Hormone Response Element
HPLC	High Performance or High Pressure Liquid Chromatography
Iodothyronines	Iodinated derivatives of thyronine (this also includes metabolites)
Indicators of Hormonal activity	These are endpoints in an <i>in vivo</i> assay which show whether or not the endocrine system has been stimulated, and often provide information of mechanistic value. In other words, they are not apical endpoints (see definition above). It is possible in some cases for indicators of hormonal activity to respond to a test chemical while apical endpoints do not respond, while in other cases, both types of endpoint give a response or only apical endpoints respond.
<i>In vivo</i> assay	Assay where a whole live animal is treated. This may be a mammalian assay where individual animals are treated or a wildlife assay where a population of animals is treated
<i>In vitro</i> assay	Assay where whole live animals are not used. Systems used may include cell lines or subcellular preparations from untreated animals
IOP	iopanoate
IRD	Inner-ring deiodinases
K ⁺	potassium ion
LBD	ligand-binding domain
MCT	monocarboxylate transporter
MCT8	monocarboxylate transporter 8
MFA	meclofenamic acid
MIT	mono-iodothyronine
MMI	methimazole
MOA	Mode of action: The sequence of key events and cellular and biochemical events (measurable parameters), starting with the interaction of an agent with the target cell, through functional and anatomical changes, resulting in cancer or other

adverse health effects.

Na ⁺	sodium ion
NCoR	nuclear receptor corepressor
NIH	National Institutes of Health
NIS	sodium-iodide symporter
NOEC	No-Observed-Effect-Concentration
NR	nuclear receptor
NRs	nuclear receptors
OATP	organic ion transport proteins
OECD	Organization for Economic Cooperative Development
OECD TG	OECD Test Guideline
ORD	Outer-ring deiodinases
P450	cytochrome P450
PACAP	pituitary adenylate cyclase-activating peptide
PAH	polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbon
PBB	polybrominated biphenyl
PBDE	Polybrominated Diphenyl Ether
PBPK	physiologically based pharmacokinetic
PCB	polychlorinated biphenyl
PCDD	polychlorinated dibenzo- <i>p</i> -dioxin
PCDF	polychlorinated dibenzofuran
PFOS	perfluorooctane sulfonate
PKA	phosphokinase A
PKC	protein kinase C
PLC	phospholipase C
PPAR	peroxisome proliferator activated receptor
PP assay	Peripubertal assay (male or female)
PRL	prolactin
PTU	propylthiouracil
PVN	paravocellular nucleus
PXR	pregnane X receptor
qPCR	real-time polymerase chain reaction
(Q)SAR	(Quantitative) Structure Activity Relationship
RAR	retinoic acid receptor
REACH	Registration, Evaluation, Authorisation & Restriction of CHemicals
RIA	radioimmunoassay
RIC20	relative inhibitory concentration
RN	raphe nucleus
RPA	Ribonuclease protection assay
RT-PCR	Real-time Polymerase Chain Reaction
RXR	retinoid X receptor
SMRT	silencing mediator for retinoid and thyroid hormone receptors
SON	supraoptic nucleus
SPSF	Standard Project Submission Form
SULT	Sulphotransferase

T3	thyroid hormone, triiodothyronine
T4	thyroid hormone, thyroxine
TBBPA	3,3',5,5'-tetrabromobisphenol A
TBG	Thyroid hormone-binding globulin
TBTO	tributyltin oxide
TCBPA	3,3',5,5'-tetrachlorobisphenol A
TCDD	tetrachlorodibenzo- <i>p</i> -dioxin
TD	thyroid disruptor
TDC	thyroid disrupting compound
Tg	Thyroglobulin
TG	test guideline
TH	thyroid hormone
TIF2	transcriptional intermediary factor
TMBPA	tetramethylbisphenol A
TPO	thyroid peroxidase
TR	thyroid hormone receptor
TRAP	tartrate-resistant acid phosphatase
TRE	thyroid response element
TRH	thyrotropin releasing hormone
TRHR	thyrotropin-releasing hormone receptor
TRIAC	T3 signaling agonist
TSH	thyrotropin stimulating hormone
TTF1	transcription termination factor 1
TTF2	transcription termination factor 2
TTR	transthyretin
Ucn	Urocortin
UT assay	Uterotrophic bioassay
VMG	Validation Management Group
VMG-NA	OECD Validation Management Group Non Animal
VTG	vitellogenin
WHO	World Health Organization
WNT	OECD Working Group of National Coordinators of the Test Guidelines Programme

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Concern over the potential for environmental chemicals to perturb hormone systems has led to the development and implementation of a number of OECD Test Guidelines for the screening and testing of endocrine disrupting chemicals. Although a number of methodologies have been developed to interrogate reproductive steroids, incorporation of test systems to evaluate disruptors of thyroid hormone signalling pathways has been limited, owing largely to the complexity of the thyroid system. A thyroid scoping effort group (TSEG) comprised of thyroid experts in the VMG NA, VMG-Eco, and the Molecular Screening Group's *in vitro* Thyroid subgroup was established in 2012, to review the current state of the science on *in vitro/ex vivo* assays of thyroid disruption. The charge to the TSEG was to bring relevant *in vitro* thyroid assays to the attention of OECD member countries and provide recommendations for their development/use. In addition, the expert group was to identify *in vitro* thyroid assays that would provide useful information or fill a gap(s) but would require research and significant optimization prior to use, and determine existence of modes of action within the thyroid system that would not be identified by any assay. This document identifies *in vitro* or *ex vivo* assays that could provide screening level (or higher) information on whether a chemical has the potential to modulate thyroid hormone signalling.

Several key biological mechanisms of thyroid system disruption were reviewed for their 'state of readiness as candidates for validation procedures especially with respect to assay reliability, sensitivity, efficiency, and technical criteria, parameters consistent with assay validation in the OECD Test Guideline framework. In the course of the review it was evident that most assays available to probe thyroid disruption had very limited information on the majority of these parameters. Therefore, the primary initial high priority considerations for assay evaluation included four main criteria – biological plausibility, extrapolation to humans or broad applicability to across species, availability of resources, and availability of potential reference test chemicals as these were the criteria that remained common across all assays assessed.

Eighteen candidate assay systems were reviewed and categorized for their 'level of readiness' for validation in the short-(A), intermediate (B), or long-term (C). In addition to the level of readiness, assays were further scrutinized for the toxicological relevance of the target site and, chemical space interrogated by the assay. Three assays were identified as the top candidates for consideration for prevalidation in the short term (Category 'A' level of readiness) including assays for the thyroid hormone synthesis enzyme thyroperoxidase (TPO), and the serum binding/delivery proteins, transthyretin (TTR) and thyroxine binding globulin (TBG). A second block of seven assays was identified by the Expert Panel as in being at a 'B' level of readiness and/or as high priority for further research and development. These included assays that probe central regulation at the level of the pituitary and thyroid glands, activation of iodine uptake into the thyroid gland, metabolism of thyroid hormone via deiodination, and development of a combined transporter/TR transactivation assay. In addition, two higher level assays integrating multiple modes of action (MOAs) were flagged as promising as with further development, they could be useful in a screening context. The third set of assays categorized as 'C' were not given much further consideration by the TSEG, as although their potential target sites may be of biological and potential toxicological significance, the current assay development status was insufficient for proper assessment at this time. These include central mechanisms of thyroid hormone regulation, local cellular deiodination, and non-nuclear receptor activation. Assays probing some other aspects of thyroid hormone disruption including hepatic metabolism and activation of dimerization partners for thyroid hormone receptor were not reviewed as these topics have been extensively covered in other OECD VMG activities, but could be addressed in a follow-up document.

Based on this analysis by the TSEG, which has been reviewed and accepted by the VMG-NA, recommendations will be made to the WNT to promote these *in vitro* assays to detect thyroid disruption. Ideally, results of this scoping effort will assist member countries in determining whether the thyroid assays that exist can now be supported for development and optimization/inter-laboratory validation. Finally, where assays probing potential xenobiotic-thyroid target sites were identified as being absent, the research gaps are indicated and can be addressed to ensure that screening programs can confidently cover all avenues of potential thyroid disruption.

BACKGROUND

In the 1990's there was an increasing concern that environmental chemicals could adversely impact human health and ecosystems by disrupting hormone systems. Since then an increasing amount of information has accumulated that suggest a wide-variety of environmental contaminants have potential endocrine disruption activity. The OECD initiated a high-priority activity in 1998 to revise existing and to develop new OECD Test Guidelines (TG) for the screening and testing of endocrine disrupting chemicals. A number of potential assays have been developed into OECD Test Guidelines and others are in development. The screens and tests are contained within the "OECD Conceptual Framework for the Screening and Testing of Endocrine Disrupting Chemicals" (CF) which was modified and updated by the Endocrine Disruptor Testing and Assessment (EDTA) Advisory Group (AG) in 2011 (see Annex 1). While the initial focus was on chemicals that altered estrogen and androgen receptor binding, research has expanded to include estrogenic and androgenic signalling pathways, steroidal metabolism, as well as the multiple pathways involved in thyroid hormone homeostasis and signalling.

The OECD's Validation Management Group for Non-Animal Testing (VMG-NA) discussed, in their annual meeting in December 2010, the need for review of existing *in vitro* and *ex vivo* assays for identification of modulators of thyroid hormone signalling (referred to as thyroid assays in the document) that could be further developed and/or validated for use by member countries in screening chemicals. In this document the term thyroid hormone signalling does not only refer to thyroid hormone receptor binding and activation but more broadly to the highly regulated system of thyroid hormone affected system influenced by thyroid hormone synthesis, metabolism, transport and excretion. In 2006 a Thyroid Detailed Review Paper (DRP) was developed by OECD (document No. 57) as a joint effort between the three Validation Management Groups (Eco, Mammalian and Non-Animal). It was determined at the time that there were no *in vitro* thyroid assays recommended for validation. In December 2010, it was proposed that a thyroid scoping group be established, gathering a subgroup of the VMG NA, the Amphibian expert group of the VMG-Eco and the Molecular Screening Group's *in vitro* Thyroid subgroup, and work together to determine the state of *in vitro* thyroid assays since the 2006 Thyroid DRP.

The overall goal of the scoping effort is to bring relevant *in vitro* thyroid assays to the attention of OECD member countries and provide recommendations for their development/use. Relevant assays should include *in vitro* or *ex vivo* assays which provide screening level (or higher if possible) information on whether a chemical has the potential to modulate thyroid hormone signalling. Ideally the recommendations provided through this scoping effort would assist member countries in determining whether thyroid assays exist that should be supported for development/optimization/inter-laboratory validation.

In addition to identifying *in vitro* (and *ex vivo*) thyroid assays that are ready for validation in the short term (i.e., assays that could be useful from a regulatory stand point and which could be recommended for validation), the objectives of the scoping effort are also (i) to identify *in vitro* thyroid assays that could be developed for potential validation in the longer term (i.e. assays that would provide useful information or fill a gap(s) but would require research and significant optimization in order to determine if they would be suitable for validation) and (ii) to recognize "holes" that are not identified by any existing thyroid assays (i.e. mode of actions within the thyroid system that are not identified by any assay) and the possible reasons for why this endpoint is not covered.

To help in the process the Secretariat developed an initial draft working document that was updated by contribution of an expert group (*the Leads*) of the Thyroid Scoping Effort Group (TSEG).

The current document provides background information on the biological substrates these candidate assays were designed to probe and an analysis of their utility for testing purposes (Part 1). Part 2 of the document provides a more detailed technical description of each assay evaluated in Part 1.

PART ONE:

EVALUATION OF THE LEVEL OF READINESS OF THE IN VITRO & EX VIVO THYROID ASSAYS FOR FURTHER DEVELOPMENT, VALIDATION & INCLUSION IN THE OECD TEST GUIDELINES WORKPLAN

Introduction

1. From current knowledge of the thyroid system, which includes for example the level of central regulation in the hypothalamus, at the pituitary gland, or in the thyroid gland itself, it is clear that disruption may occur through multiple and complex biological pathways (Figure 1). For this reason more than one type of *in vitro* and *ex vivo* assay will be required to adequately screen chemicals for thyroid disrupting activity, and this document therefore probes several key biological mechanisms of thyroid system disruption, whilst examining and assessing the readiness status of *in vitro* assays currently available. Such screening tests may be combined with other test results in a battery of assays to detect thyroid disruption, and results can then be evaluated in a weight of evidence approach. Guidance as to where to begin, and in which context, can be obtained using the OECD Endocrine Disruptor Conceptual Framework (OECD, 2012 and see Annex 1), although it should be noted that this is not a testing strategy.

2. The role of metabolism is particularly important when examining thyroid system disruption, and whilst this has been examined in earlier OECD detailed review papers (OECD 2008, Jacobs et al 2008), it is not addressed in this document and could be specifically addressed in a follow-up document.

3. *In vitro* methods described in this document probe several key biological mechanisms of thyroid system disruption. The key features of thyroid hormone physiology and signalling are depicted in Figure 1.




Figure 1. A number of targets exist where chemicals may interact to interfere with thyroid hormone signalling. These can be at the level of central regulation in the hypothalamus, at the pituitary gland, or in the thyroid gland itself. Serum levels of thyroid hormone are maintained within strict physiological ranges through feedback mechanisms. Binding or distributor proteins in the blood limit the availability of active hormone to tissues. Metabolism of hormones in the liver and through peripheral and tissue-specific deiodination pathways also

modulate the action of thyroid hormones. The figure contains a simplified biosynthetic pathway for thyroid hormones. More detailed figures on the different steps of thyroid hormone synthesis are presented in the introduction to each block of assays.

4. While not explicitly depicted in this figure, it should be noted that all of these steps are intimately integrated and homeostatically regulated by thyroid hormone feedback. All *in vitro* assays concerning TH function will be placed into context of the Adverse Outcome Pathway (AOP) project on 'Alterations in Thyroid Signalling Systems' that is under development by the US as part of the OECD AOP development programme. In addition to the current work, results of the AOP project will provide the necessary theoretical framework to guide further method development, integration and validation.

5. Interaction of chemicals with the thyroid hormone system is often indicated by apical effects in animal (mainly rodent) studies (e.g., thyroid follicular cell hyperplasia as a consequence of decrease in circulating T4/T3 levels and a resulting increase in TSH). Any effect on circulating thyroid hormones must be of a sufficient duration and magnitude to interfere with normal homeostasis. It is also critical to keep in mind that the timing of the hormone insufficiency in the lifespan of the animal can influence the severity of the insult, the developing foetus and neonate representing a vulnerable window of susceptibility. The most frequently influenced thyroid mode of action (MOA) with the most severe outcomes may also vary across species (e.g., thyroid cancer due to enhanced thyroxine metabolism/clearance is more common in rats than humans). An excellent resource for identifying thyroid toxicants and their MOAs is the data-rich pesticide dossier data. The review of these data (Appendix to EFSA 2013) reveal that the most commonly identified priority indicators are modulation of T3 and or T4 levels, then modulation of TSH and modulation of iodide uptake. In the open published literature, thyroperoxidase (TPO) inhibition, regulation by sodium/iodide symporter (NIS), and metabolic activation are the most common MOAs reported, followed by interference with serum binding proteins and deiodinases. Although modulation of circulating levels of T3 and T4 and TSH / iodide uptake represent the most commonly reported consequence of these chemical actions, such alterations have been linked to physiological and morphological perturbations to thyroid tissues and a mechanism may sometimes be known. Two examples are as follows:

6. In a 28 day rat study exposure to the pesticide Bupirimate (451 mg/kg bw/day) was associated with a decrease of T4 levels and an increase in iodine uptake, and at the tissue level, with altered colloid, follicular cell hypertrophy, follicular cell hyperplasia and increased relative thyroid weight were seen. Follicular cell necrosis was observed at 2190 mg/kg/bw/day. It appears that blockage of thyroid hormone synthesis in terms of blockage of iodination has been presumed to be an underlying mechanism, leading to decreased T4 hormone output, resulting in thyroid enlargement. .

7. A metabolism related example can be seen with Diethofencarb. For 2 week and 3 month high dose studies of Diethofencarb, decreased circulating T4 but increased TSH levels, and increased relative thyroid weight, while in 2 year studies follicular cell adenoma and carcinoma were observed at 220.30 mg/kg/bw/day LOE(A)L. The mechanism of action involves the activation of hepatic enzymes (UDP-glucuronyl transferase) metabolising T4 triggering the feedback mechanism of the pituitary gland leading to the promotion of TSH release and a higher serum TSH level(Appendix to EFSA 2013).The developing brain appears not to be capable for compensating for low T4, and thus such modifications may be considered severe if exposure occurs in the developing organism (Sharlin et al 2010, Gilbert and Zoeller, 2010).

8. For the majority of chemicals, however, information on thyroid hormone levels and concrete data on underlying MOA leading to changes in thyroid hormone signalling system are not available.

As such, consideration of chemical MOA can be instructive in identifying and prioritising assays for inclusion in the OECD Test Guidelines (TG) Programme. Consistent with the AOP framework, knowledge of MOA of substances known to impair thyroid function can assist in identifying key events most frequently influenced and those that cause the most severe outcomes. In addition, a review of thyroid toxicants and their MOAs may serve to identify model substances for use in probing the specificity and sensitivity of any assay for TG validation.

9. In November 2012, experts within the TSEG identified and categorized the existing *in vitro* and *ex vivo* assays probing known or potential MOA targets relevant for thyroid endocrine disruption in order to determine which assays would be good candidates for possible inclusion in the OECD Test Guidelines (TG) work plan (OECD public site http://www.oecd.org/env/ehs/testing/Declassified_TGP_Workplan_13%20June%202013.pdf).

10. The expert group considered the assays described in Part 2 of this document and agreed on a categorisation scheme based on distinct target sites of potential disruption as described by Murk et al. (2013). The categorisation includes 8 blocks covering all known targets of thyroid disruption except epigenetic changes. The 8 blocks of assay targets are:

- Central regulation
- TH synthesis
- Secretion and transport
- Metabolism and excretion
- Local cellular concentrations
- Cellular responses
- Relevant short term assays integrating multiple MOAs
- Integrative cellular assays

11. The expert group also agreed that within the 8 blocks, the assays can be further categorised into 3 levels depending on their current potential for inclusion in the OECD Test Guidelines work plan:

Level A - *in vitro/ex vivo* assays that are ready for validation in the short term, i.e. could be proposed for OECD Test Guideline development.

Level B - *in vitro/ex vivo* assays that could be developed for potential validation in the long term, i.e. after an optimization step. In addition, assays which meet criteria for Level A but which screen for modalities of thyroid disruption that can be indirectly detected through other, high priority assays, should be treated as B level of readiness.

Level C - assay gaps (no *in vitro/ex vivo* assays identified to cover a specific mode of action or disrupting pathways).

12. In order to provide a framework for prioritizing TH assays for further development in the context of the OECD Test Guidelines Programme, a set of ranking parameters was proposed by the OECD Secretariat and presented at the meeting of the TSEG in June 2012. The set of 17 ideal Ranking Parameters was outlined (see Table 1.1 and 1.2) based on the performance standard criteria developed within the VMG-NA for performance test guidelines (e.g. TG 457 and 455) and also Crofton et al. (2011). In the early stages of the analysis the TSEG addressed all of the parameters however, due to a lack of information on most of them, the parameters had to be modified for the purposes of the scoping exercise (see the following section). The ranking parameters as outlined initially could still be

useful in the future for analysis, comparison and prioritisation of assays as they are being further developed for inclusion in the TG programme.

Table 1.1 – Ranking Parameters for Evaluation of the Readiness of Assays for Inclusion in the TG Work plan

CATEGORY 1 Initial High Priority Considerations	CATEGORY 2 Assay Performance Considerations
1. Biological Plausibility 2. Extrapolation to humans, or broadly applicable across vertebrates/phyla 3. Availability of Resources 4. Reference Chemicals	5. Within-laboratory reproducibility 6. Between-laboratory reproducibility 7. Assay Variability 8. Accuracy 9. Assay Specificity 10. Assay sensitivity
CATEGORY 3 Technical Capabilities	CATEGORY 4 Other Practical Considerations
11. Dynamic Range 12. Concentration test range 13. Detection/Adjustment of confounding factor and/or incorrect/ inconclusive measurements and/or other bias 14. Response Characterization:	15. Technological Transferability/Proprietary elements 16. Transparency of the method 17. Documentation of development and utility of the method.

13. TSEG further discussed the need to determine the weight of each parameter, and therefore whether or not some parameters should be considered as more important than others and agreed on a ranking of the parameters into four categories:

Category 1: Initial High Priority Considerations

The parameters in this category are considered of highest priority. In addition, each parameter within this category is considered to have equal weight and all are essential for an acceptable assay, i.e. a poor rating on any one is considered too severely impair the validation or regulatory acceptance of the assay.

Category 2: High Priority Assay Performance Considerations

These parameters relate to the reliability and efficacy of the assay itself. Generally, these parameters would have high priority in considerations of the potential for development of a protocol for a candidate assay into an OECD Test Guideline. All six parameters within this category are considered to have equal weight.

Category 3: Technical Capabilities

The parameters in this category also relate to assay performance so the same limitations described for Category 2 parameters apply. However the particular performance issues considered under this category of parameters were identified to be of lesser significance compared to the Category 2 performance issues.

Category 4: Other Practical Considerations

This category lists parameters which may present some challenges to validation or broad acceptance of the protocol as an OECD Test Guideline but are not insurmountable. Consequently, these were identified as being of lowest priority. All of the parameters in this list are of equal importance.

More specific guidance on the considerations that should be addressed in the evaluation of each of the 17 parameters is provided below in Table 1.2.

Table 1.2 – Specific Considerations Regarding the Analysis of Individual Parameters

1. Biological Plausibility	The assay should investigate effects on a molecular or biological process or pathway that can be clearly related to a key initiating event within a mode of action (MOA) or adverse outcome pathway (AOP).
2. Extrapolation to humans, or broadly applicable across vertebrates/phyla	The assay should use a model system where the response is relevant to those observed in the human system that, and ideally to a broad spectrum of other species.
3. Availability of Resources	The expertise and technology required for the performance of the assay should be easily acquired or widely available. Ideally, the assay should not be based on complex, highly expensive, technically challenging platforms.
4. Reference Chemicals	Reference chemicals are required to demonstrate the accuracy and performance of the assay (e.g., “the closeness of agreement between a test method and an acceptance reference value”) with respect to the particular molecular mechanism being probed. Reference chemicals should be well characterized, with relevant applicability domain ranges, covering a range of structural diversity, be documented for their activity and be readily available. They should be representative of the chemicals classes and potencies, including sufficient number of negatives, for which the assay is expected to be used. Ideally, known positives should include non-purpose designed substances, especially commercial or industrial chemicals.
5. Within-laboratory reproducibility	A determination of the extent that qualified people within the same laboratory can successfully replicate results using a specific protocol at different times. Also referred to as intra-laboratory reproducibility.
6. Between-laboratory reproducibility	A measure of the extent to which different qualified laboratories, using the same protocol and testing the same substances, can produce qualitatively and quantitatively similar results. Inter-laboratory reproducibility is determined during the pre-validation and validation processes, and indicates the extent to which a test method can be successfully transferred between laboratories, also referred to as inter-laboratory reproducibility.
7. Assay Variability	This parameter demonstrates the degree of variability in the replicates for an assay as expressed as the standard deviations (SD) or coefficient of variation (CVs). A response readout with excessively high CV would be unacceptable
8. Accuracy	This parameter is about assessing if a high level of concordance exists between the results from the <i>in vitro</i> assay and the result expected for reference

	chemicals for which a value is available from an in vivo assay or epidemiological data.
9. Assay Specificity	Specificity should be as high as possible. Therefore this parameter assesses if there is a high rate of true negative results and low rate of false positive results when testing the accepted reference chemicals. Ratio of true positive samples over the total number of samples that should give positive results (true positives + false negatives)
10. Assay sensitivity	Sensitivity should be as high as possible. Therefore this parameter assesses if there is high rate of true positive results and a low rate of false negative when testing the accepted reference chemicals. Ratio of the true negative samples over the total number of samples that give negative results (true negatives + false positives)
11. Dynamic Range	This parameter considers the degree of change of the response readout from the assay. It should be sufficiently robust to allow sensitive detection of increasing dosage of active substances.
12. Concentration test range	This parameter assesses the degree to which features, inherent in the model system, may limit the dose range of substance that can be tested (i.e. need for water solubility, low tolerance for common vehicles, etc.)
13. Detection/Adjustment of confounding factor and/or incorrect/inconclusive measurements and/or other bias	Attributes of the assay that minimize or detect the presence of confounding factors, and/or reduce the occurrence of inconclusive or incorrect measurements and other bias, e.g. cell toxicity shall be assessed in order to reliably demonstrate an antagonistic activity and avoid confusion due to increase cell toxicity, chemical luminescence or fluorescence that interferes with response signals.
14. Response Characterization	The readout of the assay should generate a statistically significant change when an effective concentration of active substance is tested.
15. Technological Transferability/ Proprietary elements	This assesses the degree to which features of the assay model —or associated technology—are difficult to acquire, or subject to a monopoly patent, especially if holder of the patent does not support assay development. Other limitations that might hinder the ability to acquire the assay components should be assessed.
16. Transparency of the method	Any data from proof-of-concept or pre-validation exercise should be available.
17. Documentation	The assay method and optimization process should be described in sufficient detail to allow effective replication.

Considerations for the Set of Ranking Parameters as Used in This Document

14. The parameter tables outlined above were developed to provide guidance on how to select and prioritize assays for further development in the OECD Test Guidelines Programme based on these objective criteria. Upon review of the available assays for thyroid disruption, the TSEG concluded that even for the best characterized among them, insufficient information and a limited number of reference chemicals have been documented. This impeded the ability of TSEG experts to use all of the ‘ideal’ validation parameters listed in Table 1.2. Therefore, the TSEG agreed to base their primary assessment on the Initial Priority Considerations of Category 1 as described above (Table 1.1) and to consider the others when available under as “Assay Features/Limitations”. The availability of documentation for the assays was added as parameter 6 in the new ranking analysis tables, instead of parameter 17 in the initial outline of the ranking parameters. Strict criteria will be developed when

validation is initiated and conducted for a particular assay. During 2012, the TSEG considered one additional parameter, the suitability of the assay for High-Throughput screening (HTS). However no final conclusion about inclusion of this parameter in the analysis could be reached.

15. The following sections were addressed by the TSEG during 2012-2013 and agreed to at the meeting in November 2012 and the 11 meeting of the VMG-NA in December 2013. The TSEG assessed the readiness of the assays described in Part 2 for inclusion in the TG work plan based primarily on Category 1 parameters as described above, but including others when available. The table below summarises the findings of the evaluation.

Table 1.3. Summary of the *in vitro* and *ex vivo* Thyroid Assays and the Level of Their Readiness for Inclusion in the OECD Test Guidelines (TG) Work plan

Block of Assays	Readiness Level
[1] Central Regulation (HPT)	
TRH production (Hypothalamus)	C
TRH receptor activation (Pituitary)	B
TSH receptor activation (Thyroid)	B
[2] Thyroid Hormone Synthesis	
TPO Inhibition	B to A
NIS activation	B
Stem cell derived thyrocytes	not analysed
[3] Secretion and Transport	
TTR Binding	A
TBG Binding	A
Transport over placenta & BBB	not analysed
[4] Metabolism and Excretion	
Deiodination Inhibition	B
Deiodination up-regulation	B
Hepatic nuclear receptor activation	Covered in Metabolism DRP97
Glucuronidation inhibition	Covered in Metabolism DRP97
Glucuronidation & Sulfation upregulations	Covered in Metabolism DRP97
Sulfation inhibition	Covered in Metabolism DRP97
[5] Local Cellular Concentrations	
TH Membrane Transporters	A
TH Membrane Transporter/TR Transactivation	B
Local Deiodination	C
[6] Cellular Responses	
Binding to TR LBD	C to B
Effects of TR Transactivation	B to A
Co Regulator Interaction	Not analysed
Activation dimerization partners TR (RXR)	Covered in DRP 178 , DRP 97
Non-Nuclear TR mediated responses	Not analysed
[7] Relevant Short-Term Assays Integrating Multiple MOAs	
Zebra fish embryo	B
Sea Urchin Metamorphosis assay	not analysed
GFP-Xenopus Embryo	TG under development in Project 2.39
Short term Xenopus Metamorphosis assay	not analysed
Thyroid gland explant culture	B
[8] Integrative Cellular Assays	
T-Screen (TR induced proliferation assay)	C
Human neural progenitor cell	C
TSH induced proliferation assay	not analysed

EVALUATION OF BLOCK #1 ASSAYS - CENTRAL REGULATION (HPT)

16. This block concerns effects directly on the synthesis/release of hypothalamic thyroid releasing hormone (TRH), its delivery and action on pituitary thyrotrophs, and the synthesis/release of thyroid stimulating hormone (TSH) (see Figure 2). Despite the fact that the master regulator of the HPT axis, TRH is an easily assayed tri-peptide, setting up a biologically relevant *in vitro* system to assess effects of potential disruptors of T3 regulation of *Trh* transcription and TRH production is far from straightforward. The main problem is obtaining an *in vitro* system that replays a) the TR β specific transcriptional repression of *Trh* transcription, b) the complex enzymatic processing of the prohormone to active TRH, and c) the controlled process of active TRH secretion at the neuronal terminals in close association with the glial cells. Similar arguments apply to TRH receptor activation. Notably, TRH activation of the TRHR1 on thyrotropes activates first the release of ready available TSH and then the synthesis of the two subunits, the α subunit common to all glycoproteins of the pituitary (α GSU) and the TSH specific β subunit, β TSH. TRHR-1 activation in thyrotropes leads to activation of the phospholipase C dependent signal transduction that includes Ca⁺⁺ mobilisation and PKC activation. Finding a cell line that faithfully reproduces these three lines of action has not been satisfactorily demonstrated. The TSH receptor activation assay is further advanced. In addition to the lack of an appropriate *in vitro* model, validation of any assay based on these MOAs would be hindered by the lack of substances known to impair either TRH or TSH release *in vivo*.




Figure 2: Hypothalamic control of release of thyroid stimulating hormone by the pituitary gland. *Thyroid releasing hormone-secreting neurons with cell bodies located in the paraventricular nucleus of the hypothalamus. Stimulation of these cells with various signals induces the secretion of TRH into the hypothalamic pituitary portal systems which drains into capillary beds that perfuse thyrotrophes in the anterior pituitary. TRH stimulation of thyrotrophes induces the expression and secretion of the glycoprotein Thyroid Stimulating Hormone (TSH). There are currently no xenobiotics known which directly alter TRH secretion/action or TSH release.*

Conclusion: The block of assays targeting central HPT regulation assessment is determined as being at a low level of readiness (level C and B) for inclusion in the TG programme.

TRH production (Hypothalamus)	TRH receptor activation (Pituitary)	TSH receptor activation (Thyroid)
C	B	B

Hypothalamic Thyrotropin-Releasing Hormone (TRH) Production/Release

17. **Overview:** Thyroid hormone levels are kept within physiological ranges through hypothalamo-pituitary neuro-endocrine feedback loops (Silva 1995). Increased T3 represses transcription of hypothalamic thyrotropin-releasing hormone (TRH), the master regulator of the hypothalamo-pituitary/thyroid (HPT) axis (Lezoualch et al. 1992). In turn, decreased T3 output reduces metabolism and energy usage (Fekete and Lechan 2007).

18. Hypothalamic TRH neurons integrate numerous metabolic, endocrine and neuronal signals (Hollenberg 2008). T3-responsive TRH neurons in the paraventricular nucleus (PVN) of the hypothalamus express all the functional thyroid hormone nuclear receptors (TRs) and a key membrane receptor involved in energy homeostasis, the melanocortin receptor Mc4r, a membrane receptor integral to central leptin/melanocortin signalling (Wikberg and Mutulis 2008). Leptin is a major satiety hormone and regulates energy homeostasis through food intake, energy partition and thermogenesis (Wikberg and Mutulis 2008). Prolactin and dopamine also influence central regulation of thyroid hormone signalling system via TRH production and action and could be potential targets for assay development (Joseph-Bravo et al. 1998; Uribe et al. 2009). A more recent review that covers many of the multiple influences of different neuropeptides on the TRH neuron is provided by Fekete and Lechan, 2013.

19. Transcriptional regulation of TRH is highly cell specific. Brain regions other than the PVN express TRH (Decherf et al. 2010b), and in the periphery some cells also express the preprothyrotropin gene and produce the tripeptide TRH (i.e., pancreatic β cells). However, only in the PVN neurons is the preprothyrotropin gene and TRH production are specifically down regulated by T3. This negative regulation requires a TR β isoform (Guissouma. 1998) and presence of co-modulator factors, such as N-CoR (Cohen et al. 1998), see also the recent review from Fekete and Lechan 2013.

20. **Conclusions:** Although no validated *in vitro* assay replicates this complex neuron-specific regulation, transcriptional regulation has been observed in a monkey kidney cell line, CV-1 cells, (Cohen et al. 1998; Hollenberg et al. 1995), a human placental cell line (Flynn et al. 1994), and in primary cultures of chick hypothalamic neurons (Lezoualch et al. 1992).

RANKING PARAMETERS FOR TRH PRODUCTION/RELEASE ASSAYS

HYPOTHALAMIC THYROTROPIC RELEASING HORMONE PRODUCTION AND RELEASE.	
1. Biological Plausibility	Moderate: Production of the tripeptide TRH implicates a complex maturation process that is limited to a very specialised group of neurons in the paraventricular nucleus of the hypothalamus. Its production and release are critical for regulation of the thyroid hormone pathway. Physiological regulation of TRH involves repression by T3 at the transcriptional level through specific TRs, TRb1 and TRb2. As yet no <i>in vitro</i> systems recapitulate the complex physiology of Trh transcription, TRH maturation and release.
2. Extrapolation to humans, applicable across vertebrates/phyla	Strong – similar physiology across vertebrates, though in fish and amphibians CRH also has a strong stimulatory action on TSH, so examination of CRH is also relevant to understanding hypothalamic control of TSH and thyroid hormone production in fish and amphibian
3. Availability of Resources	Weak – Only TRH antibody is available, but no <i>in vitro</i> method to test physiologically relevant production or release.
4. Reference Chemicals	Weak – No chemicals have been tested for TRH production. Though TBT and TBBPA disrupt Trh transcription <i>in vivo</i> .
5. Assay Features/Limitations	TRH production evaluated in isolation of the other components of the HPT central regulatory systems is likely of limited utility. Commercially available TRH antibody, but not <i>in vitro</i> system to test interference with environmental contaminants.. Potential for cross-reactivity with TRH antibodies.
6. Documentation	Wang and Xu, 2008; Decherf et al., 2010a and 2010b.

Pituitary - Thyrotropin-Releasing Hormone (TRH) Receptor Activation Assay

21. **Overview:** Thyrotropin-releasing hormone (TRH) is secreted from the terminals of TRH containing neurons and released into the median eminence from whence it reaches the pituitary gland. Thyrotrophs in the anterior pituitary express the TRH receptor, TRHR1. TRHR1 function is well characterised, notably rapidly desensitizing (Hinkle et al., 2012), a fact that should be taken into account in all assay development. Thyrotrophs produce thyrotropin or thyroid stimulating hormone (TSH). TRH stimulation of TRHR1 leads to activation of phospholipase C, Ca⁺⁺ mobilization and activation of PKC (Abe et al. 2004). TRH activates not only the secretion of TSH but also the transcription of TSH β and α -glycoprotein (α GSU) subunit genes. TSH β subunit expression is maintained by two transcription factors, Pit1 and GATA2, and is negatively regulated by thyroid hormone (T3) (Ohba et al., 2011). A well characterised anterior pituitary cell line exists that expresses TRHR1 is the GH3 cell line (Hinkle et al. 1980), though, as their name suggests, most of the GH3 cells lines are derived from prolactin or growth hormone secreting tumours. This assay has never been applied to assess endocrine disruptors. No reference chemicals that disrupt this mechanism are available to develop this assay.

TRH-R ACTIVATION OF PITUITARY THYROTROPHS	
1. Biological Plausibility	Moderate: The mechanisms that might be assessed are critically important for thyroid hormone physiology. TRH stimulation or TRH-R-mediated activation and TSH β transcription.
2. Extrapolation to humans, broadly applicable across vertebrates/phyla	Strong. – Similar physiology across the vertebrates.
3. Availability of Resources	Strong. A commercial assay is available for TRH-R activation using a recombinant TRH Receptor in stable cell line (MILLIPORE).
4. Reference Chemicals	Weak. No reference chemicals are available.
5. Assay Features /Limitations	Human recombinant TRH receptor activation assay with calcium flux readout in stable cell line. Research-based assay, no EDCs have been assessed. Does not take into account desensitization due to receptor internalization.
6. Documentation	Hinkle et al., 1980. No literature citations using primary thyrotrophs or GH3 cells for endocrine disruption of TRH-R action were found

TSH Receptor Activation Assay

22. **Overview:** The TSH receptor is expressed on the basal membrane of thyroid follicle cells. Three assays have followed disruption of TSH-R action or its modification by pharmaceutical agents. In all cases the assays used TSH-R transfected into cell lines. The first one was Santini et al who used Chinese hamster ovary cells (CHO) and tested the effects of a limited number of pesticides on TSH induced cAMP production (Santini et al. 2003). One of the first groups to use this sort of cell line was a Swedish group who compared stable transfection of the TSH-R in the CHO line and the NIH-TS-R line. The CHO line had the advantage of not showing desensitization to a second TSH stimulation (Heldin et al. 1994). Another group used the same cell line (and two other cell lines namely COS-7 and HeLa cells transfected with TSH-R) to assess the effects of the pesticide, DDT on TSH-R internalization to assess the effects of the pesticide, DDT on TSH-R internalization (Picchiatti et al. 2009). Later Gershengorn's group used HEK-EM 293 cells stably expressing wild-type TSHRs (Neumann et al. 2010) to evaluate actions of potential synthetic TSH antagonists, again using TSH-dependent cAMP production as a readout.

TSH RECEPTOR MEDIATED ACTIVATION OF cAMP PRODUCTION IN CHO CELLS.	
1. Biological Plausibility	Moderate: The mechanisms are critically important for thyroid hormone physiology. This assay uses TSH-dependent production of cAMP.
2. Extrapolation to humans, or broadly applicable across vertebrates/phyla	Moderate – similar physiology across the vertebrates. However Chinese hamster ovary cells (CHO) transfected with the TSH-R have to be established.
3. Availability of Resources	Weak. Academic laboratories (Lechan in Boston and Csaba Fekete in Budapest) are known to be setting up these assays
4. Reference Chemicals	Weak DDT, Arochlor 1254
5. Assay Features/ Limitations	The mechanisms are critically important for thyroid hormone physiology and need to be assessed. Assay has only been run in a research setting and is currently not available in any laboratory.
6. Documentation	Hinkle et al., 1980

EVALUATION OF BLOCK #2 ASSAYS - THYROID HORMONE SYNTHESIS

23. The block of assays targeting assessment of thyroid hormone synthesis (outlined in Figure 3) encompasses three types of assays to evaluate thyroperoxidase activity (TPO), activation of the sodium iodide symporter (NIS), and functional assessment of stem cell-derived thyrocytes. Several TPO and NIS assays are described. Stem cell-derived thyrocytes function assays have not yet been analysed. The analysis of the TPO assays indicates that one of them is at an intermediate level (B/A) of readiness for inclusion in the TG programme. The analysis of the NIS assays indicates that they are overall at level B of readiness for further development.

TPO inhibition	NIS activation	Stem cell-derived thyrocytes
B to A	B	Not analysed




Figure 3: Key molecular initiating events that occur within the thyroid gland. TSH action on thyroid epithelial cells results in increased expression of sodium iodide symporter (NIS), thyroglobulin (Tg) and thyroperoxidase enzyme (TPO) among other effects. NIS is a transmembrane ion transporter molecule that is located on epithelial cell surface adjacent to the extracellular space. Iodide ions are actively taken up from tissue fluid into cells through NIS action. NIS can be inhibited by

xenobiotics such as perchlorate (ClO_4^-), nitrate (NO_3^-) or thiocyanate (SCN^-). Iodide is transported into the follicle lumen where it is conjugated with tyrosine residues on Tg protein through the action of TPO. Iodinated phenols are in turn, ether-linked to adjacent tyrosines also through the action of TPO. TPO activity can be inhibited by several substances including genistein (GEN) and propylthiouracil (PTU). TSH stimulation induces the uptake of Iodinated Tg by epithelial cells through pinocytosis. This is, in turn, degraded by lysosomal enzymes to release T4 into serum and a much lesser amount of T3. THs are released from the thyroid epithelium through the action of TH transporter molecules such as monocarboxylase transporter 8 (MCT8).

Thyroperoxidase (TPO) Function Assays

24. **Overview:** Thyroperoxidase (TPO) is a heme-containing apical membrane protein within the follicular lumen of thyrocytes that acts as the enzymatic catalyst for thyroid hormone synthesis. TPO catalyses several reactions, including the oxidation of iodide, nonspecific iodination of tyrosyl residues of thyroglobulin (Tg), and the coupling of iodotyrosyls to produce Tg-bound triiodothyronine (T3) and tetraiodothyronine (T4) (Divi *et al.*, 1994; Kessler *et al.*, 2008; Ruf *et al.*, 2006; Taurog *et al.*, 1996). From a clinical perspective, TPO represents a predominant autoantigen in autoimmune thyroid diseases (Czarnocka, 2011; Kaufman *et al.*, 1989; McLachlan *et al.*, 2007). From a toxicological perspective, chemical inhibition of TPO enzymatic activity is a well-documented molecular-initiating event in an adverse outcome pathway for thyroid hormone disruption in rodent models (Crofton, 2008; DeVito *et al.*, 1999; Doerge *et al.*, 2002a; Hurley, 1998; Murk *et al.*, 2013; Zoeller *et al.*, 2005). Treatment of hyperthyroidism with medications including methimazole (MMI) and 6-propylthiouracil (PTU) has solidified a causative relationship between TPO inhibition, decreased thyroid hormone synthesis, and subsequently decreased systemic thyroid hormone concentrations in humans and animals (Cooper, 2005; Emiliano *et al.*, 2010; Hosoya, 1963; Sugawara *et al.*, 1999; Trepanier, 2006). Importantly, the critical function of TPO is conserved across taxonomic class, as chemicals may inhibit TPO activity and result in decreased serum and/or tissue thyroid hormone concentrations in mammalian, amphibian, and avian species (Coady *et al.*, 2010; Grommen *et al.*, 2011; Rosebrough *et al.*, 2006; Tietge *et al.*, 2012). The evidence implicating TPO as a necessary enzymatic component of thyroid hormone synthesis across species underscores the saliency of developing screening assays to detect TPO-inhibiting compounds, and ultimately for the development of a system biology predictive tool for thyroid disruption.

25. Myriad chemicals across structural classes are known to inhibit TPO (i.e., MMI, potassium cyanide, sodium azide, 3-amino-1,2,4-triazole (amitrole), thiouracil, PTU, p-aminobenzoate, potassium thiocyanate, potassium perchlorate, sodium fluoride, thiourea, daidzein, genistein, ethylene thiourea, *N,N,N',N'*-tetramethylthiourea, resorcinols, sulfamethazine, leucomalachite green, benzophenone-2, 2-mercaptobenzothiazole, 4-propoxyphenol, 4-nonylphenol).

26. These chemical-inhibitors of TPO-catalyzed TH synthesis have largely been identified with models that have relied on upon the assertion that TPO activity is conserved across species. Porcine TPO (pTPO), derived from thyroid follicles, microsomes, or partially-purified protein fractions has been used frequently in either the guaiacol or iodide oxidation assays or the tyrosine iodination assay (Divi *et al.*, 1997; Divi *et al.*, 1994; Doerge *et al.*, 2002b; Sugawara *et al.*, 1999; Freyberger & Ahr, 2006). A common substitute for pTPO has been bovine lactoperoxidase (bLPO) (Divi *et al.*, 1994; Doerge *et al.*, 1989; Taurog *et al.*, 1996); due to high conservation of residues within the catalytic domain of the superfamily of animal peroxidases and the homology of myeloperoxidase, LPO and thyroperoxidase in particular (Furtmuller *et al.*, 2006), substitutions for TPO have produced plausible results in peroxidation assays. However, there are no systematic investigations demonstrating comparable sensitivity of LPO to inhibition by known chemical classes of TPO inhibitors.

27. Although the assertion of concordance of TPO activity across species few studies have directly evaluated qualitative and quantitative differences in TPO activity across species. Takayama *et al.* (1986) compared the sensitivity of monkey and rat TPO in the guaiacol assay and reported a 51-times and >455-times higher sensitivity of rat TPO for PTU and the sulfonamide, sulfamonomethoxin. Recently an evaluation of pTPO and rat TPO using the guaiacol oxidation assay demonstrated 100% qualitative concordance with only minor variability in quantitative results between species for a 12 chemical training set (Paul *et al.*, 2013). Porcine TPO has also been used to accurately model inhibition of TPO activity by 2-mercaptobenzothiazole observed in a whole animal *Xenopus laevis*

model (Tietge et al., 2012). However, while the use of porcine tissue for TPO assays seems to give qualitatively the same results as obtained with rat tissue, there were differences in the relative potency for TPO inhibition that could result in some compounds being missed if only porcine tissue is used to screen chemicals. A recent report suggested that rat TPO may be more responsive than human TPO to xenobiotic inhibitors MMI and PTU (Vickers *et al.*, 2012), but assessment with a larger chemical set would be necessary to evaluate this hypothesis.

28. One potentially related endpoint that has not been considered for medium- or high-throughput assay development is dependence of TPO activity on the co-localized membrane partner and catalytic generator of H₂O₂, dual oxidase 2 (DUOX2) (Fortunato *et al.*, 2010). A separate consideration of DUOX2 and potential inhibitors is required to determine the necessity to develop screening assays for DUOX2 inhibition, or if inclusion of DUOX2 with TPO in a downstream test with greater biological complexity would be sufficient.

29. Overall, the current published assays involve either UV absorbance (where compounds can interfere with the assay) or use HPLC to separate the 3-iodo and 3,5-diiodo metabolites. However it is also possible to utilise UPLC-MS-MS detection for both these metabolites. This not only gives specificity of metabolite identification but also gives good sensitivity when using small amounts of tissue (e.g. rat thyroid gland microsomal fractions (Brian G Lake unpublished data)).

30. **Conclusion:** Screening assays for inhibition of TPO are clearly necessary in order to assess this relevant target of a diverse set of environmentally-relevant thyroid-disrupting chemicals. There is an available assay, the AUR-TPO inhibition assay, which may be suitable for a medium- or high-throughput screening application. Development of additional orthogonal assays or assays that do not use animal tissue may be important for increasing the chemical space that can be tested with this assay technology and confidence in the assay results.

RANKING PARAMETER ANALYSIS FOR TPO ASSAYS

AMPLEX ULTRARED® THYROPEROXIDASE INHIBITION ASSAY - AUR-TPO	
1. Biological Plausibility	Strong. TPO catalytic activity is essential for thyroid hormone synthesis, for the coupling of monoiodotyrosine (MIT) and/or diiodothyronine (DIT) on thyroglobulin within the follicular lumen of thyrocytes.
2. Extrapolation to humans, or broadly applicable across vertebrates/phyla	Strong. Complete qualitative concordance, and similar quantitative results, for rat and porcine TPO (pTPO). Previous work with pTPO and lactoperoxidase has been confirmed with whole animal rat and amphibian models.
3. Availability of Resources	Moderate. Source of TPO or similar enzyme may be a barrier. Thyroid glands as TPO source can be difficult to obtain by commercial or necropsy methods. Hog thyroids are large and more readily accessible from slaughterhouses.
4. Reference Chemicals	Strong. Many TPO-inhibiting chemicals available to include in assay training sets. These include pharmaceuticals, to pesticides, to industrial-use chemicals.
5. Assay Features/ Limitations	Medium to high throughput established. Highly relevant target site. CV <20%, highly reproducible but only established in 1 laboratory at this time. Adequate dynamic range, cell-free assay so cytotoxicity is not limiting. Source of enzyme may remain a barrier, but less so than in assays based on guaiacol/iodide/tyrosine substrates as less enzyme may be required for detection in this method compared to the traditional guaiacol, or iodide detection methods because AUR assay has been optimized for smaller assay volumes (96- or 384-well plate).
6. Documentation	Paul et al., 2013

GUAIACOL/IODIDE OXIDATION / TYROSINE IODINATION THYROPEROXIDASE INHIBITION ASSAYS	
1. Biological Plausibility	Strong. TPO catalytic activity is essential for thyroid hormone synthesis. Iodide is the physiological substrate for TPO-catalysed iodination. These assays reflect key events in iodination, i.e., the oxidation of iodide and the iodination of tyrosine. Guaiacol oxidation rather measures TPO peroxidative activity as needed for the coupling reaction.
2. Extrapolation to humans, or broadly applicable across vertebrates/phyla	Strong. These assays have been successfully applied to rat, monkey, porcine, and in some cases human recombinant and human goiter TPO. In the future, assay systems could be used with recombinant human TPO or LPO.
3. Availability of Resources	Moderate. Source of TPO or similar enzyme may be a barrier. Thyroid glands as TPO source can be difficult to obtain by commercial or necropsy methods. Hog thyroids are large and more readily accessible (see above). If chromatography is used (tyrosine iodination) an HPLC is necessary.
4. Reference Chemicals	Strong. Many TPO-inhibiting chemicals available to include in assay training sets. These include pharmaceuticals, to pesticides, to industrial-use chemicals.
5. Assay Features/ Limitations	Highly relevant target site. Several protocols with different assay conditions have been published. Cell-free assay so cytotoxicity is not

	limiting. Low throughput. Source of TPO may be rate limiting. Compounds known to readily react with quinones such as thiols may trap the assay oxidation product (guaiacol assay) and mask peroxidase activity. Possible that coloured chemicals could interfere with the accurate quantification of colour formation.
6. Documentation	Vickers et al., 2012; Paul et al., 2013; Tietge et al., 2012; Doerge et al., 2002b; Freyberger and Ahr, 2006

Sodium Iodide Symporter (NIS) Activity Assays

31. **Overview:** Active uptake of iodide by thyroid follicular cell in the thyroid gland is essential for TH synthesis. This is accomplished by the sodium-iodide symportor (NIS). Competitive inhibition of NIS-mediated iodide uptake by specific anions blocks not only thyroidal iodide uptake and impairs TH synthesis (Wolff, 1998). In humans, mutations in the NIS protein are associated with congenital iodide transport defect, a condition characterized by low iodide uptake, hypothyroidism and goitre (Bizhanova and Kopp, 2009). The classic assay to test the ability of a chemical to interfere with NIS-mediated iodide uptake is based on the measurement of radioiodine (^{125}I) uptake in NIS-expressing thyroid (FRTL5) cells (Atterwill and Fowler, 1990; Schmutzler et al., 2007b).

32. A fully automated radioiodine uptake assay was developed for rapid and quantitative screening of test chemicals in a 96-well format using HEK293 cells transfected with human NIS (Lecat-Guillet et al., 2007, 2008; Lindenthal et al., 2009). This method has been used to screen a chemical library of 17,020 structures (Lecat-Guillet et al., 2008). A nonradioactive iodide uptake assay was recently developed using FRLT5 cells (Waltz et al., 2010). A fluorescent assay for cellular iodide uptake was also recently developed. Substances known to interfere with iodide transport via the NIS transporter that might be considered as possible reference chemicals include perchlorate and thiocyanate.

33. **Conclusion:** The development of screening assays for NIS inhibition is clearly necessary in order to assess a relevant target of environmentally-relevant thyroid-disrupting chemicals. Both radioactive and fluorescence based thyroid and transiently transfected cell based assays are available and have been used to screen environmental chemicals. Analysis of the group of NIS assays indicates that they are at an intermediate level (level C-B) of readiness for validation in the short term and could be proposed for OECD TG development.

RANKING PARAMETER ANALYSIS FOR NIS ASSAYS

SODIUM/IODIDE UPTAKE ASSAY: RADIOACTIVE IODIDE IN TRANSIENTLY TRANSFECTED FRTL-5 CELLS	
1. Biological Plausibility	Strong. Iodine is essential for thyroid hormone synthesis. The sodium-iodide symporter (NIS) transports iodine into the thyroid gland.
2. Extrapolation to humans, or broadly applicable across vertebrates/phyla	Strong. Iodine uptake via NIS is well conserved across species
3. Availability of Resources	Strong. Materials readily available but uses radioactivity
4. Reference Chemicals	Weak. No endocrine disrupting chemicals tested
5. Assay Features/Limitations.	Only currently used in research setting. Transient transfection. No environmental toxicants tested. High variability. Uses radioactivity.
6. Documentation	Atterwill and Fowler, 1990; Schmutzler et al., 2007

SODIUM/IODIDE UPTAKE INHIBITION ASSAY- TRANSIENT TRANSFECTION IN HUMAN EMBRYONIC KIDNEY 293-DERIVED CELLS: RADIOACTIVE/COLORIMETRIC READOUTS.	
1. Biological Plausibility	Strong. Iodine is essential for thyroid hormone synthesis. The sodium-iodide symporter (NIS) transports iodine into the thyroid gland.
2. Extrapolation to humans, or broadly applicable across vertebrates/phyla	Strong. Iodine uptake via NIS is well conserved across species. Human cells used with endogenous NIS
3. Availability of Resources	Strong. For colorimetric method, weaker for radioactivity method
4. Reference Chemicals	Low. Radioactivity method has been used to screen a chemical library of 17,020 structures, but only 10 flagged as positive
5. Assay Features/Limitations	Large chemical library screened but limited detection. Nanomolar concentrations of test substance detected when positive. Any cell-based NIS assay subject to bias induced quenching of radioactive signal by some chemicals; isotopic dilution due to free iodide in the samples; alteration in the membrane status; cell toxicity
6. Documentation	Lecat-Guillet et al., 2007, 2008; Lindenthal et al., 2009

NON RADIOACTIVE SODIUM/IODIDE UPTAKE ASSAY BASED ON SANDELL-KOLTHOFF REACTION AND USING FRTL5 CELLS	
1. Biological Plausibility	Strong. Iodine is essential for thyroid hormone synthesis. The sodium-iodide symporter (NIS) transports iodine into the thyroid gland.
2. Extrapolation to humans, or broadly applicable across vertebrates/phyla	Moderate-Strong. Iodine uptake via NIS is well conserved across species Rat NIS used in this assay.
3. Availability of Resources	Strong. Materials readily available
4. Reference Chemicals	Strong. Several environmental chemicals tested.
5. Assay Features/ Limitations	Non-radioactive method. Picomolar concentrations of test substance detected with sensitivity similar to radioactivity-based method. Available in 96-well format. Only tested currently in one laboratory.
6. Documentation	Waltz . et al., 2010

EVALUATION OF BLOCK #3 ASSAYS – BINDING AND TRANSPORT IN SERUM

34. The block of assays targeting assessment of thyroid hormone secretion and transport in serum encompasses three types of assays, those evaluating T3 and T4 bounding to thyroxine-binding globulin (TBG) and transthyretin (TTR) (Figure 4), and assays evaluating transport of thyroid hormone across the placenta. Several TBG and TTR assays are described in Part 2. No assays are presently available to assess transport of thyroid hormone across the placenta.

35. Analysis of the group of TBG and TTR assays indicates that they are at a high level (level A) of readiness for validation in the short term and could be proposed for OECD TG development.




Figure 4. Key Molecular Initiating Events related to serum TH binding proteins. The vast majority of both T4 and T3 in serum are associated with one of several serum carrier proteins. These proteins vary in their affinity for both hormones and the proportion of total serum hormone that they carry. Only unbound T4 or T3 (0.03% and 0.3% of total serum hormone in adult human male) is available for cellular uptake. The relative amount of each hormone that is bound to each of the three proteins in human serum (adult male) is indicated. As TBG is not found in the adults of many species – including rodents and birds used in toxicity tests – T4 and T3 are mainly associated with transthyretin (TTR) in these species. Association of T4 with TTR has been shown to be inhibited by a variety of substances including several hydroxylated polychlorinated biphenyls (OH-PCBs). T4 binding to

human TBG has been shown to be inhibited by 3-hydroxybrominated diphenyl ether 100 (OH-BDE100).

TTR binding	TBG binding	Transport over placenta & BBB
A	A	Not Yet Analysed

Thyroid Hormone Binding Proteins (TTR and TBG)

36. **Overview:** In the blood, most of the thyroid hormone (T3 and T4) is bound to proteins. One of the primary functions of thyroid hormone serum binding proteins is to safeguard the body from the effects of abrupt fluctuations in hormonal secretion. The second is to efficiently recycle the body's supply of iodine by creating large macromolecules that limit iodine loss by preventing rapid metabolism of iodothyronine molecules and excretion of iodine-containing metabolites and iodine. In mammals, binding proteins are important for maternal to foetal transport of thyroid hormones and for delivery of T4 across the blood-brain-barrier. As such, xenobiotics that displace thyroid hormones from binding proteins may not only reduce the delivery of hormone to the site where it is required, but also transport xenobiotics to normally inaccessible sites of action, including the foetal brain. Resultant foetal brain thyroid hormone insufficiency in addition to direct action of the toxicant in the foetus contribute to the potential toxicity induced by this MOA.

37. Serum binding proteins are responsible for the maintenance of a large extrathyroidal pool of thyroid hormone of which only the minute fraction of free hormone (<0.5%) is immediately available to tissues. TTR is the major T4 binding protein in birds, amphibians, fish, and rodents, xenobiotics that interfere with TTR binding of T4 may have greater negative effects in wildlife species than in humans. In contrast thyroxin-binding globulin (TBG) has the highest affinity and carries the majority of T4 in blood in humans, followed by transthyretin (TTR). Albumin is the most abundant but least effective binding protein in serum, due to its nonspecificity and low affinity for thyroid hormone.

38. Thyroid disrupting chemicals can impact circulating levels of free and bound thyroid hormones through their ability to interfere with serum binding proteins. Some PCBs, flame retardants, phthalates and phenols bind to TTR and in their bound form they may alter circulating levels of thyroid hormone by displacing T4 from TTR (Brouwer et al., 1998; Chauhan et al., 2000). The effects of xenobiotics on serum protein binding are not known to produce adverse effects. Although xenobiotics may interfere with binding of T4 to serum binding proteins and cause a reduction in circulating levels of total T4, this often does not cause a reduction in serum free T4. The implications of this xenobiotic action is unclear as the relationship of free or total serum hormone to tissue levels of thyroid hormone remains poorly understood. Although TTR carries only a minor part of the T4 pool in plasma in humans, it is the major T4 binding protein in some wildlife species (e.g., birds, amphibians, fish, and rodents). TBG is the primary binding protein in humans. Xenobiotics that interfere with TTR binding of T4 may have greater negative effects in wildlife species than in humans. However, TTR in humans is important for maternal to foetal transport of thyroid hormones and for delivery of T4 across the blood-brain-barrier. As such, xenobiotics bound to TTR or TBG may also be transported to normally inaccessible sites of action, including the foetal brain with a resultant decrease in foetal brain T4 levels. It has also been suggested that TTR binding is predictive of interactions with other proteins involved in the T4 pathway (Brouwer, 1991; Zoeller and Tan, 2007).

39. Several TH binding protein assays have been developed and published. They fall into 3 main types that differ primarily in their method of detection – displacement of radioactive T4; non-radioactive fluorescence displacement of T4; surface plasmon resonance biosensing.

40. **Conclusion:** The incorporation of screening assays for serum binding proteins is justified by the potential for this mechanism to disrupt hormone availability especially in the foetal brain, the potential for these assays to identify T4-like toxicants, the susceptibility of hormone binding displacement by a diverse suite of chemicals, and the existence of high throughput assays already available for this endpoint. Based on the state of science for serum binding proteins the assays for this modality falls into Level A with the caveat that some but not all of the protocols involve the use of radioisotopes.

RANKING PARAMETER ANALYSIS

TTR AND TBG BINDING ASSAYS	
1. Biological Plausibility	Strong. Displacement of thyroid hormone from binding sites on TTR or TBG represents a plausible biological process that has been documented for a number of chemicals.
2. Extrapolation to humans, or broadly applicable across vertebrates/phyla	Strong. The assay uses human TTR and TBG and has also been performed with source material from other species
3. Availability of Resources	Strong. Materials readily available
4. Reference Chemicals	Strong Well characterized and readily available chemicals to use as positive controls and which have been evaluated in several versions of the assay.
5. Assay Features/ Limitations.	Important target especially for transport to fetus. High throughput format available and many chemicals have been tested. Assay types differ primarily in their method of detection – displacement of radioactive T4; non-radioactive fluorescence displacement of T4; surface plasmon resonance biosensing. Technically, the assays can be readily mastered. Some forms of the assay require expensive and technically challenging platforms, others involve radioactive isotopes.
6. Documentation	Hamers et al, 2008; Brouwer and vanden Berg, 1986; Cheek et al., 1999; Hallgren and Darnerud, 2002; Lans et al., 1994.

EVALUATION OF BLOCK #4 ASSAYS - METABOLISM AND EXCRETION

41. This block of assays targeting assessment of thyroid hormone metabolism and excretion encompasses three types of assays, those evaluating effects on the enzymatic systems involved in deiodination, glucuronidation and sulfation of thyroid hormones. Deiodination is the major pathway regulating T3 bioavailability in mammalian tissues (Figure 5).

42. Glucuronidation and sulfation assays were not analysed, but are important thyroid metabolism mechanisms, as glucuronidation of TH often precedes biliary fecal excretion of TH and in rats, stimulation of glucuronidation by various drugs and toxins may lead to lower T(4) and T(3) levels, a compensatory increase in thyrotropin (TSH) secretion, and goiter. Sulfation also plays a role in iodothyronine metabolism, accelerating deiodination of T3 and T4 to inactive metabolites (reverse T3, T2). Sulfoconjugation is important for foetal development, regulating the supply of T3 and facilitating the maternal-foetal exchange of sulfated iodothyronines, which is important for normal foetal development in the last trimester. In humans glucuronidates and sulfated iodothyronines can be hydrolysed to their precursors in gastrointestinal tract and various tissues. Thus, these conjugates can serve as a reservoir for biologically active iodothyronines (e.g., T4, T3, or T2). Although glucuronidation and sulfation are important thyroid metabolism mechanisms, assays for these processes were not considered here as they have been examined under DRP97 (OECD 2008, Jacobs et al 2008; 2013). They could however be addressed in update work.




Figure 5: Metabolism of TH and the influence of xenobiotics. *Thyroid hormones are metabolically deactivated and cleared from circulation by various pathways. This occurs primarily in the liver although some activity occurs in other tissues. Thyroxine is a prohormone that can be converted to the active hormone (T3) by enzymatic removal of an iodine from the outer ring or can be irreversibly deactivated by removal of an iodine from the inner ring. In the liver, both of these reactions can be performed by deiodinase 1 although the activation reaction is the primary reaction. The preferred substrate for the inner ring deiodination by D1 is T3 resulting in the inactive T2. Substances that block D1 action include propylthiouracil (PTU), iopanoate (IOP) and erythrosine (ERY).*

Additionally, either T4 or T3 can be conjugated with glucuronide by UDP-glucuronosyl transferase (UDP-GT) or sulfate by sulfotransferases (SULT). The enzymes responsible for these reactions are expressed in response to the activation of xenobiotic-activated nuclear receptors: the Constitutive Androstane Receptor (CAR), Aryl Hydrocarbon Receptor (AhR) or the Pregnane X Receptor (PXR). Either one can be activated by a diversity of xenobiotics or activation of either receptor results in an increase in the rate of metabolism and excretion of T4 and T3. Prolonged exposures to activating substances can cause hypothyroidism especially when combined with dietary iodine deficiency or exposures to other thyroid active agents. Dioxins (TCDD) and phenobarbital (Phen) have both been shown to induce increased T4 metabolism.

43. Limiting the analysis of metabolism to deiodination pathways, three groups of assays were considered: radioactive, HPLC based and colorimetric methods. Radioactivity-based assays are still the best balance between specificity and heavy material needs. HPLC is also a very sensitive method

and offers a less biased approach because of the detection of all thyroid hormones metabolites. The colorimetric method is a promising alternative to the radioactive methods but is still limited in sensitivity and restricted to rich sources of enzymatic activity. Overall, the deiodination activity assays are still at a low to medium level of readiness for inclusion in the OECD Test Guideline programme for reasons that vary from across assay types from technical to sensitivity issues. In addition, it is recommended that a high throughput mRNA-based method for assessment of deiodinase activation could be developed to complement the activity assays.

Deiodination inhibition	Deiodination up-regulation	Hepatic Nuclear Receptor	Glucuronidation inhibition	Glucuronidation & Sulfation upregulation	Sulfation Inhibition
B	B	Covered in DRP97	Covered in DRP97	Covered in DRP97	Covered in DRP97

Deiodination Inhibition and Upregulation

44. **Overview:** Three different deiodinases have been identified. Two separate enzymes possessing Outer Ring Deiodination (ORD) activity were identified in mammalian tissues and designated as type 1 and type 2 deiodinases (D1 and D2). Production of rT3 also results from deiodination of T4 by type 3 deiodinase (D3) (Chopra et al., 1974; Roti et al., 1981; Kaplan et al., 1983).

45. Type I deiodinase (D1) is an integral membrane protein expressed mainly in liver, kidney, and thyroid. Subcellular localization is either the plasma membrane or endoplasmic reticulum. rT3 is the preferred substrate (Visser, 1997). Although it catalyses the conversion of T4 to T3 much less effectively, D1 is considered to be the major source of circulating T3 (Visser, 1988, Kohrle et al. 1991). D1 is reduced under conditions of hypothyroidism and increased during hyperthyroidism.

46. Type II 5'-deiodinase (D2), is an obligate ORD and T4 is the preferred substrate. D2 activity is found in pituitary, brain, brown adipose tissue but also thyroid gland and skeletal muscle (Crantz et al., 1982; Salvatore et al., 1996a, b) and is localized in the cell at the endoplasmic reticulum. D2 activity is increased by thyroidectomy and is not inhibited by PTU. D2 activity provides 50-80% of the intracellular T3 in brain tissue.

47. Type III deiodinase (D3) is an obligate IRD with T3 as the preferred substrate. D3 is expressed in placenta, uterus during gestation, brain, human embryonic liver (Santini et al. 1999; Galton et al, 1989; Bernal 2002). D3 is mainly at the plasma membrane on (with active centre facing extracellular space) and its supposed main function in thyroid hormone homeostasis is to limit tissue exposure to excess active hormone.

48. Recent *in vivo* developments in understanding of mRNA translation in the liver following xenobiotic-induced toxicity has been shown for D3 and the role that may have in supporting the liver to maintain its energy equilibrium, thereby avoiding the global disruption of the HPT axis (Dudek et al 2013).

49. Enzymes can be assessed for either changes in the expression levels of their mRNA but a more direct assessment is preferred as many posttranslational controls of DI occur under normal physiological conditions. Using both approaches endocrine disruptors effects could be assessed.

50. As all mRNA of the three deiodinases were cloned in mammals, mRNA levels change based assays are possible using transfected cell lines. However no such assays are currently available.

51. DI activity assays have been used in research settings. In vitro deiodination activity is classically determined by incubating cells or homogenates with high amounts of ¹²⁵I, Iodine-labelled thyroxine (T4) and required cosubstrates. As a measure of deiodination, the production of radioactive iodine and other physiological metabolites, in particular T3 (ORD activity) or reverse T3 (IRD activity), are determined and expressed e.g. as fmol/mg protein/minute. The study performed by Freyberger et al., 2006 using outer ring radiolabelled reverse T3 as a model substrate aims to assess the inhibition of type-1 deiodinase (D1). It has a good reproducibility and provides quantitative assessment of the deiodinase type-1 activity. Its design allows the determination of the half maximal inhibitory concentration (IC50) for D1. Due to the position of the radiolabel on the outer ring, only outer ring, but not inner ring deiodination can be detected. All the assays of this type are described below grouped under the name of “radioactivity -based deiodinase activity assays”.

52. Recently, several methods of separating and quantifying iodothyronines using chromatography coupled to mass spectroscopy have been described (Butt et al., 2010). This analysis is more time consuming than the radioactivity method described above, and requires technical expertise in analytical chemistry and highly-specialized chromatography equipment. However, the method has the advantage of measuring not only iodine, but also all iodothyronines and, therefore, also provides information on T4 IRD. The latter study investigated the influence of various halogenated commodity chemicals, such as TBBPA, triclosan, BDE 47 on the formation of T3 from T4.

53. More recently Renko et al. (2012) developed a non-radioactive using a colorimetric method based on the redox reaction between As(III) and Ce(IV). In this reaction, iodine serves as a catalyst within this reaction between Ce(IV) and As(III) leading to an reduction of the intensity of colour of the Ce(IV) substrate. The more iodide present, the more deiodination, the faster the loss of yellow colour (Waltz et al., 2010). This assay offers a double advantage of combining the classical deiodination assay with an easily accessible photometric measuring iodide release and the protocol has been adapted to improve throughput. The colorimetric method represents a promising alternative to radioactivity-based assays, but is still limited in sensitivity and restricted to rich sources of enzymatic activity.

54. **Conclusions.** Currently three main types of DI assays are available: Methods for assessing deiodinases activities by monitoring radioactive iodine release from labelled T4, chromatography-based method for quantifying deiodinase products of thyroxin and colorimetric method for estimating the release of iodine from T4. The challenge for all assay methods is to find sufficient quantity of human type-2 deiodinase activity to provide a model to test. Type-1 deiodinase is more easily acquired as it is present in liver microsomes. When the effect of xenobiotic on *enzyme activity* is the desired endpoint, use of the three approaches using purified or semi purified enzymes could be used. Among the assays dealing with deiodinase activity, radioactivity based assays are still the best balance between specificity and heavy material needs. HPLC is also a very sensitive method and offers a less biased approach because of the detection of all thyroid hormones metabolites.

RANKING PARAMETER ANALYSIS FOR DEIODINATION ASSAYS

RADIOACTIVE METHODS FOR ASSESSING DEIODINASE ACTIVITY	
1. Biological Plausibility	Strong. Outer ring deiodination via D1 converts T4 to T3 in peripheral tissues. Local deiodination regulate T3 concentrations within tissues via activity of D2 and D3
2. Extrapolation to humans, or broadly applicable across vertebrates/phyla	Strong. Deiodinase activity strongly conserved across species
3. Availability of Resources	Strong/Moderate. Limited access to D2 and D3 limit utility of assay primarily to assessment of D1. The choice of substrates is limited by the availability of isotope labelled molecules.
4. Reference Chemicals	PTU, T4, rT3, iopanoic acid, amiodarone
5. Assay Features/ Limitations	D1 activity is determined using a microsomal preparation and reaction buffers containing DTT as artificial cosubstrate. Enzymatic activity is determined by radioactive method. Used in several laboratories with comparable results. Broad range of dosages can be tested in a single assay, but throughput is limited. D2 and D3 as substrate not readily available so assay is limited to measures of peripheral diiodination via D1.
6. Documentation	St Germain and Galton (review) 1997; Visser, 1988, Kohrle et al. 1991

CHROMATOGRAPHY-BASED METHOD FOR ASSESSING DEIODINASE ACTIVITY	
1. Biological Plausibility	Strong. This assay investigates test substance effects D1deiodinase activity, ans essential step in the conversion T4 to T3 in the periphery.
2. Extrapolation to humans, or broadly applicable across vertebrates/phyla	Strong. Deiodinase activity strongly conserved across species
3. Availability of Resources	Moderate Method requires highly specialize equipment and technical expertise in analytical chemistry. High maintenance, costly and time consuming.
4. Reference Chemicals	PTU, T4, rT3, iopanoic acid.
5. Assay Features/ Limitations	Microsomal fractions from mice liver are dissected and D1 activity is measured by iodine quantification in the media fraction using LC-MS. Does not require radioactivity but analytical chemistry is costly. Limited throughput. As with radioactivity based assays, assessments of D2 and D3 are limited by their relative scarcity.
6. Documentation	Butt, Wang and Stapleton 2011

COLORIMETRIC METHOD FOR ASSESSING DEIODINASE ACTIVITY	
1. Biological Plausibility	Strong. Outer ring deiodination via D1 converts T4 to T3 in peripheral tissues. Local deiodination regulate T3 concentrations within tissues via activity of D2 and D3.
2. Extrapolation to humans, or broadly applicable across vertebrates/phyla	Strong. The reaction being analysed is common to all vertebrates.
3. Availability of Resources	Strong. The technology required for the performance of the assay is easily acquired.
4. Reference Chemicals	Strong. T4, MMI, PTU
5. Assay Features/ Limitations	Does not require radioactive isotopes or highly specialized instrumentation. Low throughput. Limited sensitivity relative radiometric assay. Possible interference with assay readout for iodine-containing compounds. Use of arsenic in the assay may be problematic due to its toxicity. As with all deiodinase assays described, is limited to D1 detection.
6. Documentation	Renko et al., 2012

EVALUATION OF BLOCK #5 ASSAYS - LOCAL CELLULAR CONCENTRATIONS

55. The block of assays targeting assessment of local concentrations of thyroid hormone encompasses two types of assays, those evaluating effects on the thyroid hormones membrane transporters and the deiodinase activity in the peripheral tissues (Figure 6). The analysis of the deiodinase activity assays, which are applicable in this block as well, is outlined in the section above.




Figure 6: Uptake and metabolism of TH by target cells. *Thyroid hormones are actively transported across cell membranes by any of a family of transporter proteins which vary in tissue distribution, and in their affinity for THs or their metabolites. The strongest evidence for the essential action of TH transporters in humans is for monocarboxylase transporter 8 (MCT8). Several substances, including tyrosine kinase inhibitor sunitinib (Sun) and anti-inflammatory drug meclofenamic acid (MFA) that inhibit MCT8-mediated T3 uptake by target cells have been identified and exposure to these causes impaired TH physiology. Once in the target cell, T4 is converted to the active T3 via the action of D2. D2 action can be blocked by iopanoate (IOP).*

56. Several TH membrane transporters assays are described in Part 2. The analysis of the assays with the view of their further development for inclusion in the OECD Test Guidelines programme concludes that the assays are at the highest level of readiness (Level A) or “*in vitro/ex vivo* assays that are **ready for validation in the short term**”. Given that the activation of TH receptors, which is a function of the local TH concentrations, can easily and rapidly be assayed without the use of radioactive isotopes. It may be feasible to develop thyroid receptor transactivation assays that can probe substances for both modalities (i.e. inhibition of transmembrane transporters and inhibition/activation of receptor transactivation). If cell lines with clearly characterized transport systems are not available to develop a dual modality assay, models such as those described in this section could be used to develop transmembrane transporter assays independently.

TH membrane transporters	Peripheral deiodination
A	C*
	* based on the analysis of deiodination assays in the previous section

Transmembrane Thyroid Hormone Transporter Assays

57. **Overview:** Movement of thyroid hormones into and out of cells is achieved, physiologically, via active transport primarily through any of several highly-specific transporter molecules. The identity of these and evidence for their role in thyroid physiology and pathophysiology are subjects of much ongoing research and several excellent reviews (Hennemann *et al.*, 2001; Heuer and Visser, 2009; Visser *et al.*, 2011). At least five transporters - Monocarboxylase Transporter 8 (MCT8), MCT10, Organic Anion Transporter Protein 1C1 (OATP1C1), OATP3A1 and the large neutral amino acid transporters (LAT1/2) - have been identified through *in vitro* pharmacological studies as being high affinity, high specificity transporters of thyroid hormones and other iodothyronines. A large number of other molecules are also able to transport thyroid hormones although these have lower specificity and there is very little evidence that any of these low specificity molecules play any role in *in vivo* thyroid physiology (see Table 1 in (Visser, *et al.*, 2011)). In contrast, there is strong evidence that the action of MCT8 plays a significant role in transporting T3 into target cells. Genetic defects that result in loss of function of MCT8 are associated with a congenital syndrome characterized by severe neurological defects, poor muscle development and altered circulating thyroid hormones (Dumitrescu *et al.*, 2004; Friesema *et al.*, 2004). The severity of deviation of circulating thyroid hormone levels from normal varies from case to case but elevated T3 and a tendency for reduced T4 and rT3 is consistent across cases (Schwartz *et al.*, 2005). In addition, in mouse models in which MCT8 coding gene (Slc16a2) has been knocked out show a pattern of altered circulating thyroid hormones similar to that found in human cases (Dumitrescu *et al.*, 2006). These studies and, in particular, the severe impact of impaired MCT8 activity in humans suggests that the activity this molecule is critical for normal human development.

58. Thyroid transporters in general and MCT8 in particular can also be inhibited by exogenous chemicals supporting their inclusion in a list of potential targets for thyroid toxicants. There have been many published studies showing that a number of pharmaceuticals can inhibit T3 uptake into a variety of cell types. Certain anti-inflammatory drugs (Lim *et al.*, 1996; Topliss *et al.*, 1989), benzodiazepines (Kragie and Doyle, 1992) calcium channel blockers (Powell *et al.*, 2000; Scholz *et al.*, 1997) and tyrosine kinase inhibitors (Braun *et al.*, 2012) among other substances (Yan and Hinkle, 1993) can influence T3 uptake into cultured cells *in vitro*. Thyroxine uptake into liver cells is impaired by a furan fatty acid derivative (3-carboxy-4-methyl-5-propyl-2-furanpropanoic acid) and indoxyl sulfate which are found in the serum of uremic patients (Lim *et al.*, 1993). Notably, neither of these substances impaired cellular T3 uptake in this latter study suggesting that the transporters for T4 and T3 are independent or at least have distinct sensitivities for inhibition. More recently Braun and colleagues reported that MCT8 was specifically inhibited by clinically-relevant concentrations of tyrosine kinase inhibitor drugs (Braun, *et al.*, 2012). These authors suggest that this mechanism could explain the observed hypothyroidism and other thyroid-related pathologies in patients administered these drugs. Thyroxine transport into HEK293 cells stably transfected to express the human OATP1c1 transporter was also inhibited by a variety of substances (Westholm *et al.*, 2009) including several fenamate non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs previously shown to inhibit T3 uptake into hepatic cell lines (Topliss, *et al.*, 1989). Thus, there is ample evidence that transfer of both T3 and T4 across target cell membranes is vulnerable to inhibition by xenobiotics suggesting that these molecules could be targets for anti-thyroid toxicants. Moreover, there are many publications describing variations of an assay that measures inhibition of the movement of T3, T4 or reverse T3 into cultured cells. Each of these involves measuring the accumulation of ¹²⁵I-labeled hormone in target cells after a very short incubation period (<10 min).

59. **Conclusion:** The development of screening assays for inhibition of thyroid hormone transmembrane transporters, particularly MCT8, is justified by the potential for this mechanism to impair thyroid physiology, the susceptibility of these to inhibition by diverse chemicals, the

availability of rapid methods adaptable for moderate throughput assays. Based on the state of science for transmembrane transporters one could argue that **the assay for this modality falls into Level A or “*in vitro/ex vivo* assays that are ready for validation in the short term” with the caveat** that the protocol would involve the use of radioisotopes. In addition, developing such assays should be considered secondary as this modality (transmembrane transport) will also be screened by assays for effects on transcriptional transactivation of the TR as a cell line used for any validated THR transactivation assay would have to contain a functional transmembrane transporter. In addition the validation of these latter assays must involve a characterization of the transporter molecules active in the cell models used. The value of a standardized assay to determine T3 uptake into the cell will be in following up such a finding to clarify the mechanism(s) by which this inhibition is induced.

RANKING PARAMETER ANALYSIS

RADIOLABELLED THYROID TRANSMEMBRANE TRANSPORTERS ASSAYS	
1. Biological Plausibility	Strong: Functional transporter molecules are essential for thyroid hormone signalling.
2. Extrapolation to humans, or broadly applicable across vertebrates/phyla	Moderate: Non-functional mutant MCT8 causes severe developmental deficiencies in humans. Lack of impaired brain development MCT8 knock-out mice suggests that this role is not necessarily conserved across the mammals. Impairing this mechanism alters thyroid signalling across most vertebrates but it is not clear if the consequences are equally severe in non-primates.
3. Availability of Resources	Strong: The technology required for the assay is simple and widely available. Cells expressing MCT8 or MCT10 can transport T3 and T4 across their membranes. High purity ¹²⁵ I – labelled T3 for MCT and T4 for OATP1c1 assays are readily available.
4. Reference Chemicals	Moderate. Several structurally distinct substances reported to impair T3 uptake into cells including several classes of drugs that were not designed to impair T3 or T4 uptake or physiology. One phytochemical (phloretin) reported to act on this mechanism. Among anthropogenic substances observed to inhibit TH uptake, no non-pharmaceuticals or clinical substances identified. However, few substances tested so this issue has yet to be broadly investigated.
5. Assay Features/ Limitations	Assay measures uptake of radio-labelled T3 or T4 into cells MCT8 MCT10 or OATP1c1. Limited availability of positive pharmacological substances. CV <20%, dynamic range 15X > than CV. Can distinguish strong vs weak vs inactive substances. Substances with in vivo action on hormone transport are positive in assay Uses radioactivity. Need for a large number of cells to ensure sufficiently measurable amounts of ¹²⁵ I-hormone may limit the degree to which this assay can be scaled up for HTP. Potential for some other MOAs to interfere with the assay (i.e., assay sensitive to effects on cellular energetics, ATP generation and binding, and probably membrane potential).
6. Documentation	Braun. et al. (2012); Friesema et al.2008; Kragie and D.Doyle 1992; Westholm et al. 2009; Topliss, et al. 1989

EVALUATION OF BLOCK #6 ASSAYS - CELLULAR RESPONSES

60. The block of assays targeting assessment of effects of xenobiotics on cellular processes affected by the activation of the TH nuclear receptors encompasses 5 types of assays. The assays monitor: (a) interactions with the ligand binding domain of the receptor; (b) effects on the activity of TR regulated genes; (c) interactions with TR co-regulators and (d) interaction with other receptors, such as RXRs; and (e) non-nuclear mediated responses (Figure 7).




Figure 7: Transactivation of the thyroid hormone receptor by T3. Transcriptional responses to TH stimulation are mediated via thyroid hormone receptors alpha or beta (TR). Either form act as ligand-activated transcription factors associated as a heterodimer (with Retinoid X Receptor-RXR) with cognate DNA sequences termed thyroid response elements (TRE). The TR contains a ligand binding domain (LBD), with high affinity for T3, and a DNA binding domain (DNA-BD) which remains in tight contact with the TRE regardless of the presence of T3. In the unliganded state, TR associates with a co-repressor and blocks transcription. Upon interaction with T3, the TR-RXR complex dissociates from the corepressor and binds a coactivator. This then attracts the polymerase complex resulting in transcription. Some synthetic molecules (e.g. NH3) inhibit TH-induced transcription while some metabolites of PCBs (particularly of PCBs 105 and 118 – OH-PCB105, 118) have been shown to induce TR transcriptional activation (Gauger et al., 2007).

RXR complex dissociates from the corepressor and binds a coactivator. This then attracts the polymerase complex resulting in transcription. Some synthetic molecules (e.g. NH3) inhibit TH-induced transcription while some metabolites of PCBs (particularly of PCBs 105 and 118 – OH-PCB105, 118) have been shown to induce TR transcriptional activation (Gauger et al., 2007).

61. The analysis of the assays that monitor interactions with the ligand binding domain of the receptors indicates moderate to low level (B to C) of readiness for these assays.

62. The analysis of the assays that monitor effects on the activity of TR regulated genes focuses on 10 individual assays (representative assays are described in more technical detail in section 6.2 of Part 2) and ranks them relative to each other for their readiness for inclusion in the TG work plan. This analysis takes into account specific aspects of the assays, such as: 1) stable and/or constitutive expression; 2) full-length receptor DNA; 3) single thyroid hormone receptor isoform; 4) mammalian cell line; 5) commercial kit availability and 6) luciferase reporter gene activity. Seven of these assays could be ranked at Level A, and 3 at Level B of readiness.

63. The assays that monitor TR interaction with co-regulators have not been analysed.

64. Assays for monitoring interactions of TR with other receptors and non-nuclear mediated responses have not been described in Part 2 and are not analysed at present.

Binding to TR LBD	Effects of TR transactivation	Co-Regulator interaction	Activation dimerization partners TR (RXR)	Non-Nuclear TR mediated responses
C to B	A to B	Not analysed	Covered in DRP 178 &	Not analysed

			DRP 97	
--	--	--	--------	--

Binding to TR Ligand Binding Domain (LBD)

65. **Overview:** It is well established that T3 and T4 critically regulate energy homeostasis, numerous metabolic pathways and the growth and differentiation of numerous organs. T4 is the predominant form of THs in the blood and is converted to T3 by deiodonases in cells. The majority of biological activities of THs are due to T3 due to its 10 to 15-fold higher binding affinity for thyroid hormone nuclear receptors (TRs) than T4 (Togashi et al. 2005). TRs are members of a superfamily of ligand-dependent transcription factors that include steroid, retinoic acid, and vitamin D receptors (Aranda and Pascual, 2001). The ligand-bound TRs preferentially heterodimerize with retinoid X receptor α (RXR α) then bind directly to the DNA on TH response elements (TREs) (Lazar and Chin, 1990). RXR is also a heterodimerisation partner for further nuclear receptors such as PXR, CAR and the PPARs.

66. Thyroid signalling is mediated by transcription of target genes in the nucleus. Transcription can be activated (positive TRE) or repressed (negative TRE) by T3, depending on the cellular or tissue environment. This transactivation is due to the binding of T3 to its nuclear receptor. Binding of T3 to the ligand binding domain of the TR receptor is the first requisite step in the thyroid mediated gene transcription. There are two different TR isotypes TR α and TR β each of which has two isoforms (TR α 1 and α 2 β 1 and β 2). In addition to T3 and T4, other ligands have the capacity to bind to TRs.

67. Several classes of environmental chemicals possess a high degree of structural similarity to THs, and thus have the potential to interfere with the binding of THs to TRs (Zoeller, 2005). For example, polychlorinated biphenyls bind to TR α and TR β as antagonists and disrupt TR-mediated transcription. In addition, polybrominated and polychlorinated diphenylethers, 3,3',5-trichlorobisphenol A; 3,3',5-tribromobisphenol A; and 3,3'-dibromobisphenol A have been reported to have antagonistic activity to TRs (Kitamura et al. 2005; Kudo and Yamauchi, 2005). However it is possible that different chemical species exerts different effects. PCBs for example may exert different actions on TRs depending on associated heterodimer partners, promoter structure, or different co-factors (Zoeller 2005).

68. Three basic type of assay systems have been developed to assess binding capacities of different ligands including natural hormones (T3), synthetic compounds (agonists GC1, GC24 antagonists NH-3) or chemical substances. These assays detect binding using the whole thyroid hormone receptor or may be limited to the ligand binding domain (LBD) of the TR.

69. The first category, the transfection assays, involves the entire TR into mammalian cells, yeast, or bacteria. Transfection assay permit dose-response studies and typically use reporter gene to quantify transactivation. A second category is based on affinity columns or cell free systems, and a third on *in silico* models. Affinity columns, cell free systems, or *in silico* approaches are not presently conducive to dose-response evaluations and will not be discussed further. However, a TR alpha/beta partner in cell free system will be included.

70. The following assays will be discussed further as they represent the most promising tools for the high-throughput *in vitro* screening of thyroid hormone receptor agonists and antagonists.

- Competitive binding assay for thyroid hormone receptor in MtT/E-2 cells
- TR alpha/beta activity using transient transfection in human cells
- TR alpha/beta partner in cell free system

- Bacterial biosensors for screening isoform-selective ligands for human thyroid receptors $\alpha 1$ and $\beta 1$.
- Effects of TR Ligands on Hormone Dissociation Rates

71. **Conclusion:** Several TR LBD assays have been developed in different cell-based or cell-free systems. The levels of sensitivity and reproducibility in these systems depend on the nature of the transfection. Concentrations used are often higher than those environmentally found. However these kinds of assays could be really useful in a first approach or chemicals/TR interactions. However, due to the very specific T3 binding pocket, very few environmental chemicals have been shown to interfere with thyroid signalling by this mechanism.

RANKING PARAMETER ANALYSIS

Competitive binding assay for thyroid hormone receptor in nuclear fraction of MtT/E-2 cells	
1. Biological Plausibility	Moderate. Binding to the ligand binding domain of thyroid hormone receptor is necessary to affect activation of thyroid hormone-mediated gene transcription. However the TR pocket is specific and using only LBD could modify general conformation.
2. Extrapolation to humans, or broadly applicable across vertebrates/phyla	Strong. Conservation of TR across species. Development of assays to compare LBD across species can be readily achieved.
3. Availability of Resources	Strong. All resources readily available. Assay requires radioactivity.
4. Reference Chemicals	Strong T3, T4, TBBPA, TCBPA, TMBPA, BPA
5. Assay Features/ Limitations	Specific assay for binding of hormone to the receptor. High throughput format. No patent. Requires radioactivity. Not a likely target of environmental toxicants.
6. Documentation	Kitamura et al., 2005

TR alpha/beta activity using transient transfection in human cells	
1. Biological Plausibility	Moderate. Binding to the ligand binding domain of thyroid hormone receptor is necessary to affect activation of thyroid hormone-mediated gene transcription.
2. Extrapolation to humans, or broadly applicable across vertebrates/phyla	Strong. Conservation of TR across species. Development of assays to compare LBD across species can be readily achieved.
3. Availability of Resources	Strong. All cells and constructs are readily accessible
4. Reference Chemicals	Strong. Agonists T3, T4, TRIAC, TETRAC, GC1 (TR beta preferential), GC24 (TR beta preferential), CO23 (TR alpha preferential) and antagonists NH-3.
5. Assay Features/ Limitations	TR alpha/beta activity assay using transient transfection in human cells. High throughput format. No patent. Interaction with TR receptor is not a likely target of environmental toxicants.
6. Documentation	Fini et al., 2012; Sun et al., 2012

TR alpha/beta partner in cell free system	
1. Biological Plausibility	<i>Moderate.</i> Binding to the ligand binding domain of thyroid hormone receptor is necessary to affect activation of thyroid hormone-mediated gene transcription.
2. Extrapolation to humans, broadly applicable across vertebrates/phyla	<i>Strong.</i> Conservation of TR across species. Development of assays to compare LBD across species can be readily achieved
Availability of Resources	<i>Strong</i> All cells and constructs easily acquired
4. Reference Chemicals	<i>Strong.</i> T3, TRIAC, TBBPA
5. Assay Features/ Limitations	Specific assay to detect interaction of chemicals at the receptor. High throughput format. Cell free based system. No patent. LBD is not a likely target of environmental toxicants.
6. Documentation	Lévy-Bimbot et al, 2012

Bacterial biosensors for screening isoform-selective ligands for human thyroid receptors α-1 and β-1.	
1. Biological Plausibility	<i>Moderate.</i> Binding to the ligand binding domain of thyroid hormone receptor is necessary to affect activation of thyroid hormone-mediated gene transcription.
2. Extrapolation to humans, or broadly applicable across vertebrates/phyla	<i>Strong.</i> Conservation of TR across species. Development of assays to compare LBD across species can be readily achieved
3. Availability of Resources	<i>Strong.</i> All cells and constructs readily available
4. Reference Chemicals	<i>Strong.</i> T3, TRIAC, TBBPA
5. Assay Features/ Limitations	Bacterial biosensors for screening isoform-selective ligands for human thyroid receptors α -1 and β -1. No radioactivity required. High throughput 384-well format, moderate variability (CV<20%), no patent. LBD is not a likely target of environmental toxicants.
6. Documentation	Gierach et al, 2012

Effects of TR Ligands on Hormone Dissociation Rates	
1. Biological Plausibility	<i>Moderate.</i> Binding to the ligand binding domain of thyroid hormone receptor is necessary to affect activation of thyroid hormone-mediated gene transcription.
2. Extrapolation to humans, broadly applicable across vertebrates/phyla	<i>Strong.</i> Conservation of TR across species.
3. Availability of Resources	<i>Moderate.</i> Requires radioactivity. Other assay component readily available.
4. Reference Chemicals	<i>Strong.</i> GC24, GC1, NH-3
5. Assay Features/ Limitations.	High throughput format. Cell free based system. Limited sensitivity, detection of test chemical effects are in the μ M range. No patent. Requires radioactivity. Interaction at the LBD of TR receptor is not a likely target of environmental toxicants.
6. Documentation	Cunha Lima, et al., 2009

Effects of TR Transactivation

72. **Overview:** As described above, thyroid signalling is mediated by transcription of target genes in the nucleus. Many steps in the pathway exist beyond simple binding to the TR before thyroid signalling is manifest. The ligand-bound TRs bind directly to the DNA on TH response elements (TREs), hexanucleotide motifs generally located upstream of the promoter region of target genes (Yen, 2001). TRs recognize consensus AGGTCA sequences that are organized in direct repeats (DR4), palindromes, or inverted palindromes. The unliganded TRs recruit co-repressors that function to repress transcription. The binding of T3 to TRs induces co-repressor release and co-activator recruitment that results in transcriptional activation. The two major TR isoforms are encoded by different genes, TR α and TR β . Both TR isoforms bind to T3 and mediate TH-regulated gene expression. Assays described in the previous section evaluate the potential for thyroid disruptors to interfere with the binding of T3 to the ligand binding domain on the receptor. Assays to be considered here determine the consequence of this binding, transcriptional activation.

73. Several *in vitro* bioassays (i.e., stable and transient) have been developed for the screening of TR ligands. These bioassays have traditionally been based on the quantification of a reporter enzyme and thus constitute an indirect measure of nuclear receptor activation in mammalian cells or yeasts (Zoeller, 2005; Jugan et al. 2007; Moriyama et al. 2002). These assays are fast and relatively simple, and yeast based assays are more cost effective and technically easier than mammalian *in vitro* assays. However, yeast assays primarily utilize only the ligand binding domain of TRs. Shiizaki et al. 2010 recently described the development of a novel reporter yeast assay system utilizing full-length human TRs and co-activators. There are further important biological considerations when prioritising which thyroid assays (mammalian/yeast) to take forwards for development purposes, depending upon the intended use. For instance yeast based assays have common issues, when inserting the different receptors, in that yeast have no, or only very limited metabolic capacities (Bovee et al., 2006, 2008a,b, 2010 cited in Jacobs et al 2013). This can actually be an advantage, as yeast based assays in which hormone receptors from a variety of species can be inserted along with reporter genes, may provide a comparative advantage for screening for EAS by providing clear information on hormone receptor activation due to a parent compound, as compared with assays that incorporate some (potential) downstream metabolism. Whilst previously they have been identified as suffering from limitations such a cell wall permeability and transport issues (see Jacobs et al., 2013 for a fuller review Shiizaki et al., 2010) in practice, from experience with the ER and AR systems, yeast systems may be useful to isolate a 'pure receptor mediated response' from one that might also include some residual metabolism, such as that occurring in vertebrate cell systems (Bovee and Pikkemaat, 2009, Jacobs et al 2013). Additional bioassays utilizing frog (*Xenopus laevis*) and fish (*Oryzias latipes*) recombinant cell lines for screening thyroid system disrupting chemicals have also been described (Sugiyama et al. 2005; Oka et al. 2012).

74. The recent advent and use of stably transfected luciferase reporter gene assays to study interference with either TR α and/or TR β -mediated signalling pathways by chemicals has conferred a greater level of specificity and sensitivity. These assays represent promising tools for the high-throughput *in vitro* screening of thyroid hormone receptor agonists and antagonists.

75. **Conclusion:** Several TR specific reporter gene assays have been developed, optimized, and verified using TH analogues of known potencies. The availability and use of stable *in vitro* assays, as opposed to transient assays, confers a greater level of sensitivity and reproducibility in examining the agonistic/antagonistic properties of suspected endocrine disrupting compounds. Therefore, based upon the current state of the science for TRs, several *in vitro* TR reporter gene assays may be designated as modality Level A.

RXR specific assays are not discussed here as they are generally discussed in DRP 178 (OECD 2012).

RANKING PARAMETER ANALYSIS

RAT PITUITARY TUMOUR CELL (GH3) REPORTER GENE ASSAY	
1. Biological Plausibility	Strong. Reporter gene assay to detect TR-mediated activity of T3 T4 and structurally related ligands. This luciferase reporter gene assay targets TR-mediated activity.
2. Extrapolation to humans, or broadly applicable across vertebrates/phyla	Strong. The GH3 is a rat pituitary tumour cell line. TR conserved across species.
3. Availability of Resources	Strong. Basic cell culture protocols and materials are used and widely available. The assay is not complex and can be conducted in any laboratory with standard cell culture facilities.
4. Reference Chemicals	Strong. T3, T4, acetic acid derivatives of T3 and T4. Hydroxyl metabolites of PCBs and BDEs, TCBPA, TBBPA
5. Assay Features/ Limitations	The cell line has previously been used study the interference of compounds with thyroid hormone action. Mammalian cells, constitutive, stable expression. Full TR α and TR β expression. Simple, rapid, high throughput, low variability, large dynamic range, high sensitivity in picomolar range for T3. Used in Tox21 screen. Not receptor specific. Assay is in A state of readiness but target is not a common site of interference of thyroid signalling by environmental toxicants.
6. Documentation	Freitas et al., 2011

RAT PHEOCHROMOCYTOMA CELL (PC12) REPORTER GENE ASSAY	
1. Biological Plausibility	Strong. Reporter gene assay targets the TR α -1 isoform within the T3 signalling pathway
2. Extrapolation to humans, or broadly applicable across vertebrates/phyla	Strong. PC12 is a mammalian cell line, extensively used as a model for the induction of neuronal differentiation by nerve growth factor.
3. Availability of Resources	Strong. Basic cell culture protocols and materials are used and widely available. It is a standard reporter gene-based, whole cell assay. Assay components including plasmid constructs, TR α 1-expressing PC12 cells easily acquired. The assay is not complex and can be conducted in any laboratory with standard cell culture facilities.
4. Reference Chemicals	Strong. T3 Triac D-T3 T4 rT3, several TH analogues 3,3,5,5'-tetrabromobisphenol, pentachlorophenol, 2,4,6-triiodophenol.
5. Assay Features/ Limitations	Luciferase reporter gene assay targets the TR α -1 isoform within the T3 signalling pathway. Full TR α 1 stably expressed in mammalian cells. Low intra and inter assay variation, detection at low pM concentrations of T3, large dynamic range, high throughput potential as has been optimized for multi-well microplates. Needs cytotoxicity assay incorporated. TR receptor expressed is of avian descent. Not a common site of interference of thyroid signalling by environmental

	toxicants.
6. Documentation	Jugan et al., 2007
HUMAN TRα and TRβ REPORTER GENE ASSAY SYSTEMS: INDIGO BIOSCIENCES	
1. Biological Plausibility	Strong. TR α and TR β Reporter Assay System kits assesses both agonist and antagonist functional activity.
2. Extrapolation to humans, or broadly applicable across vertebrates/phyla	Strong. Mammalian cell system with constitutive full-length human TR α and TR β .
3. Availability of Resources	Strong. . Sold as independent kits that can be run in a 96-well plate format. Reagents are configured so that each group will comprise 32 assays. If desired, however, reagents may be combined to perform either 64 or 96 assays.
4. Reference Chemicals	Strong. All materials provided in the kit, including positive and negative controls. Other chemicals widely available for purchase.
5. Assay Features/ Limitations	Human TR α and TR β stable expression in mammalian cell line is coupled with a highly responsive luciferase reporter gene. Low background luminescence and highly selective for ligand activation of hTRs. TR α assessed independently of TR β . Sensitivity to T3 in nM concentrations and displays large dynamic range and dose-response. Commercially available in 96-well formats for cost of \$1000. Assays in an A state of readiness, but not a common site of interference of thyroid signalling by environmental toxicants. Proprietary.
6. Documentation	Yes, technical manual and protocol provided. http://indigobiosciences.com/tr%CE%B1-nr1a1

GENEBLAZER® TR BETA DA ASSAY KIT - INVITROGEN INC SYSTEM	
1. Biological Plausibility	Strong. This TR β Reporter Assay System kit assesses both agonist and antagonist functional activity.
2. Extrapolation to humans, or broadly applicable across vertebrates/phyla	Strong. HEK293T cell system stably transfected with the ligand-binding domain of human TR β coupled with a β -lactamase reporter gene.
3. Availability of Resources	Strong. All assay components are sold commercially as a kit that can be run in a 384-well plate.
4. Reference Chemicals	Strong. Positive controls included in kit
5. Assay Features/ Limitations	Cell system stably transfected with the full ligand-binding domain of human TR β coupled with a β -lactamase reporter gene. Both agonist and antagonist activity can be detected. Assay performance acceptable under variable conditions, including DMSO concentration, cell number, stimulation time, and substrate loading time. Highthroughput in 384-well format for ~\$1000. ToxCast results indicate poor correlation with very limited EDSP/OECD Reference chemicals and assay not selected for ToxCast screen. In 'A' state of readiness but not a common site of interference of thyroid signalling by environmental toxicants. Proprietary.
6. Documentation	Yes, technical manual and protocol provided.

	http://products.invitrogen.com/ivgn/product/K1389
XENOPUS LAEVIS CELL LINE REPORTER GENE ASSAY XL58-TRE-LUC TRβ	
1. Biological Plausibility	Strong. Xenopus laevis widely used as a laboratory animal; development and expression of its gene are well characterized. X. laevis has been approved as an experimental model for evaluating the effects of endocrine-disrupting chemical (EDCs) in amphibians by OECD.
2. Extrapolation to humans, or broadly applicable across vertebrates/phyla	Strong. TR receptor highly conserved across species The transgene of the LV system can be introduced into organisms as well as cell lines – vector system can be used for expanded host species, especially cold-blooded lower vertebrates
3. Availability of Resources	Strong. Basic cell culture protocol and materials are used and widely available. The assay is not complex and can be conducted in any laboratory with standard cell culture facilities
4. Reference Chemicals	Strong. Positive and negative pure chemicals are widely available. T3 Triac T4 rT3 17 β –estradiol – Specificity is in agreement with the binding specificity of amphibian and mammalian
5. Assay Features/ Limitations	Stable expression of full TR β with high thyroid hormone responsiveness. The transgene can be introduced into organisms as well as cell lines. Vector system can be used for expanded host species, especially cold-blooded lower vertebrates. High sensitivity and reproducibility, rapid and useful for highthroughput. Limited by X. Laevis T3-response elements. In ‘A’ state of readiness but not a common site of interference of thyroid signalling by environmental toxicants.
6. Documentation	Sugiyama et al., 2005a; 2005b

YEAST REPORTER ASSAY SYSTEMS FOR TR α and TRβ	
1. Biological Plausibility	Strong. The assay targets TR α or TR β ligands and examines responses to endogenous THs and other chemicals.
2. Extrapolation to humans, or broadly applicable across vertebrates/phyla	Strong. TRs highly conserved across species. Although conducted in yeast, the reporter assay utilizes intact human TRs cDNA and coactivator.
3. Availability of Resources	Strong. Basic cell culture protocols and materials are used and widely available. The assay is not complex and can be conducted in any laboratory with standard cell culture facilities.
4. Reference Chemicals	Strong. Highly responsive four model toxic compounds suspected to have thyroid-disrupting activity were evaluated.
5. Assay Features/ Limitations	Full human TR α or TR β cDNA stable expression in yeast cells. In contrast to endogenous TR ligands, high doses ($>10^{-6}$ M) of the test chemicals required for activation and only four model chemicals tested thus far. Agonist and antagonist activity observed. Responses to endogenous ligands correlated well with mammalian cells. Technically simple, cost effective, 96-well plate format. Not as sensitive as <i>in vivo</i> X. Laevis assay possibly due to reporter gene and its substrates. B-galactosidase was utilized as activity of this enzyme can be detected without complex extraction procedure. Possibly higher sensitivity

	achieved using different substrates. In ‘A’ state of readiness but not a common site of interference of thyroid signalling by environmental toxicants
6. Documentation	Shiizaki et al, 2010

HUMAN HEPG2 CELL REPORTER GENE ASSAY	
1. Biological Plausibility	Strong. Reporter gene assay to detect TR-mediated activity.
2. Extrapolation to humans, or broadly applicable across vertebrates/phyla	Strong. TRs highly conserved across species. This assay expresses full human TR α and β . Metabolism potential maintained in this cell line. Liver is primary target organ of T3 metabolism and action.
3. Availability of Resources	Strong. No technically challenging platforms. All components of assay and control test substances readily available.
4. Reference Chemicals	Strong. Positive and negative pure chemicals are widely available.– Synthetic TR agonist GC-1, the antagonists NH-3, and endocrine disrupting compounds OMC and 4NP known to exert thyroid effects in vivo behaved as expected. .
5. Assay Features/ Limitations	Cells express full human TR α and TR β . Cells maintain a degree of metabolic potential possessing residual P450 activity, and can be transfected with various CYPs. Activation and competition assays performed (i.e., exposure to test chemical with and without T3). Can distinguish between agonists, partial agonists and antagonists of TR. Assay variability is low (CV<5%) but performance limited to one laboratory. EDC concentrations were limited to a maximum concentration of 10 μ M to distinguish cytotoxic from endocrine disrupting effects. Co-transfection with TR expression plasmids increases dynamic range and maximal induction. Under such conditions >100-fold induction efficiencies can detect weak EDCs. Results similar to in vivo effects on expression of hepatic gene D101 with greater sensitivity in reporter assay. Limited as transient transfection, potential for false negatives. In ‘B’ state of readiness but not a common site of interference of thyroid signalling by environmental toxicants.
6. Documentation	Hofmann et al., 2009

RAT PITUITARY CELL LINE MTT/E-2 LUCIFERASE REPORTER ASSAY	
1. Biological Plausibility	Strong. Utilising a luciferase gene expression signal this assay measures the response to T3 or T4 that in the animal model is likely to increase the proliferation of the MTT/E-2 cells.
2. Extrapolation to humans, or broadly applicable across vertebrates/phyla	Strong. Thyroid hormone induced cell proliferation is a response highly conserved across species. Mammalian cell line.
3. Availability of Resources	Strong. Cell culture protocol and materials are used and widely available. The assay is not complex and can be conducted in any laboratory with standard cell culture facilities.
4. Reference Chemicals	Strong. Positive and negative control substances widely available (T3,

	T4, dexamethasone, 17 β -estradiol, progesterone, dihydrotestosterone). Bithionol, closantel and raxofanide were obtained from collaborators.
5. Assay Features/ Limitations	Rat cells with transient transfection of full TR α or TR β . Serum free medium and highly sensitive (T3 10 ⁻¹¹ M) Low variability low (<5%). Compounds structurally related to T3 exhibit thyroid-hormone like activity at a range of 10 ⁻⁶ to 10 ⁻⁷ M. No effect of negative controls. Assay in a 'B' state of readiness but not a common site of interference of thyroid signalling by environmental toxicants.
6. Documentation	Matsubara et al., 2012.

MONKEY KIDNEY FIBROBLAST CV-1 REPORTER GENE ASSAYS

1. Biological Plausibility	Strong. Reporter gene assay targets the TR α and TR β isoforms within the T3 signalling pathway in a monkey kidney cell line (CV-1). Cell line widely used to examine regulation of gene expression by T3. .
2. Extrapolation to humans, or broadly applicable across vertebrates/phyla	Strong. Response is highly conserved across species and cell types.
3. Availability of Resources	Strong. Basic cell culture protocols and materials are used and widely available. The assay is not complex and can be conducted in any laboratory with standard cell culture facilities.
4. Reference Chemicals	Moderate. Positive control substances along with 3 model potentiating compounds of known potencies were evaluated.
5. Assay Features/ Limitations	CV-1 is monkey kidney cell line that does not express endogenous TRs but retains some metabolic capability via 17 alpha oxidase and 5 alpha reductase. The acceptance level was set at $p \leq 0.05$. Xenopus TRs assessed, transient transfection. Limited compounds tested. False negative detected in that hexabromocyclododecane (HBCD) did not shown any TR α or TR β activation. Not a common site of interference of thyroid signalling by environmental toxicants.
17. Documentation	Limited. Schriks et al., 2007.

VERO CELL THYROID HORMONE REPORTER BETA REPORTER GENE ASSAY

1. Biological Plausibility	Strong. The Vero cell reporter system is African green monkey kidney cell line shown to have an appropriate response to the natural TR ligand T3.
2. Extrapolation to humans, or broadly applicable across vertebrates/phyla	Strong. TR receptor activation is highly conserved across species.
3. Availability of Resources	Strong. Basic cell culture protocols and materials are used and widely available. The assay is not complex and can be conducted in any laboratory with standard cell culture facilities.
4. Reference Chemicals	Weak. Endogenous ligands readily available but none of the tested chemicals exhibited TR agonistic activity in the assay.
5 Assay Features/ Limitations	Monkey cell line with transient transfection of TR β receptor. Luciferase readout. Cells do not contain endogenous receptors.. BPA and DEHP had weak antagonist activity but neither of these chemicals

	could reach the RIC ₂₀ in the tested concentration range. The cytotoxicity determined by MTT assay. No cytotoxic effects were observed. Very limited data and technical information available. In 'B' state of readiness. Not a common site of interference of thyroid signalling by environmental toxicants.
6. Documentation	Limited documentation but see Sun et al., 2012

EVALUATION OF BLOCK #7 ASSAYS - RELEVANT SHORT-TERM ASSAYS INTEGRATING MULTIPLE MOAS

76. This block of assays targets assessment of effects of xenobiotics on various MOAs leading to disruption thyroid function using zebrafish, sea urchin, and xenopus embryo, or organ explants cultures. These assays use whole animals or explants from whole animals and therefore potentially address multiple, integrated mechanisms of action. They are not strictly *in vitro* assays and were considered separately as *ex vivo* assays because they focus on thyroid functions and would be highly informative. Some of these assays have been used for a long time (xenopus metamorphosis) or sufficient data has become available for their validation and development of OECD Test Guidelines (GFP-Xenopus laevis embryo assay, TG under development in Project 2.39).

77. In this section a zebrafish embryo assay and a thyroid gland explant culture from *Xenopus laevis* assay are analysed for their readiness for inclusion in the OECD Test Guideline programme and were found to be at a medium (level B) of readiness. The sea urchin assay and short-term Xenopus metamorphosis were not analysed at this time.

Zebrafish Embryo	Sea Urchin Metamorphosis Assay	GFP-Xenopus Embryo	Short Term Xenopus Metamorphosis Assay	Thyroid Gland Explant Culture
B	Not analysed	TG under development in Project 2.39	Not analysed	B

Zebrafish Eleutheroembryo Thyroid Assay

78. **Overview:** All vertebrates, including teleost fish, share several features of thyroid development and physiology. The study of zebrafish thyroid development and function has been facilitated by the transparent nature and rapid development of the embryo, allowing the ready application of mutagenic screens, cell lineage tracing and fate mapping, whole mount *in situ* and immunohistochemical analysis of orthologous (relative to mammalian) gene expression and gene array studies.

79. The endpoint measured in this assay is T4 concentration in thyroid follicles of 5 days post-fertilization (dpf) zebrafish following 3 days of exposure to a water-borne chemical. On day 5 pf, larvae are fixed, and subjected to whole-mount immunofluorescence analysis using a polyclonal rabbit anti-T4 antibody. Total T4 immunofluorescence in follicular cells is then quantified via image analysis and compared with untreated or vehicle-treated controls.

80. The assay was developed using MMI and PTU, potassium perchlorate (KClO₄), amiodaron, and exogenous T3 (Raldua and Babin, 2009). The assay was then used to screen the thyroid-disrupting effect of several chemicals exposed at the Maximum Tolerated Concentrations (MTC): 2,4-Dichlorophenoxyacetic acid (2,4-D), 1,1-bis(4-chlorophenyl)-2,2,2-trichloroethane (DDT), 4-nonylphenol (4-NP), methylmercury (MeHg) and fenoxicarb and atrazine as negative controls. A subsequent study screened MMI, KClO₄, DDT and 22 additional chemicals (Thienpont et al. 2011). A positive was defined as a statistically significant difference in fluorescent signal between treated and vehicle control animals. In the second study, verification of thyroid effects was carried out by comparing concentration-response (EC50) of T4 signal with lethality (LC50) to determine a Thyroid Disrupting Index (LC50/EC50). There is a potential for confounding results using this measure as it is possible that T4 signalling could be altered by non-specific factors at concentrations below those causing lethality.

81. **Conclusion:** This assay has strong potential for identifying water soluble chemicals that directly affect thyroid function, and may be more generally applicable (e.g. to chemicals that have indirect function). Based on strong conservation of mechanism, this assay has the potential to be relevant to vertebrates in general if species differences in metabolism are taken into account. Downsides of the assay include the labour-intensive and potentially subjective nature of manual image capture, as well as the requirement of proximity to a fish breeding facility. In addition, in a whole animal system, it is possible that T4 signalling could be altered by non-specific factors at concentrations below those causing lethality and therefore determinations regarding mechanism of action would need to be made as part of a weight-of-evidence evaluation. Another potential consideration is interference by the chorion for large and/or lipophilic substances: although exposure in this protocol begins on day 2 pf when most embryos will have hatched, some embryos may remain in the chorion until day 3 pf. Permeability of the chorion can be enhanced by the use of a solvent such as DMSO (Kais et al. 2013). Next steps in assay development would include development of a standardized protocol, measurement of *in situ* chemical concentrations, and repeat experiments designed to evaluate the sensitivity, reproducibility and transferability of the assay. The assay could be improved by the inclusion of additional endpoints including quantification of mRNA expression levels of different genes (e.g. by qPCR), or the concentrations of different proteins in the embryo (e.g. by ELISA). This would allow analysis of many different components of the thyroid system in the same assay.

RANKING PARAMETER ANALYSIS

ZEBRAFISH ELEUTHEROEMBRYO THYROID ASSAY	
1. Biological Plausibility	Strong. Synthesis of T4 in in the thyroid follicle is a well-established and highly conserved key event in thyroid signalling. Although not directly assessing T4 synthesis, the endpoint (T4 in the thyroid) is the affected by several documented initiating events.
2. Extrapolation to humans, or broadly applicable across vertebrates/phyla	Strong to Moderate. Main elements of thyroid development and function are conserved between fish and mammals including humans. However, there are some differences in structure and feedback mechanisms that may affect translatability of results. In addition, metabolic capacity of the zebrafish larva will need to be considered.
3. Availability of Resources	Moderate. The assay itself is not complex but requires histological techniques and high resolution fluorescent microscopy. The assay requires access to a zebrafish breeding facility.
4. Reference Chemicals	Moderate. Well characterized positive chemicals that include pharmaceuticals, industrial chemicals and pesticides; thus far approximately 25 chemicals have been tested (Thienpont et al. 2011).
5. Features /Limitations	Whole animal model. Good concordance with mammalian and <i>in vitro</i> results for chemicals with direct action on the thyroid gland, poor concordance for chemicals with indirect action on thyroid. High variability, but improving. Good model for aqueous solutions, but some large molecules may not be able to pass the chorion during the first 3 days of the embryo development. Low throughput. Utility limited as thyroid endpoints evaluated are limited.
6. Documentation	Raldua and Babin, 2009; Thienpont et al. 2011; Henn & Braunbeck 2011; Kais et al. 2013

Thyroid Gland Explant Culture

82. **Overview:** The thyroid gland explant cultures provide an assay system in which the ability of chemicals to affect thyroid hormone synthesis and release can be assessed at the level of the whole gland. The assay uses thyroid glands dissected from pro-metamorphic *Xenopus laevis* tadpoles to determine the potential for xenobiotic chemicals to act directly on the thyroid to inhibit T4 release (Hornung et al. 2012). It measures the release of hormone as an apical endpoint of thyroid gland function and may reflect the effects on any number of molecular initiating events in the thyroid gland that lead to reduced T4 release. The model chemicals used to develop this *ex vivo* assay targeted two separate cellular functions necessary for thyroid synthesis; namely thyroid peroxidase activity and iodide uptake. A list of other potential molecular targets that could lead to decreased glandular T4 synthesis and/or release could be evaluated, including inhibition of proper TSH signalling and cellular processing events that modulate T4 release. So although the assay may reflect the potential for a chemical to alter the ability of the thyroid gland to release T4, the specific mechanism(s) by which this is produced are not identified.

83. To perform the assay, tadpole thyroid glands are cultured in 96-well plates in media containing TSH to stimulate synthesis and release of T4 that is measured by RIA. This assay can be used in conjunction with other *in vitro* assays in which chemicals are tested for their potential to inhibit thyroid hormone synthesis. For example, chemicals that are found to inhibit TPO activity *in vitro* should also inhibit T4 synthesis and subsequent release in the cultured thyroid glands. Thus the explant culture system could be used to verify that the effect of a chemical on a specific molecular initiating event (e.g. TPO inhibition) *in vitro* is translated to a higher level of biological organization.

84. One concern with this assay is its time requirement owing in part to variability in responses due to differences in endogenous levels of thyroid hormone in the glands from different animals. To detect the decreased T4 release from the glands, stimulation by TSH over several days is required to decrease the level of stored thyroid hormone. Because T4 released from the *X. laevis* thyroid gland is presumably a combination of newly synthesized hormone and stored hormone, the amount of stored T4 contributing to the total amount released must be decreased to permit detection of a change in newly synthesized T4. Thus this assay is a relatively low throughput assay in which the glands are cultured over 8-d and the T4 released over the final 48h in culture.

85. An additional concern is the reliance on an inhibition endpoint, is that reduced T4 release could also be associated with general stress in the cultured glands. For chemicals that are very potent TH synthesis inhibitors and show no overt toxicity to the gland, the assay works well as a tool to verify the activity of the chemical *in vitro*. For chemicals that inhibit T4 release but also produce toxicity in the glands, it may be difficult to confirm that the inhibition of thyroid hormone release is due to specific inhibition of the thyroid hormone synthesis and release pathway and not due to toxicity. Integrating measures of cytotoxicity with this assay to separate specific thyroid hormone inhibition from general toxicity is currently under investigation.

86. **Conclusion:** The thyroid explant cultures may provide value in the future as a tool to investigate thyroid-specific signalling events in a low throughput mode. As currently described the assay could be used as a pre-screen for chemicals that could impair the TSH-stimulated T4 release from the thyroid glands without regard to mechanism. However, it is not sufficiently developed to be used alone as a diagnostic screening tool for thyroid hormone synthesis inhibitors, iodide uptake inhibitors, or chemicals acting via other specific molecular initiating events.

RANKING PARAMETER ANALYSIS

THYROID GLAND EXPLANT CULTURE ASSAY	
1. Biological Plausibility	Moderate. This assay measures the release of hormone which is an apical endpoint of thyroid gland function. As such this endpoint can reflect the effects on any number of molecular initiating events in the thyroid gland that would lead to reduced T4 release.
2. Extrapolation to humans, or broadly applicable across vertebrates/phyla	Strong. The conserved nature of pathways for thyroid hormone synthesis and release would indicate that the responses within the isolated thyroid gland culture could be readily extrapolated across other vertebrates.
3. Availability of Resources	Moderate. Assay can be performed readily where <i>X. laevis</i> cultures are available but dissection and availability of tadpole thyroid glands is a limitation. Detection of the T4 released by the glands is done via commercially available canine T4 RIA kit using radioactive 125-I labelled T4.
4. Reference Chemicals	Moderate-Strong. The assay was developed using three model thyroid synthesis inhibitors, MMI, PTU, perchlorate that act by either inhibiting TPO or inhibiting iodide uptake into the gland. MMI used as a positive control and gives a response curve that spans the range starting near 5 µM to full inhibition of T4 release at 50 µM. This assay has also detected inhibition of release by industrial chemicals (unpublished).
5. Assay Features/ Limitations	Integrated assay for thyroid-specific signalling events. Low throughput. Minimal number of chemicals tested. High variability basal release among glands. Access to tadpoles and difficulty dissecting thyroid gland are limitations. General toxicity assay needs to be incorporated.
6. Documentation	Hornung et al., 2012

EVALUATION OF BLOCK #8 ASSAYS - INTEGRATIVE CELLULAR ASSAYS

87. The block encompasses assays that target assessment of the effects of xenobiotics on cellular proliferation and differentiation that is regulated by the activation of the TH nuclear receptors. The block encompasses 3 assay types. T-screen assays that evaluate cell proliferation of rat pituitary tumour-cell line (GH3) were analysed. Assays probing migration and differentiation of human neural progenitor cells (hNPC) in response to TH nuclear receptor activation were also assessed. Both were found to be at low level of readiness for inclusion in the OECD Test Guideline programme. Analysis of TSH-responsive cell proliferation assay was not possible due to the lack of publicly available information.

T-Screen (TR induced proliferation assay)	Human neural progenitor cell	TSH induced proliferation assay
C	C	Not analysed

T-Screen - TR Induced Proliferation Assay

88. **Overview:** The T-screen assay is a proliferation assay based on the growth of rat pituitary GH3 cells, which is a rat pituitary tumour cell line. The cell growth is dependent on the T3 and the cells have a high expression of thyroid hormone receptors (TRs). The growth stimulatory effect of T3 is mediated by well characterized specific, high-affinity TRs that upon binding of thyroid hormone (TH) bind to thyroid hormone responsive elements (TREs) in the cell nucleus ultimately leading to gene expression. The T-Screen assay can be used for the detection of agonistic and antagonistic properties of compounds at the level of the thyroid receptor (TR).

89. **Conclusion:** The T-screen could be applied as a valuable method for screening of compounds for TR-mediated effects. However, the endpoint is a non-specific. Alterations in cell proliferation may arise from any one of a number of mechanisms and as such the assay may be expected to give rise to a number of false positive compounds – i.e., compounds that may interfere with cell proliferation of pituitary cells but through a TH-independent mechanism. There has not been sufficient testing performed to estimate the degree of false positives that may result from this assay. It also has a major drawback, as most thyroid EAS/EDs do not interact with the receptor but interfere with the transport or synthesis of T3 and T4 (Jacobs et al 2013). Furthermore, if the T-Screen assay is to be validated with the aim of becoming an OECD Test Guideline method, the assay method would have to undergo alignment and optimization.

RANKING PARAMETER ANALYSIS

T – SCREEN PROLIFERATION ASSAY	
1. Biological Plausibility	Moderate-Strong. Thyroid hormone induces cell proliferation by activating thyroid hormone receptors (TR). This assay detects agonistic and antagonistic properties of compounds on proliferation.
2. Extrapolation to humans, or broadly applicable across vertebrates/phyla	Strong. Assay used to effects at the level of the TR. As the TRs are highly conserved between various vertebrate species, the assay is expected to be broadly applicable across species including humans.
3. Availability of Resources	Strong. Expertise and technology required for the performance of the assay is easily acquired.
4. Reference Chemicals	Moderate. T3 is used as a positive control. Various industrial and commercial compounds tested include PCBs, phthalates, brominated diphenylethers, and pesticides.
5. Assay Features/ Limitations	Rat pituitary cell proliferation assessed in presence and absence of T3. Effects of chemicals are reproducible within same lab. Effects may arise from a number of non-thyroid mediated effects. Few environmental chemical produce their effects by interacting at the level of the thyroid receptor.
6. Documentation	Long et al., 2012; Ghisari and Bonefeld-Jorgensen, 2005

Human Neural Progenitor Cell Proliferation/Differentiation/Migration

90. **Overview:** One of the primary concerns for thyroid hormone disruption is the effect that either too much or too little hormone will negatively impact brain development. A three-dimensional cell aggregate system known as a 'neurosphere' has been proposed as a screening tool to identify developmental neurotoxicants. This assay encompasses growth of normal human neural progenitor cells (hNPC) cultured as free-floating neurospheres in proliferation medium and plating onto a poly-D-lysine/laminin matrix. Assessment of cell viability, proliferation, migration, and differentiation is conducted following a 1-2-week preincubation period with test compounds. NPCs grown as neurospheres appear to mimic the fundamental processes of brain development including two thyroid-dependent processes, cell migration and oligodendrocyte differentiation. Individual spheres in single wells of a 96-well plate proliferate over time and exhibit a zonal distribution of astrocytes in the periphery and a mix of astrocytes and neurons in the centre. This is believed to result from a growth factor gradient from the periphery to the centre of the sphere (Moors et al., 2009). Proliferation is determined by simply measuring sphere size in contrast to negative controls without added mitogens. Cell viability is determined by measuring mitochondrial reductase activity using the AlamarBlue assay. On withdrawal of growth factors and in the presence of an appropriate matrix substrate, cell migration is initiated and cells move from the sphere over the course of several days in a radial and tangential migration pattern. Migration is measured by determining the distance from the edge of the sphere to the furthest migrated cells 48-hours after initiation at four defined positions on the sphere.

91. The molecular signals controlling migration in neurospheres are not yet known, but T3 added to the medium can initiate and facilitate this behaviour (Moors et al., 2009; Fritsche et al., 2005; Schreiber et al., 2010). Oligodendrocyte precursor cells are present in the migration area outside of the sphere indicative of morphologic maturation with the passage of time, and the number of oligodendrocytes is increased in the presence of T3 (Moors et al., 2009; Schreiber et al., 2010; Fritsche et al., 2005). Based on these *in vivo* and *in vitro* data, both of these developmental processes are known to require thyroid hormone. Fritsche and colleagues have utilized this assay system to investigate the thyroid-hormone-dependent neurotoxicity associated with PCBs and PBDEs (Fritsche et al., 2005; Schreiber et al., 2010). No effect was seen on hNPC proliferation with these compounds, but PCB 118 but not PCB126 increased the differentiation of cells into oligodendrocytes, an effect that was mimicked by T3 and blocked by the TR blocker NH3. The effects of PCB126 to decrease cell migration were similar to those observed with PBDEs the TR blocker, NH3.

92. Although a number of studies have demonstrated that the 3D neurosphere model is able to react to exposure to a variety of developmental neurotoxicants, studies designed to systematically test the predictive power of neurospheres for toxicity screenings have not been performed. Neither is it possible to conclude that effects identified in this assay system occur because of thyroid disruption.

93. **Conclusion:** The development of screening assays for thyroid-mediated developmental neurotoxicity using neurospheres is too nonspecific to be useful as an assay system for a direct thyroid target. This assay system may be useful for more general developmental neurotoxicity screening efforts, some for which may be mediated directly or indirectly by thyroid effects. Only a limited number of chemicals that have been assessed and the assay time and labour intensive. Ethical issues in addition to concerns about availability of tissue for routine screening assessments may limit applicability of this assay. A direct sensitivity assessment between human and rodent NPC models has not been conducted, but it is possible that rodent models may circumvent this shortcoming. Based on this assessment, this assay falls within Level C.

RANKING PARAMETER ANALYSIS


HumanNPC NEUROSPHERES ASSAYS	
1. Biological Plausibility	Moderate. Thyroid hormone disruption can interfere with neuronal cell migration and differentiation and lead to neurodevelopmental impairments. Xenobiotics may interfere with these processes via a number of means, one of which is thyroid hormone disruption.
2. Extrapolation to humans, or broadly applicable across vertebrates/phyla	Moderate-High. Developed with human NPC. The applicability of the responses profiled in this assay to a broad spectrum of ecologically-important species has not been ascertained.
3. Availability of Resources	Weak-Moderate. Accessibility of hNPCs of same embryonic age may be an issue. There may also be ethical concerns.
4. Reference Chemicals	Weak. Only a few chemicals. Some are potential thyroid hormone disruptors (PCBs, PBDEs, endosulfan) Chemicals from similar classes have distinct profiles (eg, PCB 118 vs PCB126; PCBs vs PBDEs). A number of non-thyroid active chemicals are positive in this assay as they also impair brain development. Positive controls include T3 in the two publications considered (3nM and 30nM) and the TR blocker NH3 (10nM and 1uM).
5. Assay Features/Limitations.	Integrated assay with many readouts important in brain development. Readout of assay is not specific to thyroid disruption. Very low throughput. Limited information on sensitivity and only small number of chemicals tested. Concern for availability of tissue, cytotoxicity assays on a large scale may not be possible. Ethical concerns may arise from use of hNPCs.
6. Documentation	Fritsche et al. 2011; Schreiber et al., 2010; 2010; Moors et al, 2009.

OVERALL CONCLUSIONS

94. In summary, a suite of *in vitro* methodologies probing a range of potential target sites for disruption of thyroid signalling was examined by the TSEG. As summarized in Table 1 at the beginning of this document, 18 candidate assay systems were categorized for their ‘state of readiness’ for validation in the short-(A), intermediate (B), or long-term (C) based on predefined parameters. In addition to the state of readiness, assays were further scrutinized by the TSEG for the toxicological relevance of the target site, chemical space interrogated by the assay, and the availability of suitable reference chemicals. This analysis conducted by the TSEG and also reviewed and agreed by the VMG-NA, demonstrated that a number of *in vitro* assays are available targeting critical aspects of thyroid signalling.

95. Two types of assays are available that are at advanced level of development and could be considered for inclusion in the OECD Test Guideline programme: the thyroperoxidase (TPO) inhibition assays that target thyroid hormone synthesis, and the thyroxin-binding globulin (TBG) and transthyretin (TTR) binding assays that can address thyroid hormone transport to tissues and the level of free hormone available for interaction with target cells (Table 1.4 and indicated in Red in Figure 8).

Figure 8: Summary of *in vitro* and *ex vivo* assays at high and moderate level of readiness and their targets in the thyroid signalling system.



Target site where chemicals may interact to interfere with thyroid hormone signalling. Three assays were identified as in a sufficient state of readiness and as such are recommended as priority candidates for validation. These ‘A’ assays, targeting TH synthesis through inhibition of TPO, and the binding of hormones to the serum proteins TBG and TTR, are indicated in red. A number of other assays were identified that are not at a sufficient

level of development at the present time, but are targeting critical sites of xenobiotic interaction. These 'B' level assays are recommended for further consideration and development and are indicated in purple.

Table 1.4: Level A Assays Recommended for Validation in the Short-Term

Assay Name	Assay Block – Biological Substrate	TSEG Recommendation
TPO	2-TH Synthesis	Candidate for Validation
TTR	3-Serum Binding Protein	Candidate for Validation
TBG	3-Serum Binding Protein	Candidate for Validation

96. A second block of seven assays was identified by the TSEG as being at a B level of readiness and/or as high priority for further research and development (Table 1.5 and indicated in purple in Figure 8). These included assays that probe central regulation at the level of the pituitary and thyroid glands, activation of iodine uptake into the thyroid gland, metabolism of TH via deiodination, and development of a combined transporter/TR transactivation assay. In addition, two higher level assays integrating multiple MOAs were flagged as promising as with further development, they could be useful in a screening context.

Table 1.5: Level B Assays of High Priority for Further Development in the Intermediate Term

Assay Name	Assay Block - Biological Substrate	Limitations/Recommendations
TRH Receptor - Pituitary	1-Central Regulation	Of high interest as critical sites for HPT regulation yet very few chemicals tested. Assay development is needed that will account for rapid receptor internalization and downregulation of these receptor types.
TSH Receptor - Thyroid	1-Central Regulation	
NIS Activation	2-TH Synthesis	Of high interest due to clinical relevance. Available assays have only tested a few chemicals.
Deiodinase Up- / Down- Regulation	4-Metabolism	Of high interest as critical site of action of chemicals. These assays are currently limited by the availability of D2 and D3 deiodinases.
MCT8/TR Transactivation	5 and 6-Cellular Concentration /Response	TR Activation assays in 'A' state of readiness but of limited utility due to lack of action of xenobiotics at the TR. Recommend coupling TR transfected cell line with MCT8 transporter to provide information on two potential target sites of TH disruptors in a single assay.
Thyroid Gland Explant	7-Assays Integrating Multiple MOAs	High interest as important target and assay probes integrated functionality of thyroid gland. High variability and insufficient cytotoxicity controls

		limit current utility.
Zebrafish	7- Integrating Multiple MOAs Assays	Whole organism system so fills critical gap to probe multiple MOAs.

97. In combination these *in vitro* and *ex vivo* assays can be very useful tools for screening and assessment of potential toxicants to the thyroid signalling system.

REFERENCES

- Abe, H. et al. (2004), "Thyrotropin-releasing hormone-stimulated thyrotropin expression involves islet-brain-1/c-Jun N-terminal kinase interacting protein-1", *Endocrinology*, Vol. 145, No. 12, pp. 5623-8.
- Aranda, A. and A. Pascual (2001), "Nuclear hormone receptors and gene expression", *Physiological Reviews*, Vol. 81, No. 3, pp. 1269-1304.
- Atterwill, C.K. and K.F. Fowler (1990), "A comparison of cultured rat FRTL-5 and porcine thyroid cells for predicting the thyroid toxicity of xenobiotics", *Toxicology in Vitro*, Vol. 4, No. 4-5, pp. 369-74.
- Bernal, J. (2002), "Action of thyroid hormone in brain", *Journal of Endocrinological Investigation*, Vol. 25, No. 3, pp. 268-88.
- Bianco, A.C. et al. (2002), "Biochemistry, cellular and molecular biology, and physiological roles of the iodothyronine selenodeiodinases", *Endocrine Reviews*, Vol. 23, No. 1, pp. 38-89.
- Boutin, A. et al. (2011), "Thyrotropin receptor stimulates internalization-independent persistent phosphoinositide signalling", *Molecular Pharmacology*, Vol. 80, No. 2, pp. 240-6.
- Braun, D. et al. (2012), "Tyrosine kinase inhibitors noncompetitively inhibit MCT8-mediated iodothyronine transport", *The Journal of Clinical Endocrinology & Metabolism*, Vol. 97, No. 1, pp. E100-5.
- Brouwer, A. et al. (1998), "Interactions of persistent environmental organohalogenes with the thyroid hormone system: mechanisms and possible consequences for animal and human health", *Toxicology and Industrial Health*, Vol. 14, No. 1-2, pp. 59-84.
- Brouwer, A. (1991), "Role of biotransformation in PCB-induced alterations in vitamin A and thyroid hormone metabolism in laboratory and wildlife species", *Biochem. Soc. Trans.*, Vol. 19, No. 3, pp. 731-7.
- Brouwer, A. and K.J. van den Berg (1986), "Binding of a metabolite of 3,4,3',4'-tetrachlorobiphenyl to transthyretin reduces serum vitamin A by inhibiting the formation of the protein complex carrying both retinol and thyroxin", *Toxicology and Applied Pharmacology*, Vol. 85, No. 3, pp. 301-312.
- Butt, C.M., D. Wang and H.M. Stapleton (2011), "Halogenated phenolic contaminants inhibit the in vitro activity of the thyroid-regulating deiodinases in human liver", *Toxicological Sciences*, Vol. 124, No. 2, pp. 339-47.
- Capen, C. C. (1998), "Correlation of mechanistic data and histopathology in the evaluation of selected toxic endpoints of the endocrine system", *Toxicology Letters*, Vol. 102, No. 103, pp.405-409.
- Chang, H. C. and D. R. Doerge (2000). "Dietary genistein inactivates rat thyroid peroxidase in vivo without an apparent hypothyroid effect", *Toxicology and Applied Pharmacology*, Vol. 168, No. 3, pp. 244-252.

Chauhan, K.R., P.R. Kodavanti and J.D. McKinney (2000), "Assessing the role of ortho-substitution on polychlorinated biphenyl binding to transthyretin, a thyroxine transport protein", *Toxicology and Applied Pharmacology*, Vol. 162, No. 1, pp. 10–21.

Cheek, A.O. et al. (1999), "Potential mechanisms of thyroid disruption in humans: interaction of organochlorine compounds with thyroid receptor, transthyretin, and thyroid-binding globulin", *Environmental Health Perspective*, Vol. 107, No. 4, pp. 273-278.

Chopra, I.J. et al. (1974), "Alterations in circulating thyroid hormones and thyrotropin in hepatic cirrhosis: evidence for euthyroidism despite subnormal serum triiodothyronine", *The Journal of Clinical Endocrinology & Metabolism*, Vol. 39, No. 3, pp. 501-11.

Coady, K. et al. (2010), "Evaluation of the amphibian metamorphosis assay: exposure to the goitrogen methimazole and the endogenous thyroid hormone L-thyroxine", *Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry*, Vol. 29, No. 4, pp.869-880.

Cohen, R.N., F.E. Wondisford and A.N. Hollenberg (1998), "Two separate NCoR (nuclear receptor corepressor) interaction domains mediate corepressor action on thyroid hormone response elements", *Molecular Endocrinology*, Vol. 12, No. 10, pp. 1567-81.

Cooper, D. S. (2005), "Antithyroid drugs", *The New England Journal of Medicine*, Vol. 352, pp. 905-917.

Crantz, F.R., J.E. Silva and P.R. Larsen (1982), "An analysis of the sources and quantity of 3,5,3'-triiodothyronine specifically bound to nuclear receptors in rat cerebral cortex and cerebellum", *Endocrinology*, Vol. 110, No. 2, pp. 367-75.

Crofton, K. M. (2008), "Thyroid disrupting chemicals: mechanisms and mixtures", *International Journal of Andrology*, Vol. 31, No. 2, pp. 209-223.

Crofton et al. (2011) Developmental Neurotoxicity Testing: Recommendations for Developing Alternative Methods for the Screening and Prioritization of Chemicals, *Altex*, Vol. 28 No. , pp. 9-15).

Cunha Lima, S.T. et al. (2009), Differential effects of TR ligands on hormone dissociation rates: Evidence for multiple ligand entry/exit pathways", *The Journal of Steroid Biochemistry and Molecular Biology*, Vol. 117, No. 4-5, pp. 125-131.

Czarnocka, B. (2011), "Thyroperoxidase, thyroglobulin, Na(+)/I(-) symporter, pendrin in thyroid autoimmunity", *Frontiers in bioscience: A virtual library of medicine*, Vol. 16, pp. 783-802.

Decherf, S. et al. (2010a), "Disruption of thyroid hormone-dependent hypothalamic set-points by environmental contaminants", *Molecular and Cellular Endocrinology*, Vol. 323, No. 2, pp. 172-82.

Decherf, S. et al. (2010b), "Thyroid hormone exerts negative feedback on hypothalamic type 4 melanocortin receptor expression", *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, Vol. 107, No 9, USA, pp. 4471-6.

DeVito, M. et al. (1999), "Screening methods for thyroid hormone disruptors", *Environmental Health Perspectives*, Vol. 107, No. 5, pp. 407-415.

Divi, R. L. and D. R. Doerge (1994), "Mechanism-based inactivation of lactoperoxidase and thyroid peroxidase by resorcinol derivatives", *Biochemistry*, Vol. 33, No. 32, pp. 9668-9674.

Divi, R. L., H. C. Chang and D. R. Doerge (1997), "Anti-thyroid isoflavones from soybean: isolation, characterization, and mechanisms of action", *Biochem Pharmacol*, Vol. 54, No. 10, pp. 1087-1096.

Doerge, D. R. and W. P. Niemczura (1989), "Suicide inactivation of lactoperoxidase by 3-amino-1,2,4-triazole", *Chemical Research in Toxicology*, Vol. 2, No. 2, pp. 100-103.

Doerge, D. R. and C. J. Decker (1994), "Inhibition of peroxidase-catalyzed reactions by arylamines: mechanism for the anti-thyroid action of sulfamethazine", *Chemical Research in Toxicology*, Vol. 7, No. 2, pp. 164-169.

Doerge, D. R. et al. (1998), "Mechanism for inhibition of thyroid peroxidase by leucomalachite green", *Chemical Research in Toxicology*, Vol. 11, No. 9, pp. 1098-1104.

Doerge, D. R. and H. C. Chang (2002a), "Inactivation of thyroid peroxidase by soy isoflavones, in vitro and in vivo", *Journal of Chromatography. B, Analytical Technologies in the Biomedical and Life Sciences*, Vol. 777, No. 1-2, 269-279.

Doerge, D. R. and D. M. Sheehan (2002b), "Goitrogenic and estrogenic activity of soy isoflavones", *Environmental Health Perspectives*, Vol. 110, No. 3, pp. 349-353.

Dudek KM, Suter L, Darras VM, Marczylo EL, Gant TW (2013) Decreased translation of Dio3 mRNA is associated with drug-induced hepatotoxicity. *Biochem J*. 453(1):71-82

Dumitrescu, A. M. et al. (2004), "A novel syndrome combining thyroid and neurological abnormalities is associated with mutations in a monocarboxylate transporter gene", *American Journal of Human Genetics*, Vol. 74, No. 1, pp. 168-75.

Dumitrescu, A. M. et al. (2006), "Tissue-specific thyroid hormone deprivation and excess in monocarboxylate transporter (mct) 8-deficient mice", *Endocrinology*, Vol. 147, No. 9, pp. 4036-4043.

EFSA 2013 Scientific Opinion on the identification of pesticides to be included in cumulative assessment groups on the basis of their toxicological profile. *EFSA Journal* 2013;11(7):3293 [131 pp.]. doi:10.2903/j.efsa.2013.3293 EFSA Panel on Plant Protection Products and their Residues (PPR). Appendix F.1. Data collection for thyroid toxicity- EFSA Part 1 Appendix F.2. Data collection thyroid toxicity EFSA Part 2. <http://www.efsa.europa.eu/en/efsajournal/pub/3293.htm> (accessed 18/2/2014)

Emiliano, A. B. et al. (2010), "Shifts in propylthiouracil and methimazole prescribing practices: antithyroid drug use in the United States from 1991 to 2008", *The Journal of Clinical Endocrinology & Metabolism*," Vol. 95, No. 5, pp. 2227-2233.

Fekete, C. and R.M. Lechan (2007), "Negative feedback regulation of hypophysiotropic thyrotropin-releasing hormone (TRH) synthesizing neurons: role of neuronal afferents and type 2 deiodinase", *Front Neuroendocrinol*, Vol. 28, No. 2-3, pp. 97-114.

Fekete, C. and R.M. Lechen (2013), "Central Regulation of Hypothalamic- Pituitary-Thyroid Axis Under Physiological and Pathophysiological Conditions", *Endocrine Reviews December*, p.1087.

Flippin, J. L. et al. (2009), "Predictive modeling of a mixture of thyroid hormone disrupting chemicals that affect production and clearance of thyroxine", *International Journal of Toxicology*, Vol. 28, No. 5, pp. 368-381.

Fini, J. B. et al. (2012), "Thyroid hormone signaling in the *Xenopus laevis* embryo is functional and susceptible to endocrine disruption", *Endocrinology*, Vol. 153, No. 10, pp. 5068-5081.

Flynn, T.R. et al. (1994), "A novel C-terminal domain in the thyroid hormone receptor selectively mediates thyroid hormone inhibition", *The Journal of Biology Chemistry*, Vol. 269, No. 52, pp. 32713-6.

Fortunato, R. S. et al. (2010), "Functional consequences of dual oxidase-thyroperoxidase interaction at the plasma membrane", *The Journal of Clinical Endocrinology & Metabolism*, Vol. 95, No. 12, pp. 5403-5411.

Freitas, J. et al. (2011), "Detection of thyroid hormone receptors by a novel stable *in vitro* reporter gene assay", *Toxicology In Vitro*, Vol. 25, No. 1, pp. 257-266.

Freyberger, A. and H. J. Ahr (2006), "Studies on the goitrogenic mechanism of action of N,N,N',N'-tetramethylthiourea", *Toxicology*, Vol. 217, No. 2-3, pp. 169-175.

Friesema, E. C. et al. (2004), "Association between mutations in a thyroid hormone transporter and severe X-linked psychomotor retardation", *The Lancet*, Vol. 364, No. 9443, pp. 1435-7.

Friesema, E. C. et al. (2008), "Effective cellular uptake and efflux of thyroid hormone by human monocarboxylate transporter 10", *Molecular Endocrinology*, Vol. 22, No. 6, pp. 1357-69.

Fritsche, E., K. Gassmann and T. Schreiber (2011), "Neurospheres as a model for developmental neurotoxicity testing", *Methods in Molecular Biology*, Vol. 758, pp. 99-114.

Furtmuller, P. G. et al. (2006), "Active site structure and catalytic mechanisms of human peroxidases", *Archives of Biochemistry and Biophysics*, Vol. 445, No. 2, pp. 199-213.

Galton, V.A. et al. (1999), "Pregnant rat uterus expresses high levels of the type 3 iodothyronine deiodinase", *Journal of Clinical Investigation*, Vol. 103, No. 7, pp. 979-87.

Gauger, K.J. et al. (2007), "Polychlorinated biphenyls 105 and 118 form thyroid hormone receptor agonists after cytochrome P4501A1 activation in rat pituitary GH3 cells", *Environmental Health Perspectives*, Vol. 115, No. 11, pp. 1623-30.

Ghisari, M. and E.C. Bonefeld-Jorgensen (2009), "Effects of plasticizers and their mixtures on estrogen receptor and thyroid hormone functions", *Toxicology Letters*, Vol. 189, No. 1, pp. 67-77.

Ghisari, M. and E.C. Bonefeld-Jorgensen (2005), "Impact of environmental chemicals on the thyroid hormone function in pituitary rat GH3 cells", *Molecular and Cellular Endocrinology*, Vol. 244, No. 1-2, pp. 31-41.

Gilbert, M.E. and R.T. Zoeller (2010). Thyroid hormone-impact on the developing brain: Possible mechanisms of neurotoxicity. *Neurotoxicology*, 3d Edition, Target Organ Toxicology Series (Harry GH and Tilson HA, eds.) New York: Informa Healthcare USA, Inc, pp. 79-111.

Grommen, S. V. et al. (2011), "Ontogenic expression profiles of thyroid-specific genes in embryonic and hatching chicks", *Domestic Animal Endocrinology*, Vol. 40, No. 1, pp. 10-18.

Guissouma, H. et al. (1998), "Physiological regulation of hypothalamic TRH transcription *in vivo* is T3 receptor isoform specific", *FASEB Journal*, Vol. 12, No. 15, pp. 1755-64.

Hallgren, S. and P.O. Darnerud (2002), “Polybrominated diphenyl ethers (PBDEs), polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs) and chlorinated paraffins (CPs) in rats-testing interactions and mechanisms for thyroid hormone effects”, *Toxicology*, Vol. 177, No. 2-3, pp. 227-243.

Hamers, T. et al. (2008). “Biotransformation of brominated flame retardants into potentially endocrine-disrupting metabolites, with special attention to 2,2',4,4'-tetrabromodiphenyl ether (BDE-47)”, *Molecular Nutrition & Food Research*, Vol. 52, No. 2, pp. 284-298.

Heldin, N.E. et al. (1994), “Thyrotropin (TSH)-induced receptor internalization in nonthyroidal cells transfected with a human TSH-receptor complementary deoxyribonucleic acid”, *Endocrinology*, Vol. 134, No. 5 pp. 2032-6.

Henn, K. and T. Braunbeck (2011), “Dechlorination as a tool to improve the fish embryo toxicity test (FET) with the zebrafish (*Danio rerio*)”, *Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology Part C: Toxicology & Pharmacology*, Vol. 153, No. 1, pp. 97-8.

Hennemann, G. and T.J. Visser (1997), “Thyroid hormone synthesis, plasma membrane transport and metabolism”, in *Grossman A (ed) Handbook of Experimental Pharmacology*, Springer, Berlin, pp. 75-117.

Hennemann, G. et al. (2001), “Plasma membrane transport of thyroid hormones and its role in thyroid hormone metabolism and bioavailability”, *Endocrine Reviews*, Vol. 22, No. 4, pp. 451-76.

Heuer, H. and T.J. Visser (2009), “Minireview: Pathophysiological importance of thyroid hormone transporters”, *Endocrinology*, Vol. 150, No. 3, pp. 1078-83.

Hinkle, P.M., D.G. Lewis and T.L. Greer (1980), “Thyrotropin-releasing hormone-receptor interaction in GH3 pituitary cells”, *Endocrinology*, Vol. 106, No. 3, pp. 1000-5.

Hinkle, P.M., A.U. Gehret and B.W. Jones (2012), “Desensitization, trafficking, and resensitization of the pituitary thyrotropin-releasing hormone receptor”, *Frontiers in Neuroscience*, Vol. 6, p. 180.

Hofmann, P.J., L. Schomburg and J. Kohrle (2009), “Interference of endocrine disrupters with thyroid hormone receptor-dependent transactivation”, *Toxicological Sciences*, Vol. 110, No. 1, pp. 125-137.

Hollenberg, A.N., T. Monden and F.E. Wondisford (1995), “Ligand-independent and -dependent functions of thyroid hormone receptor isoforms depend upon their distinct amino termini”, *The Journal of Biological Chemistry*, Vol. 270, No. 24, pp. 14274-80.

Hollenberg, A.N. (2008), “The role of the thyrotropin-releasing hormone (TRH) neuron as a metabolic sensor”, *Thyroid*, Vol. 18, No. 2, pp. 131-9.

Hornung, M. W. et al. (2010), “Inhibition of Thyroid Hormone Release from Cultured Amphibian Thyroid Glands by Methimazole, 6-Propylthiouracil, and Perchlorate”, *Toxicological Sciences*, Vol. 118, No. 1, pp. 42-51.

Hosoya, T. (1963), “Effect of various reagents including antithyroid compounds upon the activity of thyroid peroxidase”, *Journal of Biochemistry*, Vol. 53, No. 5, pp. 381-388.

Huang, S.A. et al. (2000), “Severe hypothyroidism caused by type 3 iodothyronine deiodinase in infantile hemangiomas”, *The New England Journal of Medicine*, Vol. 343, pp. 185-9.

Huang, S.A. et al. (2002), "A 21-year-old woman with consumptive hypothyroidism due to a vascular tumor expressing type 3 iodothyronine deiodinase", *Journal of Clinical Endocrinology & Metabolism*, Vol. 87, No. 10, pp. 4457-61.

Hurley, P. M. (1998), "Mode of carcinogenic action of pesticides inducing thyroid follicular cell tumors in rodents", *Environmental Health Perspectives*, Vol. 106, No. 8, pp. 437-445.

Jacobs, M.N. et al. (2008), "The use of metabolising systems for in vitro testing of endocrine disruptors", *Current Drug Metabolism*, Vol. 9, No. 8, pp. 796-826.

Jacobs, M.N. et al. (2013), "In vitro metabolism and bioavailability tests for endocrine active substances: what is needed next for regulatory purposes?", *ALTEX*, Vol. 30, No. 3, pp. 331-51.

Joseph-Bravo, P. et al. (1998), "Multifactorial Modulation of TRH Metabolism", *Cellular and Molecular Neurobiology*, Vol. 18, No. 2, pp. 1-47.

Jugan, M.L. et al. (2007), "A new bioluminescent cellular assay to measure the transcriptional effects of chemicals that modulate the alpha-1 thyroid hormone receptor", *Toxicology In Vitro*, Vol. 21, No. 6, pp. 1197-1205.

Kang, K.S. et al. (2001), "Effects and neuro-toxic mechanisms of 2, 2', 4, 4', 5, 5'-hexachlorobiphenyl and endosulfan in neuronal stem cells", *The Journal of Veterinary Medical Science*, Vol. 63, No. 11, pp. 1183-90.

Kais, B. et al. (2013), "DMSO modifies the permeability of the zebrafish (*Danio rerio*) chorion- Implications for the fish embryo test (FET)", *Aquatic Toxicology*, Vol. 140-141, pp. 229-38.

Kaufman, K. D. et al. (1989), "Generation of recombinant, enzymatically active human thyroid peroxidase and its recognition by antibodies in the sera of patients with Hashimoto's thyroiditis", *The Journal of Clinical Investigation*, Vol. 84, No. 2, pp. 394-403.

Kessler, J., C. Obinger and G. Eales (2008), "Factors influencing the study of peroxidase-generated iodine species and implications for thyroglobulin synthesis", *Thyroid*, Vol. 18, No. 7, pp. 769-774.

Kitamura S. et al. (2005), "Anti-thyroid hormonal activity of tetrabromobisphenol A, a flame retardant, and related compounds: Affinity to the mammalian thyroid hormone receptor, and effect on tadpole metamorphosis", *Life Sciences*, Vol. 76, No. 14, pp. 1589-1601.

Kohrle, J., R.D. Hesch and J.L. Leonard (1991), "Intracellular pathways of iodothyronine metabolism", in *Utiger RD (ed) The Thyroid*, Lippincott, Philadelphia, pp. 144-189.

Kragie, L. and D. Doyle (1992), "Benzodiazepines inhibit temperature-dependent L-[125I]triiodothyronine accumulation into human liver, human neuroblast, and rat pituitary cell lines", *Endocrinology*, Vol. 130, No. 3, pp. 1211-6.

Kudo Y. and K. Yamauchi (2005), "In vitro and in vivo analysis of the thyroid disrupting activities of phenolic and phenol compounds in *Xenopus laevis*", *Toxicological Sciences*, Vol. Mar;84(1):29-37. Epub 2004 Dec 8.

Lans, M.C. et al. (1994), "Different competition of thyroxine binding to transthyretin and thyroxine-binding globulin by hydroxy-PCBs, PCDDs and PCDFs", *European Journal of Pharmacology: Environmental Toxicology and Pharmacology*, Vol. 270, No. 2-3, pp. 129-136.

Larsen P.R. and M.J. Berry (1995), "Nutritional and hormonal regulation of thyroid hormone deiodinases", *Annual Review of Nutrition*, Vol. 15, pp. 323-52.

Lazar, M. A. and W.W. Chin (1990), "Nuclear thyroid hormone receptors", *Journal of Clinical Investigation*, Vol. 86, pp. 1777-1782.

Lecat-Guillet, N. et al. (2008), "Small-molecule inhibitors of sodium iodide symporter function", *Chembioche*, Vol. 9, No. 6, pp. 889-895.

Lezoualc'h, F. et al. (1992), "Assignment of the beta-thyroid hormone receptor to 3,5,3'-triiodothyronine-dependent inhibition of transcription from the thyrotropin-releasing hormone promoter in chick hypothalamic neurons", *Molecular Endocrinology*, Vol. 6, No. 11, pp. 1797-804.

Lim, C. F. et al. (1993), "A furan fatty acid and indoxyl sulfate are the putative inhibitors of thyroxine hepatocyte transport in uremia", *Journal of Clinical Endocrinology & Metabolism*, Vol. 76, No. 2, pp. 318-24.

Lim, C. F. et al. (1996), "Drug effects on triiodothyronine uptake by rat anterior pituitary cells in vitro", *Experimental and clinical endocrinology & diabetes: official journal, German Society of Endocrinology [and] German Diabetes Association*, Vol. 104, No. 2, pp. 151-7.

Long, M. et al. (2012), "Effects of selected phytoestrogens and their mixtures on the function of the thyroid hormone and the aryl hydrocarbon receptor", *Nutrition and Cancer*, Vol. 64, No. 7, pp. 1008-19.

Lindenthal, S. et al. (2009), "Characterization of small-molecule inhibitors of the sodium iodide symporter", *Journal of Endocrinology*, Vol. 200, No. 3, pp. 357-365.

Magnusson, R. P., A. Taurog and M. L. Dorris (1984), "Mechanisms of thyroid peroxidase- and lactoperoxidase-catalyzed reactions involving iodide", *Journal of Biological Chemistry*, Vol. 259, No. 22, pp. 13783-13790.

Matsubara, K. et al. (2012), "An improved thyroid hormone reporter assay to determine the thyroid hormone-like activity of amiodarone, bithionol, closantel and raxofanide", *Toxicology Letters*, Vol. 208, No. 1, pp. 30-35.

McLachlan, S. M. and B. Rapoport (2007), "Thyroid peroxidase as an autoantigen", *Thyroid*, Vol. 17, No. 10, pp. 939-948.

Moors, M. et al. (2009), "Human neurospheres as three-dimensional cellular systems for developmental neurotoxicity testing", *Environmental Health Perspectives*, Vol. 117, No. 7, pp. 1131-8.

Mori, K. et al. (1995), "Thyroid hormone regulates rat placental type III iodothyronine deiodinase activity by inducing kinetic changes different from those in the same isozyme in rat brain", *Endocrine Journal*, Vol. 42, No. 6, pp. 753-60.

Murk, A. J. et al. (2013), "Mechanism-based testing strategy using in vitro approaches for identification of thyroid hormone disrupting chemicals", *Toxicology In Vitro*, Vol. 27, No. 4, pp. 1320-1346.

Neumann, S. et al. (2010), "A small molecule inverse agonist for the human thyroid-stimulating hormone receptor", *Endocrinology*, Vol. 151, No. 7, pp. 3454-9.

OECD (2006) Detailed Review Paper 57: Thyroid Hormone Disruption Assays. OECD Series on Testing and Assessment. Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development, Paris.

OECD (2008) Detailed Review Paper 97: The Use of Metabolising systems for In Vitro Testing of Endocrine Disruptors. OECD Series on Testing and Assessment. Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development, Paris.

OECD (2012) Detailed Review Paper 178: Science on Novel *In Vitro* and *In Vivo* Screening and Testing Methods and Endpoints for Evaluating Endocrine Disruptors. OECD Series on Testing and Assessment. Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development, Paris.

Ohba, K., et al. (2011), “GATA2 mediates thyrotropin-releasing hormone-induced transcriptional activation of the thyrotropin beta gene”, *PLOS One*, Vol. 6, No. 4, pp. e18667.

Oka, T. et al. (2013), “Establishment of transactivation assay systems using fish, amphibian, reptilian and human thyroid hormone receptors”, *Journal of Applied Toxicology*, Vol. 33, No. 9, pp. 991-1000.

Paul, K. B. et al. (2013), “Cross-species analysis of thyroperoxidase inhibition by xenobiotics demonstrates conservation of response from pig to rat”, *Toxicology*, Vol. 312, pp. 97-107.

Picchietti, S. et al. (2009), “Thyroid disruptor 1,1,1-trichloro-2,2-bis(p-chlorophenyl)ethane (DDT) prevents internalization of TSH receptor”, *Cell and Tissue Research*, Vol. 336, No. 1, pp. 31-40.

Porazzi, P. et al. (2009), “Thyroid gland development and function in the zebrafish model”, *Molecular and Cellular Endocrinology*, Vol. 312, No. 1-2, pp. 14-23.

Powell, K. A. et al. (2000), "Different transporters for tri-iodothyronine (T(3)) and thyroxine (T(4)) in the human choriocarcinoma cell line, JAR", *The Journal of Endocrinology*, Vol. 167, No. 3, pp. 487-92.

Raldúa, D. and P.J. Babin (2009), “Simple, rapid zebrafish larva bioassay for assessing the potential of chemical pollutants and drugs to disrupt thyroid gland function”, *Environmental Science & Technology*, Vol. 43, No. 17, pp. 6844-50.

Raldúa, D., B. Thienpont and P.J. Babin (2012), “Zebrafish eleutheroembryos as an alternative system for screening chemicals disrupting the mammalian thyroid gland morphogenesis and function”, *Reproductive Toxicology*, Vol. 33, No. 2, pp. 188-197.

Renko, K. et al. (2012), “Identification of Iopanoic Acid as Substrate of Type 1 Deiodinase by a Novel Nonradioactive Iodide-Release Assay”, *Endocrinology*, Vol. 153, No. 5, pp. 2506-2513.

Richard, K. et al. (1998), “Ontogeny of iodothyronine deiodinases in human liver”, *Journal of Clinical Endocrinology & Metabolism*, Vol. 83, No. 8, pp. 2868-74.

Rosebrough, R. W., B. A. Russell and J. P. McMurtry (2006), “Studies on doses of methimazole (MMI) and its administration regimen on broiler metabolism”, *Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology. Part A, Molecular & Integrative Physiology*, Vol. 143, No. 1, pp. 35-41.

Roti, E. et al. (1982), “Ontogenesis of placental inner ring thyroxine deiodinase and amniotic fluid 3,3',5'-triiodothyronine concentration in the rat”, *Endocrinology*, Vol. 111, No. 3, pp. 959-63.

Ruf, J. and P. Carayon (2006), “Structural and functional aspects of thyroid peroxidase”, *Archives of Biochemistry and Biophysics*, Vol. 445, No. 2, pp. 269-277.

Salvatore, D. et al. (1996), "Type 2 iodothyronine deiodinase is highly expressed in human thyroid", *Journal of Clinical Investigation*, Vol. 98, No. 4, pp. 962-8.

Salvatore, D. et al. (1996), "Molecular biological and biochemical characterization of the human type 2 selenodeiodinase", *Endocrinology*, Vol. 137, No. 8, pp. 3308-3315.

Santini, F. et al. (1999), "Serum iodothyronines in the human fetus and the newborn: evidence for an important role of placenta in fetal thyroid hormone homeostasis", *Journal of Clinical Endocrinology Metabolism*, Vol. 84, No. 2, pp. 493-8.

Santini, F. et al. (2003), "In vitro assay of thyroid disruptors affecting TSH-stimulated adenylate cyclase activity", *Journal of Endocrinological Investigation*, Vol. 26, No. 10, pp. 950-5.

Schmutzler, C. et al. (2007), "The ultraviolet filter benzophenone 2 interferes with the thyroid hormone axis in rats and is a potent in vitro inhibitor of human recombinant thyroid peroxidase", *Endocrinology*, Vol. 148, No. 6, pp. 2835-44.

Scholz, G. H. et al. (1997), "Inhibition of thyroid hormone uptake by calcium antagonists of the dihydropyridine class", *Journal of Medicinal Chemistry*, Vol. 40, No. 10, pp. 1530-8.

Schreiber, T. (2010), "Polybrominated diphenyl ethers induce developmental neurotoxicity in a human in vitro model: evidence for endocrine disruption", *Environmental Health Perspectives*, Vol. 118, No. 4, pp. 572-8.

Schriks, M. et al. (2007), "Thyroid hormone receptor isoform selectivity of thyroid hormone disrupting compounds quantified with an *in vitro* reporter gene assay", *Environmental Toxicology and Pharmacology*, Vol. 23, No. 3, pp. 302-307.

Schwartz, C. E. et al. (2005), "Allan-Herndon-Dudley syndrome and the monocarboxylate transporter 8 (MCT8) gene", *American Journal of Human Genetics*, Vol. 77, No. 1, pp. 41-53.

Sharlin DS, Gilbert ME, Taylor MA, Ferguson DC, Zoeller RT (2010). The nature of the compensatory response to low thyroid hormone in the developing brain. *Neuroendocrinol.* 22(3):153-65.

Silva, J.E. (1995), "Thyroid hormone control of thermogenesis and energy balance", *Thyroid*, Vol. 5, No. 6, pp. 481-92.

Shiizaki, K. et al. (2010), "Establishment of yeast reporter assay systems to detect ligands of thyroid hormone receptors α and β ", *Toxicology In Vitro*, Vol. 24, No. 2, pp. 638-644.

Simeonov, A. et al. (2008), "Fluorescence spectroscopic profiling of compound libraries", *Journal of Medicinal Chemistry*, Vol. 51, No. 8, pp. 2363-2371.

Song M. et al. (2011), "Identification of Classifiers for Increase or Decrease of Thyroid Peroxidase Activity in the FTC-238/hTPO Recombinant", *Environmental Science & Technology*, Vol. 45: 7906-7914.

St Germain D.L. and V.A. Galton (1997), "The deiodinase family of selenoproteins", *Thyroid*, Vol. 7, No. 4, pp. 655-68.

Sugiyama, S., H. Miyoshi and K. Yamauchi (2005), “Characteristics of a thyroid hormone response reporter gene transduced into a *Xenopus laevis* cell line using lentivirus vector”, *General and Comparative Endocrinology*, Vol. 144, No. 3, pp. 270-279.

Sugiyama, S. (2005), “Detection of thyroid system-disrupting chemicals using *in vitro* and *in vivo* screening assay”, *Toxicological Sciences*, Vol. 88, No. 2, pp. 367-374.

Sugawara, M., Y. Sugawara and K. Wen (1999), “Methimazole and propylthiouracil increase cellular thyroid peroxidase activity and thyroid peroxidase mRNA in cultured porcine thyroid follicles”, *Thyroid*, Vol. 9, No. 5, pp. 513-518.

Sun, H. et al. (2012), “Developing *in vitro* reporter gene assays to assess the hormone receptor activities of chemicals frequently detected in drinking water”, *Journal of Applied Toxicology*, Vol. 32, No. 8, pp. 635-641.

Taugog, A., M. L. Dorris and D. R. Doerge (1996), “Mechanism of simultaneous iodination and coupling catalyzed by thyroid peroxidase”, *Archives of Biochemistry and Biophysics*, Vol. 330, No. 1, pp. 24-32.

Tietge, J. E. et al. (2012), “Inhibition of the thyroid hormone pathway in *Xenopus laevis* by 2-mercaptobenzothiazole”, *Aquatic Toxicology*, Vol. 126, pp. 128-136.

Thienpont, B. et al. (2011), “Zebrafish eleutheroembryos provide a suitable vertebrate model for screening chemicals that impair thyroid hormone synthesis”, *Environmental Science & Technology*, Vol. 45, No. 17, pp. 7525–32.

Togashi, M. et al. (2005). “Rearrangements in thyroid hormone receptor charge clusters that stabilize bound 3,5,3'-triiodo-L-thyronine and inhibit homodimer formation”, *Journal of Biological Chemistry*, Vol. 280, No. 27, pp. 25665-25673.

Topliss, D. J. et al. (1989), “Uptake of 3,5,3'-triiodothyronine by cultured rat hepatoma cells is inhibitable by nonbile acid cholephils, diphenylhydantoin, and nonsteroidal antiinflammatory drugs”, *Endocrinology*, Vol. 124, No. 2, pp. 980-6.

Trepanier, L. A. (2006), “Medical management of hyperthyroidism”, *Clinical Techniques in Small Animal Practice*, Vol. 21, No. 1, pp. 22-28.

Uribe, R.M. et al. (2009), “17 β -Oestradiol Indirectly Inhibits Thyrotrophin-releasing Hormone Expression in the Hypothalamic Paraventricular Nucleus of Female Rats and Blunts Thyroid Axis Response to Cold Exposure”, *Journal of Neuroendocrinology*, Vol. 21, No. 5, pp. 439-48.

Vickers, A. E. et al. (2012), “Thyroid organotypic rat and human cultures used to investigate drug effects on thyroid function, hormone synthesis and release pathways”, *Toxicology and Applied Pharmacology*, Vol. 260, No. 1, pp. 81-88.

Visser, T.J. (1988), “Metabolism of thyroid hormone”, in *Van der Molen HJ (ed) Hormones and Their Actions*, Elsevier, Amsterdam, pp. 81-103.

Visser, W. E., E.C. Friesema and T.J. Visser (2011), “Minireview: thyroid hormone transporters: the knowns and the unknowns”, *Molecular Endocrinology*, Vol. 25, No. 1, pp. 1-14.

Waltz F. et al. (2010), “A Nonradioactive Iodide Uptake Assay for Sodium Iodide Symporter Function”, *Analytical Biochemistry*, Vol. 396, No. 1, 91-95.

Wang, J. T. and S.W. Xu (2008), “Effects of cold stress on the messenger ribonucleic acid levels of corticotrophin-releasing hormone and thyrotropin-releasing hormone in hypothalami of broilers”, *Poultry Science*, Vol. 87, No. 5, pp. 973-8.

Westholm, D. E. et al. (2009), “Competitive inhibition of organic anion transporting polypeptide 1c1-mediated thyroxine transport by the fenamate class of nonsteroidal antiinflammatory drugs”, *Endocrinology*, Vol. 150, No. 2, pp. 1025-32.

Wikberg, J.E. and F. Mutulis (2008), “Targeting melanocortin receptors: an approach to treat weight disorders and sexual dysfunction”, *Nature Review Drug Discovery*, Vol. 7, No. 4, pp. 307-23.

Yan, Z. and P.M. Hinkle (1993), “Saturable, stereospecific transport of 3,5,3'-triiodo-L-thyronine and L-thyroxine into GH4C1 pituitary cells”, *Journal of Biological Chemistry*, Vol. 268, No. 27, pp. 20179-84.

Yen, P.M. (2001), “Physiological and molecular basis of thyroid hormone action”, *Physiological Reviews*. Vol. 81, No. 3, pp. 1097-1142.

Zoeller, R. T. and K. M. Crofton (2005), “Mode of action: developmental thyroid hormone insufficiency--neurological abnormalities resulting from exposure to propylthiouracil”, *Critical Reviews in Toxicology*, Vol. 35, No. 8-9, pp. 771-781.

Zoeller, R.T. and S.W. Tan (2007), “Implications of research on assays to characterize thyroid toxicants”, *Critical Reviews in Toxicology*, Vol. 37, No. 1-2, pp. 195-210.

O.E.C.D.

PART TWO:

COMPENDIUM OF
IN VITRO & EX VIVO ASSAYS
FOR THYROID HORMONE DISRUPTORS

TABLE OF CONTENTS

BLOCK #1 ASSAYS	90
1.1 Hypothalamic Thyrotropin-Releasing Hormone (TRH) Production/Release Assays.....	90
1.2 Thyrotropin Releasing Hormone Receptor Activation Assays	92
1.3 Thyrotropin Receptor Activation Assays	93
BLOCK #2 ASSAYS	94
2.1 Thyroperoxidase Inhibition Assay	94
2.2. Sodium/Iodide Symporter Mediated Uptake Assays	101
BLOCK #3 ASSAYS	105
3.1. Combined TBG and Transthyretin TTR Binding Assays	105
3.1.a TransThyretin (TTR) Binding Assays.....	109
BLOCK #4 ASSAYS	111
4.1 Deiodinase Activity Assays	111
4.2 Glucuronidation Assays	114
4.3 Sulfation Assays.....	117
BLOCK #5 ASSAYS	119
5.1 Thyroid Transmembrane Transporter Assays	119
BLOCK #6 ASSAYS	125
6.1 TR Binding Assays	125
6.2 TR Transactivation Assays.....	131
6.3. Assays that Evaluate Interactions of TR With Other Regulatory Proteins	133
BLOCK #7 ASSAYS	134
7.1. Zebrafish Assays	134
7.2. Thyroid Gland Explant Culture.....	136
BLOCK #8 ASSAYS	137
8.1. T-screen Assays	137
8.2. Developmental Neurotoxicity <i>in vitro</i> Assay.....	139
REFERENCES	141
ANNEX 1	145

The Part 2 of the Thyroid Scoping document contains a compendium of *in vitro* & *ex vivo* assays for identification of potential thyroid hormone disruptors. The analysis of their readiness for inclusion in the OECD Test Guideline Programme is represented in Part 1. The summary of this analysis is represented in Table 1.3 in Part 1 also included below.

The TSEG assessed the readiness of the assays described in Part 2 for inclusion in the TG work plan based primarily on Category 1 parameters as described above, but including others when available. The table below summarises the findings of the evaluation.

Table 1. Summary of the *in vitro* and *ex vivo* Thyroid Assays and the Level of Their Readiness for Inclusion in the OECD Test Guidelines (TG) Work plan

Block of Assays	Readiness Level
[1] Central Regulation (HPT)	
TRH production (Hypothalamus)	C
TRH receptor activation (Pituitary)	B
TSH receptor activation (Thyroid)	B
[2] Thyroid Hormone Synthesis	
TPO Inhibition	B to A
NIS activation	B
Stem cell derived thyrocytes	not analysed
[3] Secretion and Transport	
TTR Binding	A
TBG Binding	A
Transport over placenta & BBB	not analysed
[4] Metabolism and Excretion	
Deiodination Inhibition	B
Deiodination up-regulation	B
Hepatic nuclear receptor activation	Covered in Metabolism DRP97
Glucuronidation inhibition	Covered in Metabolism DRP97
Glucuronidation & Sulfation upregulations	Covered in Metabolism DRP97
Sulfation inhibition	Covered in Metabolism DRP97
[5] Local Cellular Concentrations	
TH Membrane Transporters	A
TH Membrane Transporter/TR Transactivation	B
Local Deiodination	C
[6] Cellular Responses	
Binding to TR LBD	C to B
Effects of TR Transactivation	B to A
Co Regulator Interaction	Not analysed
Activation dimerization partners TR (RXR)	Covered in DRP 178 , DRP 97
Non-Nuclear TR mediated responses	Not analysed
[7] Relevant Short-Term Assays Integrating Multiple MOAs	
Zebra fish embryo	B
Sea Urchin Metamorphosis assay	not analysed
GFP-Xenopus Embryo	TG under development in Project 2.39
Short term Xenopus Metamorphosis assay	not analysed
Thyroid gland explant culture	B
[8] Integrative Cellular Assays	
T-Screen (TR induced proliferation assay)	C
Human neural progenitor cell	C
TSH induced proliferation assay	not analysed

BLOCK #1 ASSAYS**CENTRAL REGULATION: HYPOTHALAMIC-PITUITARY-THYROID AXIS (HPT)**

98. This block includes assays with a potential to evaluate synthesis/production of Thyrotropin Releasing Hormone (TRH) at the hypothalamus level, the function/activation of the TRH-Receptor at the pituitary level and of the Thyroid Stimulating Hormone (TSH) Receptor (at the thyroid level).

1.1 Hypothalamic Thyrotropin-Releasing Hormone (TRH) Production/Release Assays

Assay Name	Hypothalamic TRH production and release
Molecular Initiation Event or Key Event	Low T3 levels, increased leptin/ MC4R signalling, modulated cAMP levels in the TRH producing neurons in the paraventricular nucleus of the hypothalamus
Endpoint(s)/ purpose of the assay	Assess effects on chemicals on T3 repression on TRH transcription and production.
Major literature citations	Lezoualc'h et al., Mol Endocrinol, 1992. 6(11): p. 1797-804; Fekete and Lechan, Front Neuroendocrinol, 2007. 28(2-3): p. 97-114; Hollenberg et al. J Biol Chem, 1995. 270(24): 14274-80; Decherf et al., Mol Cell Endocrinol, 2010. 323(2): p. 172-82.
Tissue, Cells or Extract utilized in assay and species source	Mouse or chick embryonic hypothalamic neurons could be used to establish lines for TRH production. Medium assayed using the commercially available TRH ELISA from http://www.mybiosource.com/datasheet.php?products_id=741538 .
Laboratories performing the work	None
Availability of assay components for wide use	Not available
Assay throughput	Low
Development stage and validation status – further needs	TRH, a tripeptide, is produced from preprothyrotropin releasing hormone. Theoretically, primary cultures of TRH neurons could be prepared and/or potentially transformed to end used to measure either preprothyrotropin mRNA or protein levels and/or transcriptional regulation. Such assays require conceptualization, standardization and validation. Cytotoxicity should be assessed.
Chemicals tested	The only chemicals that have been tested on the mouse in vivo assay were TBT and TBBPA, but other chemicals targeting TRs or RXRs, or deiodinases should be evaluated.
Known restrictions of the assay	A chemical assay is available for TRH, but no suitable biological assay that replays neuron and TR specific physiological regulation of <i>Trh</i> nor TRH production.
Additional information	
Are data from studies publically available	No
Assay included in	No, not included in OECD DRP 57 (2006) or DRP 178 (2012)

OECD DRPs?	
Proposed/potential regulatory purpose	An in vitro assay of Trh transcription and /or TRH production and release does not currently exist. No synthetic substances have been observed to act via this MOA. Given the potential for these thyroid hormone dependent regulations to be affected by endocrine disruption, an assay should be developed.

1.2 Thyrotropin Releasing Hormone Receptor Activation Assays

Assay Name	TRH-R activation of pituitary thyrotropes
Molecular Initiation Event or Key Event	TRH stimulation or TRH-R, activation of phospholipase C, Ca ⁺⁺ mobilization and activation of PKC, leading to activation of TSHb transcription.
Endpoint(s)/ purpose of the assay	A number of endpoints of TRH-R activation could be taken as endpoints, be it Inositol phosphate production, PKC activation or production of TSH b subunit. In parallel, cell toxicity needs to be assessed for example by using green fluorescent protein (GFP) (reduction of fluorescence would match with cell toxicity). The assessment of cell toxicity is mandatory when testing potential antagonists.
Major literature citations	No literature citations using primary thyrotrophs or GH3 cells for endocrine disruption of TRH-R action were found
Tissue, Cells, Extract utilized in assay and species source	Many species of thyrotrophs could be used. The GH3 lines could be used to measure GH or prolactin production/secretion in response to TRH stimulation or the commercial assay cited below.
Laboratories performing the work	N/A
Availability of assay components for wide use	A commercial assay is available for TRH-R activation - MILLIPORE. ChemiScreen™ Human recombinant TRH Thyrotropin-Releasing Hormone Receptor, Calcium-Optimized Stable Cell Line. Catalogue Number : HTS126C. This assay measures Ca ⁺⁺ flux.
Assay throughput	high, medium, low?
Development stage and validation status – further needs	TRH assay needs setting up, optimization and validation.
Chemicals tested	Should list total number of chemicals tested using the assay.
Known restrictions of the assay	The fact that the TRH receptor is rapidly internalized and down-regulated has to be taken into account in developing the assay. In parallel, cell toxicity is assessed using green fluorescent protein (GFP) (reduction of fluorescence would match with cell toxicity). The assessment of cell toxicity is mandatory when testing potential antagonists.
Additional information	None
Are data from studies publically available	No
Assay included in OECD DRPs?	No, not included in OECD DRP 57 (2006) or DRP 178 (2012)
Proposed/potential regulatory purpose	The current state is too theoretical for guideline development.

1.3 Thyrotropin Receptor Activation Assays

Assay Name	TSH receptor mediated activation of cAMP production in CHO cells.
Molecular Initiation Event or Key Event	TSH activates the G protein linked membrane based TSH receptor initiating production of cAMP and hence activation of CREB dependent target gene transcription. At high concentrations TSH also stimulates inositol monophosphate production. The apparent EC50 values for TSH –dependent cAMP and IP1 production were 0.75 and >71 mU/ml.
Endpoint(s)/ purpose of the assay	A number of endpoints could be assayed: TSH-dependent production of cAMP is the one currently used. Alternatives might be TSH dependent transcription of target endogenous genes or TSH/ CREB sensitive promoters.
Major literature citations	Hinkle et al. Endocrinology, 1980. 106(3):1000-5; Heldin, Endocrinology, 1994. 134(5): 2032-6.
Tissue, Cells or Extract utilized in assay and species source	Chinese hamster ovary cells (CHO) transfected with the TSH-R.
Laboratories performing the work	Currently, no labs running this test regularly. Lechan lab in Boston and Csaba Fekete in Budapest are known to be setting up the assays again.
Availability of assay components for wide use	A rapid internet search gave no results for TSH-R expressing CHO cell lines. Perhaps the two labs that previously described them should be contacted. Also (see above) two labs (one in the USA and one in Europe) are now setting these assays up again.
Assay throughput	Could be high throughput.
Development stage and validation status – further needs	Research stage. Need development and validation
Chemicals tested	DDT, Arochlor 1254
Known restrictions of the assay	Given the fact that stimulation of the TSH receptor also drives the mitogenic effects of TSH and thereby is implicated in contributing to thyroid cancer initiation, the effects of chemical of TSH-R mitogenic effects need to be addressed independently of cAMP activation. TSH activity is also modified by glycolysation of TSH and this should be taken into account when choosing standards for the TSH.
Additional information	
Are data from studies publically available	Only two publications use CHO lines to asses TSH-R disruption.
Assay included in OECD DRPs?	Both references major citations are in DRP 178, Ref 15 is cited in DRP 57.
Proposed/potential regulatory purpose	High potential, but mitogenic effects exerted through the TSH-R need to be taken into account.

BLOCK #2 ASSAYS**THYROID HORMONE SYNTHESIS**

99. This block includes assays with a potential to evaluate effects on Thyroid Hormone (TH) synthesis via monitoring effects on the activity of Tyroperoxidase, Sodium Iodide Symporter (NIS) and potentially other systems that affect the synthetic process.

2.1 Thyroperoxidase Inhibition Assay

Assay Name	Tyrosine iodination assay
Molecular Initiation Event or Key Event	This assay models the TPO-catalyzed iodination of tyrosine to form monoiodotyrosine (MIT) or to iodinate further to diiodothyronine (DIT) on thyroglobulin within the follicular lumen of thyrocytes.
Endpoint(s)/ purpose of the assay	HPLC used to monitor the development of MIT and/or DIT in the presence of TPO and a potential xenobiotic inhibitor.
Major literature citations	Freyberger and Ahr (2006). Toxicology 217, 169-175; Divi and Doerge (1996). Chem Res Toxicol 9, 16-23; Divi et al. (1997). Biochem Pharmacol 54, 1087-1096; Doerge and Takazawa (1990). Chem Res Toxicol 3, 98-101
Tissue, Cells or Extract utilized in assay and species source	Porcine TPO or bovine lactoperoxidase have been used as partially or highly purified fractions.
Laboratories performing the work	Doerge et al., Freyberger et al., not commonly performed.
Availability of assay components for wide use	The method appears highly transferrable, but obtaining thyroid glands as a source of TPO may be an obstacle (except hog thyroid from slaughterhouses) to use of this assay in other laboratories.
Assay throughput	Low to medium
Development stage and validation status – further needs	Research stage. Would require further standardization and validation. Few chemicals have been tested using this method. No standardized protocol, assay not widely used.
Chemicals tested	Across different publications 5-10 chemicals have been tested. Positive chemicals include phytoestrogens (genistein, daidzein, etc.), thiourea derivatives (ethylenethiourea, N,N, N',N'-tetramethylthiourea), resorcinol. A negative compound does not appear to have been published.
Known restrictions of the assay	Relatively low-throughput. Identification of negatives may be problematic. The UV absorbance of some compounds, including phytoestrogens, may interfere with spectrophotometric analysis, and thereby necessitate the use of HPLC for quantitative measurement of products from this assay (Divi et al., 1997).
Additional information	Freyberger et al. (2006) reported final assay conditions in a 0.5 mL volume and a 15 min incubation as: 500 µM L-tyrosine, 10 mM KI, 250 µM H ₂ O ₂ , 0.2 guaiacol units/mL TPO with 1 mM sodium thiosulfate, and half a volume of methanol added to terminate the reaction. Detection of monoiodo-l-tyrosine was performed by HPLC and UV detection (285 nM) to determine peak areas. A similar detection method was reported by Doerge and colleagues, except that their method also reported development of DIT in addition to MIT (Divi et al.,

	1997). MIT formation may also be followed up merely photometrically without HPLC separation step (Diwi and Doerge, 1996), however, frequently compounds interfere due to their absorptin properties. The tyrosine iodination assay can be used to detect both interactions with the TPO enzyme and with the iodinating species generated by TPO. These differences cannot be differentiated in a single endpoint measurement, but can be discriminated using a time-course experiment to collect different fractions from column-based HPLC detection. Enzyme inhibition by a xenobiotic would decrease the slope of the moniodination reaction, whereas an interaction with the iodinating intermediate would at least temporarily suppress iodination at some rate. This assay recapitulates the physiological key even, as it includes iodination of a tyrosine residue. A positive result in this assay would be relevant provided that the concentrations use in the assay were relevant to the toxicokinetics of the compound, and target tissue concentrations, of the xenobiotic <i>in vivo</i> .
Are data from studies publically available	Data from these studies are publically available through the published literature. The authors have not been asked for raw data.
Assay included in OECD DRPs?	No, not included in OECD DRP 57 (2006) or DRP 178 (2012). Thyroperoxidase (TPO) assays using the same principle and guaiacol or tyrosine substrates have been in use for a long time and have been included in DRP#57 and/or 178. In addition an assay combining TPO activity assay with gene expression analysis using microarray hybridisation has been developed by (Song M. et al, 2011). Another assay using substrate developed for spectroscopic detection systems has been developed by Vickers et al.; 2012)
Proposed/potential regulatory purpose	This assay may be more appropriate as a confirmatory assay following screening. Substances that inhibit TPO may result in hypothyroxinemia/hypothyroidism, with potential deleterious effects if exposure occurs during neurodevelopment.

Assay Name	Iodide oxidation assay
Molecular Initiation Event or Key Event	This assay models the TPO-catalyzed oxidation of iodide. The oxidized iodine species is key for the iodination of tyrosine to form monoiodotyrosine (MIT) or to iodinate further to diiodothyronine (DIT) on thyroglobulin within the follicular lumen of thyrocytes.
Endpoint(s)/ purpose of the assay	This assay measures the formation of tri-anion I_3^- from I_2 catalyzed by TPO in the presence of excess iodide.
Major literature citations	Freyberger and Ahr (2006 Toxicology 217, 169-175; Magnusson et al. (1984). J Biol Chem 259, 13783-13790; Doerge and Takazawa (1990). Chem Res Toxicol 3, 98-101; Taurog, A. (1970) Rec Prog Hormone Res 26, 189-247; Schmutzler et al. (2007) Endocrinology 148, 2835-2844
Tissue, Cells or Extract utilized in assay and species source	Porcine/human recombinant TPO or bovine lactoperoxidase have been used as partially or highly purified fractions.
Laboratories performing the work	Not routinely performed. Has been performed in Taurog et al., Doerge et al., Schmutzler et al., and Freyberger et al.
Availability of assay components for wide use (public or commercial, or not available)	The method appears highly transferrable, but obtaining thyroid glands as a source of TPO may be an obstacle (except hog thyroid from slaughterhouses) to use of this assay in other laboratories. Use of lactoperoxidase may make this more feasible. There is no standardized assay protocol. Reported assay conditions have been variable, with a range of iodide (5 to 50 mM) and co-substrate hydrogen peroxide (100 to 250 μ M) concentrations used by different authors. Occasionally, lower iodide concentrations were used during the incubation time, and additional iodide was added only at the end of the incubation time in order to maximize tri-anion formation. The source of the TPO enzyme and its specific activity has not typically been standardized or reported. It is unclear, if and how changes in assay conditions affect the sensitivity of the assay.
Assay throughput	Low
Development stage and validation status – further needs	Research stage. Would require further standardization and validation. Few chemicals have been tested using this method.
Chemicals tested	Estimate ten chemicals, across different publications including genistein, thiourea derivatives (ethylenethiourea, N,N, N',N'-tetramethylthiourea), resorcinol, sulfonamides (sulfathiazole, sulfadiazine), p-aminosalicylic acid, aromatic and arylamines (4,4'-oxydianiline, 4,4'-methylenedianiline, amitrole), and benzophenone-2. Negatives have not been published.
Known restrictions of the assay	Relatively low-throughput. Identification of negatives may be problematic. Species differences for TPO activity between different sources may contribute unknown variability.
Additional information	The iodide oxidation assay can be used to detect both interactions with the TPO enzyme and with the iodinating species generated by TPO. These differences can be discriminated using a time-course experiment using HPLC detection. This assay recapitulates the physiological key even, as it measures oxidation of iodide. A positive result in this assay would be relevant provided that the concentrations use in the assay were relevant to the toxicokinetics of the compound, and target

	tissue concentrations, of the xenobiotic <i>in vivo</i> .
Are data from studies publically available	Data from these studies are publically available through the published literature.
Assay included in OECD DRPs?	No, not included in OECD DRP 57 (2006) or DRP 178 (2012)
Proposed/potential regulatory purpose	Substances that inhibit TPO may disrupt thyroid hormones <i>in vivo</i> to result in hypothyroxinemia or hypothyroidism, with potential deleterious effects if exposure occurs during neurodevelopment.

Assay Name	Guaiacol oxidation assay
Molecular Initiation Event or Key Event	This assay is intended to model TPO catalytic activity that ultimately results in the coupling of moniodotyrosine (MIT) and/or diiodothyronine (DIT) on thyroglobulin within the follicular lumen of thyrocytes
Endpoint(s)/ purpose of the assay	The guaiacol oxidation assay for peroxidation activity utilizes H ₂ O ₂ as a hydrogen-donor for TPO-mediated oxidation of guaiacol to an amberdimethoxy-diphen product detected by spectrophotometric analysis. This assay mimics the initial step of the coupling reaction catalyzed by TPO, i.e., peroxidation of substrate, and not iodination.
Major literature citations	Chang and Doerge (2000) ToxicolAppl Pharmacol168, 244-252; Hosoya (1963) Journal of biochemistry 53, 381-388; Schmutzler et al. (2007), Endocrinology 148, 2835-2844; Taurog (1970). Rec Prog Hormone Res 26, 189-247; Freyberger and Ahr (2006). Toxicology 217, 169-175; Takayama et al. (1986) Toxicol Appl Pharmacol 82:191-199, Takayama et al.
Tissue, Cells or Extract utilized in assay and species source	Porcine/rat/monkey/human recombinant and human goiter TPO or bovine lactoperoxidase have been used as partially or highly purified fractions.
Laboratories performing the work	US EPA, Bayer Health Care, Dan Doerge, Schmutzler
Availability of assay components for wide use (public or commercial, or not available)	The method appears highly transferrable, but obtaining thyroid glands as a source of TPO may be an obstacle (except hog thyroid from slaughterhouses) to use of this assay in other laboratories. Use of lactoperoxidase (LPO) may make this more feasible. However, LPO seems to be less sensitive to inhibition than hog thyroid peroxidase.
Assay throughput	Low. Use as confirmatory assay
Development stage and validation status – further needs	Research stage, but more chemicals have been tested for TPO-inhibiting activity with this assay than any other. Insufficient numbers of chemicals evaluated for validation purposes. No standardized protocol exists, different reagent concentrations across laboratories. Largest differences in execution stem from the source of protein for the assay.
Chemicals tested	Many chemicals have been evaluated with the guaiacol oxidation assay including phytoestrogens (genistein, daidzein), thiourea derivatives (thiouracil, propylthiouracil, methimazole), bisphenol A, benzophenone-2, resorcinol, sulfonamides (sulfathiazole, sulfadiazine, sulfamonomethoxine), p-aminosalicylic acid, aromatic and arylamines (4,4'-oxydianiline, 4,4'-methylenedianiline, amitrole), 2-mercaptobenzothiazole. Negative chemicals identified include methyl-2-methyl benzoate, 4-methylbenzylidene-camphor, octylmethoxycinnamate, benzophenone-3, N-acetyl-sulfamonomethoxine, thiourea derivatives (ethylene thiourea, N,N,N',N'-tetramethylthiourea), phthalates (diethyl-, dihexyl-, and dibutylphthalate), and iopanoic acid.
Known restrictions of the assay	Low-throughput. Not amenable to screening due to the short kinetic window for the assay and instability of the product formed. There are reported TPO inhibitors that are less/not inhibitory if guaiacol is used as a substrate.
Additional information	
Are data from studies publically	Much of these data are publically available through the open literature.

available	
Assay included in OECD DRPs?	No, not included in OECD DRP 57 (2006) or DRP 178 (2012)
Proposed/potential regulatory purpose	Substances that inhibit TPO may disrupt thyroid hormones <i>in vivo</i> to result in hypothyroxinemia or hypothyroidism, with potential deleterious effects if exposure occurs during neurodevelopment.

Assay Name	Amplex UltraRed® Thyroperoxidase Inhibition Assay
Molecular Initiation Event or Key Event	TPO catalytic activity that results in the coupling of moniodotyrosine (MIT) and/or diiodothyronine (DIT) on thyroglobulin within the follicular lumen of thyrocytes.
Endpoint(s)/ purpose of the assay	The assay utilizes H ₂ O ₂ as a hydrogen-donor for TPO-mediated oxidation of the Amplex UltraRed substrate to a resorufin-based product (Amplex UltroxRed). This assay mimics the initial step of the coupling reaction catalyzed by TPO, i.e., peroxidation of substrate, and not iodination.
Major literature citations	Paul et al. (2013). (in preparation); http://tools.invitrogen.com/content/sfs/manuals/mp36006.pdf
Tissue, Cells, Extract utilized in assay and species source	Rat TPO; porcine TPO possible, but not yet tested; human recombinant TPO from a new cell line is in development.
Laboratories performing the work	US EPA
Availability of assay components for wide use (public or commercial, or not available)	The method appears highly transferrable, but obtaining thyroid glands as a source of TPO may be an obstacle to use of this assay in other laboratories. Use of human recombinant TPO may enable greater transferability of this assay.
Assay throughput	High
Development stage and validation status – further needs	This assay has been characterized in a 96- and a 384-well format, with a publication on a validation with a 21-chemical training set to be submitted soon.
Chemicals tested	21 chemicals have been evaluated including MMI > ethylene thiourea > 6-propylthiouracil > 2,2',4,4'-tetrahydroxy-benzophenone > 2-mercaptobenzothiazole > 3-amino-1,2,4-triazole > genistein > 4-propoxyphenol > sulfamethazine > daidzein > 4-nonylphenol > triclosan > iopanoic acid > resorcinol. These data demonstrate the broader utility of this assay to detect chemicals previously characterized as reversible or irreversible inhibitors of TPO. Seven chemicals were negative including: 2-hydroxy-4-methoxybenzophenone, dibutylphthalate, diethylhexylphthalate, diethylphthalate, 3,5-dimethylpyrazole-1-methanol, methyl 2-methyl-benzoate, and sodium perchlorate.
Known restrictions of the assay	Assay does not recapitulate a physiological key event, but rather serves as an indicator of TPO activity using a nonphysiological substrate. It is unknown if there are types of TPO inhibitors that may not be detected by this assay.
Additional information	This assay has been evaluated in an automated format in the developing laboratory.
Are data from studies publically available	A publication on this assay and the 21-chemical training set, using rat thyroid microsomes as the source of TPO, is in preparation (Paul et al. 2013).
Assay included in OECD DRPs?	No, not included in OECD DRP 57 (2006) or DRP 178 (2012)
Proposed/potential regulatory purpose	Substances that inhibit TPO may disrupt thyroid hormones <i>in vivo</i> to result in hypothyroxinemia or hypothyroidism, with potential deleterious effects if exposure occurs during neurodevelopment.

2.2. Sodium/Iodide Symporter Mediated Uptake Assays

Assay Name	Radioactive Sodium/Iodide Uptake assay using transiently transfected FRTL-5 cells
Molecular Initiation Event or Key Event	Iodide uptake into thyroid follicular cells is carried out by the Sodium Iodide Symporter (NIS). This is a key event in thyroid hormone synthesis and sensitive to a number of environmentally relevant compounds including notably perchlorate, nitrate and genistein.
Endpoint(s)/ purpose of the assay	The radioactivity of the radioiodide is counted in the presence of inhibitors or stimulators.. Radioactivity after Xanthohumol use (through the time) is compared to the radioactivity of negative control (NaClO ₄) and statistical analysis is performed (non-parametric one-way ANOVA)
Major literature citations	Radovic B., Schmutzler C., Köhrle J., Xanthohumol stimulates iodide uptake in rat thyroid-derived FRTL-5 cells, in Molecular Nutrition & Food Research 45, 2005, pp. 832-836.
Tissue, Cells or Extract utilized in assay and species source	Rat non transformed thyrocytes (FRTL-5 cells)
Laboratories performing the work	Institut für Experimentelle Endokrinologie und Endokrinologisches, Forschungszentrum EnForCé, Charité Universitätsmedizin Berlin, Berlin, Germany
Availability of assay components for wide use	No
Assay throughput	Low to medium
Development stage and validation status – further needs	Research stage
Chemicals tested	Restrictions of the assay as described is that no chemicals known as thyroid disruptors were tested, because it firstly had goals in cancer research not in endocrine disruptors research (see known restrictions of the assay). It also lacks method details. The observation was that NIS was stimulated over 72h in a non-dose dependent manner by a plant derived substance, Xanthohumol
Known restrictions of the assay	This assay only assessed Xanthohumol impact which is not a pollutant/chemical At first this study aimed to increase radioiodide uptake and assess the use of such a mechanism in cancer therapy
Additional information	No, the material and methods section is poor. This article does not provide transparent data.
Are data from studies publically available	Yes, published literature.
Assay included in OECD DRPs?	No, assay not included in OECD DRP 57 (2006) or DRP 178 (2012), but Schmutzler et al (2007) is a review paper that is cited in DRP 57 and DRP #178.
Proposed/potential regulatory purpose	Potentially, could be used to assess mechanisms of disruption that involve NIS symporter, BUT should be specifically designed and improved for endocrine disruptors, please see restrictions of the assay and chemicals tested above in the table.

Assay Name	Radioactive Sodium/Iodide Uptake Assay using hNIS stably transfected in FRTL5 cells and in HEK293 cells
Molecular Initiation Event or Key Event	Iodide uptake into thyroid follicular cells is carried out by the Sodium Iodide Symporter (NIS). This is a key event in thyroid hormone synthesis and sensitive to a number of environmentally relevant compounds including notably perchlorate, nitrate and genistein
Endpoint(s)/ purpose of the assay	The radioactivity of the radio-iodide ¹²⁵ I is counted. Functional characterization of iodide uptake activity catalysed by hNIS-HEK293: absence/presence ClO ₄ and 17020 compounds are screened in the first run. IC50 determination of the selected compounds.
Major literature citations	Lecat-Guillet et al., ChemBioChem 9 (2008) 889-895
Tissue, Cells or Extract utilized in assay and species source	Human NIS stably transfected in FRTL5 cells and HEK293
Laboratories performing the work	Department of Bioorganic Chemistry and Isotopic Labelling, CEA, Institut of Biology and Technology (iBiTecs), Gif-sur-Yvette F-91191, France
Availability of assay components for wide use	All materials used in this assay are commercially available
Assay throughput	High
Development stage and validation status – further needs	There is a need to decide which stably transfected cell type should be taken forward for validation; either FRTL5 cells and HEK293 and then whether to test with the radio-isotope method or the colorimetric method
Chemicals tested	The Sodium Iodide Symporter (NIS) is inhibited by certain anions and other compounds, such as genistein. Lecat-Gullet et al (2008) describe results HTP screening results using radioactivity iodide uptake assay (RAIU). Each screening narrows the number of remaining compounds. 10 compounds were clearly identified as NIS inhibitors and were thus called ‘Iodide Transport Blockers’.
Known restrictions of the assay	If the radio-isotope method is chose then a restriction would be use of isotopes. The colorimetric method might be less sensitive and this could be a drawback.
Additional information	Possible bias introduced by compounds that may quench the radioactive signal; isotopic dilution due to free iodide in the samples; alteration in the membrane status; cell toxicity of the compounds.
Are data from studies publically available	Yes in the scientific literature
Assay included in OECD DRPs?	No, not included in OECD DRP 57 (2006) or DRP 178 (2012)
Proposed/potential regulatory purpose	In vitro highthroughput screening assessment of potential disrupting chemicals

Assay Name	Non-Radioactive Sodium/Iodide Uptake Assay based on Sandell-Kolthoff reaction and using FRTL5 cells
Molecular Initiation Event or Key Event	(NIS). This is a key event in thyroid hormone synthesis and sensitive to a number of environmentally relevant compounds including notably perchlorate, nitrate and genistein
Endpoint(s)/ purpose of the assay	The assay is based on the Sandell and Kolthoff reaction between Ce(IV) and As(III). Ce(IV) yellow becomes uncolored Ce(III); Iodide accelerates this reaction, with the rate directly proportional to the concentration of iodide. The maximum absorbance for Ce(IV) is measured at 420 nm; the endpoint is the decrease of absorbance at 420 nm which is time-dependent and proportional to the concentration of iodide.
Major literature citations	Waltz F. et al., A Nonradioactive Iodide Uptake Assay for Sodium Iodide Symporter Function, in Analytical Biochemistry 396(2010), 91-95
Tissue, Cells or Extract utilized in assay and species source	Rat thyroid-derived cells (FRTL5)
Laboratories performing the work	Commissariat à l'Énergie Atomique (CEA), Institut de Biologie de Saclay (iBiTecs), Service de Chimie Bioorganique et de Marquage, Gif-sur-Yvette F-91191, France
Availability of assay components for wide use (public or commercial, or not available)	All materials used in this assay are commercially available
Assay throughput	High
Development stage and validation status – further needs	Research stage
Chemicals tested	Chemicals to test during validation would be perchlorate, nitrate, genistein and bromine. ITB5 identified to be the most potent inhibitor (Lecat-Guillet et al., 2008).
Known restrictions of the assay	Compounds that can directly reduce Cer-IV to Cer-III could interfere with the final readout. Also due to the high toxicity of As (III) used in this assay, there may be legal implications with performing the assay. The following drawbacks are applicable to any cell based NIS assay: Compounds that may quench the radioactive signal, Isotopic dilution due to free iodide in the samples, Alteration in the membrane status, Cell toxicity of the compounds.
Additional information	Reaction to reduce As/Ce is time and iodide concentration dependent. Quantity of iodide in picomoles range is assessed in FRTL5 cells in 96-well plate format in presence or absence of sodium perchlorate (NaClO ₄) a chemical known to be a strong NIS inhibitor. The decrease of the cell-trapped iodide shows the inhibition of the NIS by NaClO ₄ . Reliability of the As/Ce method is validated using a set of known iodide uptake inhibitors and calculation of the IC ₅₀ for each and compared with results radioactive iodide uptake assay (RAIU).
Are data from studies publically	Only those in the paper cited.

available	
Assay included in OECD DRPs?	No, not included in OECD DRP 57 (2006) or DRP 178 (2012)
Proposed/potential regulatory purpose	In vitro highthroughput screening assessment of potential disrupting chemicals or pharmaceuticals

BLOCK #3 ASSAYS

SECRETION AND TRANSPORT

100. This block contains assays with a potential to evaluate disruption of thyroid hormone function by affecting the secretion of the hormone and its systemic transport. In the current document mainly assays evaluating interference of xenobiotics with binding of T3 and/or T4 to thyroxine-binding globuline (TBG) and transthyrein (TTR) are included.

3.1. Combined TBG and Transthyretin TTR Binding Assays

Assay Name	TBG/TTR non-radioactivity-based assay - Immunomagnetic microbeads, flow cytometry and nano-liquid chromatography mass spectrometry.
Molecular Initiation Event or Key Event	Displacement T4 from its binding site on the serum binding proteins TTR or TBG.
Endpoint(s)/ purpose of the assay	This assay uses competitive inhibition of a stable nonradioactive isotope of T4 [(13)C(6)-L-thyroxine] as the label to the binding of a recombinant TTR (rTTR). rTTR is either used in solution or immobilized on paramagnetic microbeads. The readout measure of inhibition of rTTR binding is liquid chromatography-mass spectrometry (LC-MS). The decrease in LC-MS peak is the measure of the potency of the compound to displace T4.
Major literature citations	Aqai P, et al., Triple bioaffinity mass spectrometry concept for thyroid transporter ligands. Anal Chem. 2012 Aug 7;84(15):6488-93.
Tissue, Cells or Extract utilized in assay and species source	Human TTR
Laboratories performing the work	C RIKILT-Institute of Food Safety, Wageningen UR, Akkermaalsbos 2, 6708 WB
Availability of assay components for wide use	Materials are commercially available.
Assay throughput	High
Development stage and validation status – further needs	
Chemicals tested	T4, triclosan, tetrabromobisphenol
Known restrictions of the assay	Expensive technology
Additional information	
Are data from studies publically available	Yes in published literature
Assay included in	No, not included in OECD DRP 57 (2006) or DRP 178 (2012)

OECD DRPs?	
Proposed/potential regulatory purpose	This in vitro assay may be used for TTR and TBG T4 displacements induced by xenobiotics. Such action by chemicals is hypothesized to increase T4 clearance.

Assay Name	TTR/TBG Anilinosulfonic Acid (ANSA) Fluorescence Displacement Assay
Molecular Initiation Event or Key Event	Displacement T4 from its binding site on the serum binding proteins TTR or TBG.
Endpoint(s)/ purpose of the assay	T4 and T3 bind to TTR and TBG serum proteins. This assay measures the relative fluorescence intensity provided by ANSA (8-anilino naphthalene sulfonic acid ammonium salt) which is able to bind serum transport-proteins. Competitive inhibition of chemicals with binding of T4 or T3 is the endpoint measured in this assay.
Major literature citations	Cao J et al, Toxicology 277, 2010, 20-28.
Tissue, Cells or Extract utilized in assay and species source	The article states only on “stock solutions of TTR, TBG [...]”, we can assume that this underlies plasma TTR/TBG (this should be confirmed)
Laboratories performing the work	State Key Laboratory of Environment Chemistry and Ecotoxicology, Research Center for Eco-environmental Sciences, Chinese Academy of Sciences, P.O. Box 2871, Beijing 100085, China
Availability of assay components for wide use	
Assay throughput	Low
Development stage and validation status – further needs	Research
Chemicals tested	OH-PBDEs
Known restrictions of the assay	Should be performed with other known thyroid disruptors. Native fluorescence of test compounds could interfere with measurements.
Additional information	This assay was performed with OH-PBDEs and these produce disruption by competitive displacement. This assay could be expanded to other chemicals
Are data from studies publically available	Yes, published literature
Assay included in OECD DRPs?	No, not included in OECD DRP 57 (2006) but was included in DRP 178 (2012).
Proposed/potential regulatory purpose	This in vitro assay may be used for TTR and TBG T4 displacements induced by xenobiotics. Such action by chemicals is hypothesized to increase T4 clearance.

Assay Name	TTR/TBG Assays – T4-Coated Biosensor Chip Method
Molecular Initiation Event or Key Event	Displacement T4 from its binding site on the serum binding proteins TTR or TBG.
Endpoint(s)/ purpose of the assay	This assay is similar to an inhibition immunoassay. It uses a Biacore Q automatically functioning, providing a Response Units (RU) depending on the absence or occurrence of inhibited binding.
Major literature citations	Marchesini et al, Toxicology and Applied Pharmacology 232, 2008, 150-160
Tissue, Cells or Extract utilized in assay and species source	Recombinant TTR (rTTR) and TBG purchased
Laboratories performing the work	RIKILT-Institute of Food Safety, Wageningen UR, P.O. Box 230, 6700 AE Wageningen, The Netherlands; gerardo.marchesini@wur.nl
Availability of assay components for wide use	yes
Assay throughput	High
Development stage and validation status – further needs	Research stage
Chemicals tested	
Known restrictions of the assay	Performance of biochips is variable
Additional information	62 chemicals were tested on each assay (TTR assay and TBG assay). These included the natural hormones, PCBs, PBDEs and metabolites, PBA and halogenated PBAs, halogenated phenols, pharmaceuticals, pesticides and other potential environmentally relevant chemicals.
Are data from studies publically available	Yes, published literature
Assay included in OECD DRPs?	No, not included in OECD DRP 57 (2006) or DRP 178 (2012)
Proposed/potential regulatory purpose	This in vitro assay may be used for TTR and TBG T4 displacements induced by xenobiotics. Such action by chemicals is hypothesized to increase T4 clearance.

3.1.a TransThyretin (TTR) Binding Assays

Assay Name	Fluorescence TTR binding displacement assay using 8-anilino naphthalene sulfonic acid ammonium salt (ANSA) probe
Molecular Initiation Event or Key Event	Displacement T4 from its binding site on the serum binding proteins TTR or TBG.
Endpoint(s)/ purpose of the assay	This assay is based on competition of T4-like chemicals with the fluorescent probe 8-anilino-1-naphthalenesulfonic acid ammonium salt (ANSA). Fluorescence occurs when the ANSA binds the transport proteins. A compound that competes with TTR or TBG will displace the fluorophore from its position on the protein and reduce the fluorescence. The decrease in fluorescence is the measure of the potency of the compound to displace T4.
Major literature citations	Montano M. et al., New approaches assess the TTR binding capacity of bio-activated thyroid hormone disruptors, in Toxicological Sciences, August 1, 2012
Tissue, Cells or Extract utilized in assay and species source	TTR from human plasma
Laboratories performing the work	Centre de Recherche Public – Gabriel Lippmann, Department Environment and Agro-biotechnologies, 41, rue du Brill, L-4422, Belvaux, Grand Duchy of Luxembourg
Availability of assay components for wide use	Yes, commercially available
Assay throughput	Moderate – High. 96-well plate format, should be suitable for higher
Development stage and validation status – further needs	A new method of extraction is performed before the TTR binding assay in order to separate fatty acids that disrupt TTR-binding in other assays. Application of the optimized extraction method (M5) permits calculation of an IC50 and a binding constant (Kb) which is converted in T4 equivalents (T4eq) in order to display clear comparison within the methods.
Chemicals tested	
Known restrictions of the assay	It should be noted that the assay is performed only with the TTR serum protein which represents around 20% of transported thyroid hormones in human serum whereas the TBG serum protein represents 75%. Two potential mismeasurements must be avoided: 1. Interference of fluorescent signal by high concentrations of solvent. Solvents must be kept below the threshold where it binds to the fluorophore. 2. Autofluorescence of solvents and test compounds. MeOH has been identified as an appropriate non-interfering solvent. 3. Potential interference by autofluorescent xenobiotic chemicals under study.
Additional information	Allows comparison with the previous serum protein binding studies. A high-throughput assay is available for the simultaneous evaluation of xenobiotic displacement of T4 binding to both TTR and TBG
Are data from studies publically available	
Assay included in OECD DRPs?	No, not included in OECD DRP 57 (2006) or DRP 178 (2012)
Proposed/potential regulatory purpose	This in vitro assay may be used for TTR and TBG T4 displacements induced by xenobiotics. Such action by chemicals is hypothesized to increase T4 clearance.

Assay Name	Radioactive TTR-binding/displacement assay. ¹²⁵I-T4 Displacement from TTR
Molecular Initiation Event or Key Event	Displacement T4 from its binding site on the serum binding proteins TTR or TBG.
Endpoint(s)/ purpose of the assay	This assay measures the radioactivity of a ¹²⁵ I-labeled-T4 (L-3'-5'- ¹²⁵ I-thyroxine) which is in competition with the test chemical for binding the TTR serum transport-protein. Competitive inhibition mechanism exerted by chemicals is measured - decreasing radioactivity at higher chemical concentrations. The ¹²⁵ I-T4 is incubated with TTR in the presence of either the test compound or the T4 (cold T4 as control). Free ¹²⁵ I-T4 and bound- ¹²⁵ I-T4 are separated through a Biogel P-6PG column. Radioactivity of the bound- ¹²⁵ I-T4 fraction is counted with a gamma-counter. Corrections are calculated to take into account the dilution factor.
Major literature citations	Hamers et al., Toxicological Sciences, 2006, 92(1), pp. 157-173
Tissue, Cells or Extract utilized in assay and species source	Human TTR (prealbumin from human plasma)
Laboratories performing the work	Institute for Environmental Studies, Vrije Universiteit Amsterdam, 108 HV Amsterdam, The Netherlands.
Availability of assay components for wide use	Yes, all materials available.
Assay throughput	Low to medium
Development stage and validation status – further needs	Research stage
Chemicals tested	Brominated flame retardants such as BDEs, TBBPA, HBCDs (31 chemicals).
Known restrictions of the assay	Test uses radiolabeled T4.
Additional information	A high throughput assay is available to test binding to both TTR and TBG serum proteins. This assay was performed with OH-PBDEs but could lead to other chemicals assessment This assay is a profiling in vitro assay assessing other targets of endocrine disruption (AR, ER) and leads to PCA analysis. The thyroid target is thus a part of the analysis
Are data from studies publically available	Yes, published literature
Assay included in OECD DRPs?	No, not included in OECD DRP 57 (2006) or DRP 178 (2012)
Proposed/potential regulatory purpose	This in vitro assay may be used for TTR and TBG T4 displacements induced by xenobiotics. Such action by chemicals is hypothesized to increase T4 clearance.

BLOCK #4 ASSAYS**METABOLISM AND EXCRETION**

101. This block contains assays with a potential to evaluate disruption of thyroid hormone function by examining the ability of xenobiotics to interfere with the enzymatic systems responsible for deiodination, glucuronidation and sulfation of the hormone.

4.1 Deiodinase Activity Assays

Block 4 Assays – DI-1	Radioactive deiodinase assay / Liver 5'-deiodinase type 1 (IDI-1) assay
Molecular Initiation Event or Key Event	Inhibition of DI-1
Endpoint(s)/ purpose of the assay	This assay assesses the ID-1 activity without and with the test compounds (competitive inhibition) by measuring the radioactivity due to ¹²⁵ I-rT3 substrate.
Major literature citations	Schoenmakers et al. 1992, citation; Freyberger & Ahr, 2006, Toxicology, 217, 169-175
Tissue, Cells, Extract utilized in assay and species source	Human, rat, mouse, dog, rabbit, cow, pig, sheep chicken and others
Laboratories performing the work	T. Visser's lab (Netherlands), Bayer HealthCare (Germany)
Availability of assay components for wide use	Not all components are publically available. (Co-) substrates and buffer salts are commercially available. As DI-1 source a 10,000 x g supernatant from rat liver or from liver of other species is used. Accordingly only a human source for ID-1 may not readily be available.
Assay throughput	Low to medium
Development stage and validation status	Research stage, but can be used in the past and retrospective validation.
Chemicals tested	Cyclic thiourea derivatives like substituted thiouracil and mercaptoimidazole derivatives, ethylenethiourea and the non-cyclic N,N,N',N'-tetramethylthiourea.
Known restrictions of the assay	Variation in assay parameters from paper to paper
Additional information	Methodology has been adopted for use with thyroid ex vivo. A non-radioactive methodology could be developed: Instead of the release of radiolabeled iodide the formation of 3,3'-diiodothyronine could be measured e.g., by HPLC analysis
Are data from studies publically available	
Assay included in OECD DRPs?	Yes, assay included in OECD DRP 57 (OECD, 2006) or DRP 178 (OECD, 2012)
Proposed/potential regulatory purpose	In vitro screen for ID-1 inhibitors. Ex vivo parameter for OECD in vivo protocols relating thyroid effects to changes in liver type 1 deiodination

Block 4 Assays DI-2	HPLC based deiodinase assay
Molecular Initiation Event or Key Event	Inhibition of deiodinase activity by disrupting chemicals with decrease of circulating thyroid active hormone (T3) as consequence.
Endpoint(s)/ purpose of the assay	This assay assesses DI-1 activity without and with the test compounds and is based on competitive inhibition with iodoacetate, a well known DI-1 inhibitor. Formation of T3 and rT3 in the presence and absence of test compound is measured.
Major literature citations	Butt et al., 2011. Toxicological Sciences 124(2), 339-347
Tissue, Cells, Extract utilized in assay and species source	Human Type I Deiodinase from Human liver microsomes
Laboratories performing the work	Stapleton, Nicholas School of the Environment, Duke University, Durham, NC 27708
Availability of assay components for wide use	All materials used for the assay are available
Assay throughput	Low to medium
Development stage and validation status	Research stage
Chemicals tested	BDE 99 and its hydroxyl-derivates, tribromophenol, TBBPA, Triclosan. T
Known restrictions of the assay	Human microsomes required?
Additional information	Chemicals used are the authors concluded on possible several mechanism of action, and stated about non-competitive inhibition that should be considered for further work. The study allows ranking of the test chemicals. The experiments show that inhibition generally increased with increasing halogen molecular weight (I>Br>Cl>F)
Are data from studies publically available	Yes, published manuscript
Assay included in OECD DRPs?	No, not included in OECD DRP 57 (OECD, 2006) or DRP 178 (OECD, 2012)
Proposed/potential regulatory purpose	In vitro screen for deiodinase type-1 inhibitors.

Block 4 Assays DI-3	Colorimetric method for assessing deiodinases activities
Molecular Initiation Event or Key Event	Inhibition of DI-1 activity
Endpoint(s)/ purpose of the assay	Modulation of ID1, ID2 and potentially ID3 activities. Measures free iodine based on the Sandell-Kolthoff reaction.
Major literature citations	Renko et al., 2012, Endocrinology. 153, 2506-13.
Tissue, Cells, Extract utilized in assay and species source	This assay uses microsomal fractions from fresh mice livers and reveal D1 and D2 activities (potentially D3). Microsomal fractions from other tissues or use of cell culture could be done but it is still limited to rich sources of enzymatic activity.
Laboratories performing the work	Kohrle lab, Germany
Availability of assay components for wide use	
Assay throughput	Medium. Developed in 96 well plates and is suitable for high throughput
Development stage and validation status	Research Stage
Chemicals tested	MMI, PTU, iapoanoic acid, T4.
Known restrictions of the assay	The colorimetric based method is a promising alternative to the radioactive iodine substrate methods but is still limited in sensitivity and restricted to rich sources of enzymatic activity.
Additional information	A patent application deposit is in progress
Are data from studies publically available	No
Assay included in OECD DRPs?	No, not included in OECD DRP 57 (OECD, 2006) or DRP 178 (OECD, 2012)
Proposed/potential regulatory purpose	Detetction of substances that alter metabolism of hormones via deiodination pathways

4.2 Glucuronidation Assays

102. Glucuronidation assays that study thyroid disrupters are mainly *in vivo* assays. Therefore, they are not mentioned in this document as we aim to focus on *in vitro* assessment. Several *in vivo* assays suggest that chemicals that increase UDP-glucuronosyltransferases (UGTs) increase T4 glucuronidation, and lead to a reduction in serum T4 and increase TSH. The assays below describe an approach to assess glucuronidation pathways *in vitro* but no chemicals have been tested. These assays with further development may be useful for future assessment of thyroid disrupting compounds.

Block 4 Assay UGT-1	Fluorescence based Ex vivo Liver glucuronidation assay & microsomes
Molecular Initiation Event or Key Event	Liver glucuronidation ex vivo; 1-naphthol as model substrate for T4
Endpoint(s)/ purpose of the assay	In liver microsomes, 1-naphthol is used as model substrate for T4 and glucuronidation reaction is performed through UGT microsomal suspension. The fluorescence of the naphthol-glucuronide is measured in spectrofluorometer.
Major literature citations	Bock, 1974. Naunyn-Schmiedberg's Arch. Pharmacol. 283-330.
Tissue, Cells, Extract utilized in assay and species source	Liver extracts from Rat, Dog, Mouse, Monkey
Laboratories performing the work	Bayer HealthCare, Germany
Availability of assay components for wide use	No
Assay throughput	Low to medium
Development stage and validation status	Use in research and development. Retrospective validation may be possible.
Chemicals tested	None
Known restrictions of the assay	Not tested in vitro – only used for in vivo/ex vivo studies to date
Additional information	Assay used ex vivo tissue by many groups over decades
Are data from studies publically available	Yes: Phenobarbital & 3-methylcholanthrene (Perrone, C.E., Ahr, H.-J., Duan, J.D., Jeffrey, A.M., Schmidt, U., Williams, G.M., & Enzmann, H.H. (2004) Embryonic turkey liver: activities of biotransforming enzymes and activities of DNA-reactive carcinogens. Arch. Toxicol. 78, 589-598 Flutamide (Andrews, P., Freyberger, A., Hartmann, E., Eiben, R., Loof, I., Schmidt, U., Temerowski, M., & Becka, M. (2001) Feasibility and potential gains of enhancing the subacute rat study protocol (OECD test guideline no. 407) by additional parameters selected to determine endocrine modulation. A pre-

	<p>validation study to determine endocrine-mediated effects of the antiandrogenic drug flutamide. Arch. Toxicol. 75, 65-73)</p> <p>Ethinylestradiol (Andrews, P., Freyberger, A., Hartmann, E., Eiben, R., Loof, I., Schmidt, U., Temerowski, M., Folkerts, A., Stahl, B., & Kayser, M. (2002) Sensitive detection of the endocrine effects of the estrogen analogue ethinylestradiol using a modified enhanced subacute rat study protocol (OECD test guideline no. 407). Arch. Toxicol. 76, 194-202)</p> <p>p,p'-DDE (Freyberger, A., Ellinger-Ziegelbauer, H. & Krötlinger, F. (2007) Evaluation of the rodent Hershberger bioassay: Testing of coded chemicals and supplementary molecular-biological and biochemical investigations. Toxicology 239, 77-88)</p>
Assay included in OECD DRPs?	Yes, included in OECD DRP 57 (OECD, 2006) or DRP 178 (OECD, 2012) in vivo protocols
Proposed/potential regulatory purpose	<i>Ex vivo</i> parameter for OECD in vivo protocols relating thyroid effects to increased metabolic disposition (glucuronidation) of thyroid hormone

Assay Name	Chromatography/mass spectrometry (LC/MS) glucuronidation assay using microsomes or recombinant UGTs
Molecular Initiation Event or Key Event	Liver glucuronidation of T4 and T3
Endpoint(s)/ purpose of the assay	The method consists in the incubation of either T4 or T3 with liver microsomes or recombinant human UGTs. Following separation and purification the mixture is analysed by liquid chromatography/mass spectrometry (LC/MS). Acyl- and Phenolic- Glucuronides are separated and peaks identities confirmed. This separation allows also to confirm the structures by NMR Analysis of T4 Phenolic Glucuronides This assay performs multiple tests: Separation of T4 and T3 Glucuronides by LC/MS; formation of T4 Glucuronides is measured and separated glucuronides structures confirmed; classification of enzymes' activities is performed by comparing peak areas ratios
Major literature citations	Tong et al., Drug Metabolism and Disposition, 2007, 35:2203-2210.
Tissue, Cells or Extract utilized in assay and species source	Human, rat, mouse, dog, monkey (for species differences assessment)
Laboratories performing the work	Biotransformation Division (Z.T., H.L, A.C) and Discovery Analytical Chemistry (I.G., O.M.), Wyeth Research, Collegeville, Pennsylvania
Availability of assay components for wide use	Yes
Assay throughput	Low
Development stage and validation status – further needs	Research stage
Chemicals tested	BDE-47, BDE-99
Known restrictions of the assay	Induction of liver glucuronidation through foreign compounds resulting in an increased metabolic disposition of thyroid hormones. This can only be addressed in vivo or in hepatocyte culture. This important aspect is not covered by this assay. Expensive technology
Additional information	This study has multiple benefits including it is entirely in vitro; T4- or T3- Glucuronides are easily separated by LC/MS; assay is reliable and reproducible; uses human recombinant enzymes; elucidates role of different enzyme subtypes
Are data from studies publically available	
Assay included in OECD DRPs?	No, not included in OECD DRP 57 (2006) or DRP 178 (2012)
Proposed/potential regulatory purpose	<i>In vitro</i> protocols relating thyroid effects to increased metabolic disposition (glucuronidation) of thyroid hormone. This assay is suitable as a startpoint for TDs assessment and be compared to results from in vivo studies.

4.3 Sulfation Assays

Assay Name	Inhibition of thyroid hormone sulfation
Molecular Initiation Event or Key Event	Inhibition of sulfotransferase
Endpoint(s)/ purpose of the assay	This assay measures the SULT activity calculated in percentage of control.
Major literature citations	Schuur et al., inhibition of thyroid hormone sulfation by hydroxylated metabolites of polychlorinated biphenyls, <i>Chemico-Biological interactions</i> 109 (1998), 293-297
Tissue, Cells or Extract utilized in assay and species source	Rat liver, Human SULT
Laboratories performing the work	Department of Toxicology, Agriculture University Wageningen, PO Box 8000, 6700 EA, Wageningen, The Netherlands.
Availability of assay components for wide use (public or commercial, or not available)	
Assay throughput (high, medium, low)	Low
Development stage and validation status – further needs	
Chemicals tested	PCBs
Known restrictions of the assay	The protocol does not specify if SULT activity was assessed depending on different DMSO concentrations (without PCBs) in order to assess solvent effect that could decrease the enzyme activity and thus lead to mismeasurements, i.e. inconclusive inhibited activity. Nevertheless, the article specifies not to exceed 1% DMSO, which may underlie this solvent effect has been assessed but it remains unclear. Also, the use of rat livers may involve other enzymes for T2 metabolism. V79 cells transfected with the human SULT1A1 provide information only for this type of enzyme.
Additional information	The assay also includes the use of V79 cells transfected with the human SULT1A1 which more likely to provide conclusion for human health. Nevertheless, only one type of SULT is assessed here.
Are data from studies publically available	
Assay included in OECD DRP 57 (OECD, 2006) or	No

DRP 178 (OECD, 2012)	
Proposed/potential regulatory purpose	Chemical potency assessment in SULT inhibition, in relation with thyroid hormone disruption.

BLOCK #5 ASSAYS**LOCAL CELLULAR CONCENTRATIONS**

103. This block contains assays with a potential to evaluate disruption of thyroid hormone function by examining the ability of xenobiotics to interfere with the function of tissue and cell specific membrane transporter proteins for thyroid hormone or by interfering with peripheral deiodination of the hormone.

5.1 Thyroid Transmembrane Transporter Assays

Assay Name	Transient Transfection T3 uptake assay
Molecular Initiation Event or Key Event	T3 is actively transported across the plasma membrane by Monocarboxylate Transporter 10 (MCT10) and MCT8 (or other transporters)
Endpoint(s)/ purpose of the assay	¹²⁵ I-labelled T3 accumulates within cultured cells that have been transiently transfected with plasmids expressing the human gene for a transporter (MCT8 or MCT10). After incubation with labeled T3 for a short period of time (about 10 min) cells are washed and lysed with NaOH. Lysate is counted to reveal T3 uptake. Radioactivity in this lysate indicates transporter activity. This value should be corrected for non-specific uptake of radioactivity in the lysate. This can be measured by incubating the cells with radiolabeled T3 in the presence of 200-1000 fold excess unlabeled T3.
Major literature citations	Friesema et al, (2003 J.Biol.Chem. 278(41), 40128-40135; Friesema et al., (2008). Mol Endocrinol 22(6), 1357-69; Kinne et al. 2009 J Molec Endocrinol. 43 263-271
Tissue, Cells or Extract utilized in assay and species source	Green monkey cell line COS1 transiently transfected to express transporter of interest. Dog MCDK1 cells stably transfected with wild type or mutant human MCT8
Laboratories performing the work	Theo Visser's lab, Erasmus University, Netherlands Josef Kohrle's lab, Humboldt University, Berlin
Availability of assay components for wide use	Public: The cell line used in this study can be ordered from ATCC but requires biocontainment level 2 due to stable expression of large T from SV40. However, other cell lines could be adapted to the assay but T3 uptake capability would need to be characterized.
Assay throughput	Low - Medium
Development stage and validation status – further needs	Research; requires further standardization/validation.
Chemicals tested	Sulphobromothalein (but it could be used to screen)
Known restrictions of assay	Use of radioactivity
Additional information	Co-transfection with plasmids expressing human micro-crystallin - an intracellular protein that sequesters TH molecules – enhances the read-out from this assay as it limits the rate of efflux of labeled TH.
Are data from	Data from these studies are only publicly available through the published

studies publically available	literature. The authors have not been approached to see if they will provide the raw data.
Assay included in OECD DRPs?	No, not included in OECD DRP 57 (2006) or DRP 178 (2012)
Proposed/potential regulatory purpose	Humans or mice that lack a functional gene for MCT8 show notable disturbances in thyroid hormone physiology and deficits in normal brain development. Substances which impair the activity of MCT8 may cause developmental impairments by blocking TH uptake by target cells at critical periods of development. This assay can rapidly identify substances with such activity.

Assay Name	Transient Transfection Thyroxine Uptake Assay
Molecular Initiation Event or Key Event	Thyroxine is actively transported across the plasma membrane and probably across the blood brain barrier by OATP1c1. Blocking the activity of this molecule is likely to lead to hypothyroidism in the brain and liver.
Endpoint(s)/ purpose of the assay	¹²⁵ I-labelled T4 accumulates within cultured cells that have been transiently transfected with plasmids expressing the rat gene for a transporter OATP1c1 (gene Slco1c1). After incubation with labelled T4 until equilibrium is reached (about 10 min) cells are washed and lysed with 0.5% Triton X100. Lysate is counted to reveal T3 uptake. Radioactivity in this lysate – less radioactivity in blank (from cells with no plasmid – <i>Comment this could also be incubations with labelled T4 plus 200 fold or more excess of cold T3</i>) – indicates transporter activity.
Major literature citations	Westholm, D. E., Stenehjem, D. D., Rumbley, J. N., Drewes, L. R. and Anderson, G. W. (2009). Competitive inhibition of organic anion transporting polypeptide 1c1-mediated thyroxine transport by the fenamate class of nonsteroidal antiinflammatory drugs. <i>Endocrinology</i> 150(2), 1025-32, 10.1210/en.2008-0188.
Tissue, Cells or Extract utilized in assay and species source	Human embryonic kidney cells (HEK) 293 transiently transfected to express OATP1c1
Laboratories performing the work	Grant Anderson's,, Department of Pharmacy Practice and Pharmaceutical Sciences, University of Minnesota Duluth, Duluth, Minnesota 55812
Availability of assay components for wide use (public or commercial, or not available)	Public: cells can be ordered from ATCC; expression plasmids of the ORF of the full human gene are commercially available.
Assay throughput (high, medium, low)	Low - Medium
Development stage and validation status – further needs	Research; requires further standardization/validation.
Chemicals tested	Fenamic Acid (IC50 = 25 µM); Diclofenac (IC50 = 4 µM); Meclofenamic Acid (IC50 = 3 µM); Iopanoic Acid (IC50 = 3 µM); Iodocyanine Green (IC50 = 0.1 µM); Phenytoin (IC50 = 26 µM)
Known restrictions of the assay	Use of of radioactivity.
Additional information	The authors also report using a preparation of rat brain microvasculature but far too much effort is required for this preparation for a screening assay.
Are data from studies publically available	Data from these studies are only publicly available through the published literature. The authors have not been approached to see if they will provide the raw data.
Assay included in OECD DRP 57	No

(OECD, 2006) or DRP 178 (OECD, 2012)	
Proposed/potential regulatory purpose	<p>The transport of thyroxine across cell membranes is clearly important for the secretion of thyroid hormone from the thyroid gland, for uptake of thyroxine into target tissues and across the blood brain barrier. Substances which impair this process could potentially disrupt thyroid hormone-mediated processes such as neurodevelopment. However, there is no known lack-of-function mutant in humans and experimental knock-out mice show normal neurological phenotype (Mayerl, et al., 2012. Endocrinology. 15:1528-37). . Moreover, there are no examples of substances that specifically inhibit the function of this transporter resulting in clear, thyroid hormone-related effect preventing the assessment of toxicity resulting from this MOA. Consequently, these data suggest that this modality is not a high priority for developing into a screening assay.</p>

Assay Name	T3/T4 cellular uptake assay
Molecular Initiation Event or Key Event	Transmembrane uptake of thyroid hormones (either T3 or T4) into cell.
Endpoint(s)/ purpose of the assay	This assay measures the inhibition of thyroid hormone transfer from extracellular to intracellular compartments to determine the potential chemical-induced blockade of all TH (T4, T3 or rT3 depending on radio-labelled ligand used) transporter activity present in the cell used.
Major literature citations	Among others: Kragie, L. and Doyle, D. (1992). Benzodiazepines inhibit temperature-dependent L-[125I]triiodothyronine accumulation into human liver, human neuroblast, and rat pituitary cell lines. <i>Endocrinology</i> 130(3), 1211-6; Lim, C. F., Loidl, N. M., Kennedy, J. A., Topliss, D. J. and Stockigt, J. R. (1996). Drug effects on triiodothyronine uptake by rat anterior pituitary cells in vitro. <i>Experimental and clinical endocrinology & diabetes</i> : 104(2), 151-7, 10.1055/s-0029-1211437; Movius, E. G., Phyllaier, M. M. and Robbins, J. (1989). Phloretin inhibits cellular uptake and nuclear receptor binding of triiodothyronine in human hep G2 hepatocarcinoma cells. <i>Endocrinology</i> 124(4), 1988-97.
Tissue, Cells or Extract utilized in assay and species source	Various primary cells (rat pituitary, cardiomyocytes from infant rats, human red blood cells) HepG2 Cells (human liver hepatoma); GH3-L (rat pituitary cells); SHSY5Y (a subclone of SK-N-SH; neuroblastoma cell line).
Laboratories performing the work	Many
Availability of assay components for wide use (public or commercial, or not available)	The parent cell lines (HEPG2, GH3 SK-N-SH) are all publically available through the ATCC or other repositories. Primary tissues, including human cells (red blood cell) can also be used. High purity radio-iodinated T3 and T4 is still available.
Assay throughput (high, medium, low)	Low to Medium
Development stage and validation status – further needs	The assay is a research tool and results have been reported from many investigators using different forms of the assay. It is apparently easy and the technology is fairly basic although no attempt has been made to develop a standardized protocol. Most of the work with inhibitors was performed well before the identification of the transporter proteins so it is not clear which transporter molecules are being inhibited and it is likely that the sum of thyroid hormones transported into any given cell type results from the action of multiple transporters. The relative levels of the various known transporter proteins will need to be cha
Chemicals tested	A number of substances were shown to impair T3 uptake into the various cell types: Immortalized cells : Lormetazepam and triazolam (IC50 = 50 nM), Diazepam (IC50 around 50 micromolar) in HepG2 cells; for other cells - Only Lormetazepam tested but with same IC50 (Kragie and Doyle, 1992); Phloretin (IC50 = 88 microM); HepG2 cells, (Movius, et al., 1989). Fenamate NSAIDs, (meclofenamic acid (25 microM), mefenamic acid (45 microM), fenclofenac (69

	<p>microM), flufenamic acid (100 microM), and diclofenac (230 microM). Aspirin, ibuprofen, oxyphenbutazone, and phenylbutazone (all 100 microM) were noninhibitory.: rat H4 hepatocytes, (Topliss et al., 1989).</p> <p>Primary rat pituitary cells: ethacrynic acid, mecofenamic and fenamic acid were the three most potent of substances tested in inhibiting T3 uptake (IC50s not calculated) (Lim, et al., 1996); 3-carboxy-4-methyl-5-propyl-2-furanpropanoic acid (CMPF) inhibited T4 uptake but not T3 Lim (Lim et al., 1993)</p> <p>Primary Cardiomyocytes: 3,3'-T2 (10µM inhibits 52%); (Verhoeven et al., 2002).</p> <p>Human red blood cells: Phloretin and oligomycin (Osty et al., 1990).</p> <p>Bullfrog Red Blood Cells: Bromosulfophthalein (300 µM inhibits T3 uptake by 91%); Phloretin (100 µM inhibits by 78%); Monodansylcadaverine (500µM - 78%) (Shimada and Yamauchi, 2004).</p>
Known restrictions of the assay	<p>The use of radiolabeled thyroid hormones presents health and safety concerns. The short half-life of the isotope used to label thyroid hormones (¹²⁵I) is short and this creates logistical constraints for labs running the assay. The types and relative activity of each transporter protein will need to be characterized for each cell model used for the assay to assist in the interpretation of the results. However, pre-labeled thyroid hormones are commercially available that may help address the constraints.</p>
Additional information	<p>The assay is really very similar to the transient transfection assays in that they incubate with labelled hormone until equilibrium and then lyse and count gamma radiation. The cell types (at least HepG2) can be transiently transfected with micro crystalin to enhance the signal (i.e. as described for the transient transfection T3 uptake assay (Friesema, et al., 2008). Transfection with transporter genes may be difficult to interpret given the endogenous activity of constitutively expressed transporters in these models.</p>
Are data from studies publically available	<p>Data from these studies are only publicly available through the published literature. The authors have not been approached to see if they will provide the raw data.</p>
Assay included in OECD DRP 57 (OECD, 2006) or DRP 178 (OECD, 2012)	<p>No</p>
Proposed/potential regulatory purpose	<p>Given that the human and mouse KO for MCT8 – a major T3 -specific transporter – show very marked disruption in TH physiology and developmental abnormalities of central nervous system it is plausible that toxicant-induced inhibition of the action of these molecules during critically-sensitive windows of development information on inhibitory capability for any substance could predict this. Although this assay may not distinguish the effects on specific transporters or, at least, not until the transporters active in each of cell type used for the assay have been clearly characterized, screening for substance effects on T3 transport could potentially provide useful information about potential hazard to thyroid physiology. An assay to screen this MAO would provide useful information for hazard characterization.</p>

BLOCK #6 ASSAYS**CELLULAR RESPONSES**

104. This block contains assays with the potential to evaluate disruption of thyroid hormone function by evaluating the effects of xenobiotics on the activation of the TH nuclear receptors (TRs) and consequently the cellular processes affected by its activation. Activation of TRs is evaluated by assessment of binding/interference with binding to the TRs, functional assessment of the activity of TR-responsive promoter elements (transactivation assays) or by direct assessment of the interaction of TRs with co-activators, co-repressors and other proteins that modulate its function.

6.1 TR Binding Assays

Assay Name	Competitive binding assay for thyroid hormone receptor in nuclear fraction of MtT/E-2 cells
Molecular Initiation Event or Key Event	Thyroid receptor binding
Endpoint(s)/ purpose of the assay	A nuclear fraction of MtT/E-2 cells was used as receptor source. Nuclear fraction was incubated with 125I-T3 in the presence or absence of test compounds and radiolabel bound to the receptor was determined. Displacement by test compounds of radiolabelled 125I-T3 from the receptor (control =100%) is indicative of their affinity to the thyroid hormone receptor.
Major literature citations	Kitamura S. et al., Anti-thyroid hormonal activity of tetrabromobisphenol A, a flame retardant, and related compounds: Affinity to the mammalian thyroid hormone receptor, and effect on tadpole metamorphosis, in <i>Life Sciences</i> 76 (2005), pp. 1589-1601
Tissue, Cells or Extract utilized in assay and species source	MtT/E-2 rat pituitary cell nuclear fraction
Laboratories performing the work	Graduate School of Biomedical Sciences, Hiroshima University, Kasumi 1-2-3, Minami-ku, Hiroshima 734-8551, Japan
Availability of assay components for wide use (public or commercial, or not available)	All materials seem to be available
Assay throughput (high, medium, low)	Moderate
Development stage and validation status – further needs	Unknown
Chemicals tested	Test chemicals are TBBPA, TCBPA, BPA, 2,2-diphenyl-propane. This assay shows clear interference of TBBPA, TCBPA but not with BPA. The consistency of such results was observed through several other studies, except for BPA which is known as antagonist as well in other studies. This could be explained because of the use of nuclear fraction from GH3 cells which contains both types of TRs, i.e. BPA is likely to inhibit one type of receptor and not the other and thus lead to unclear result in this study.

Known restrictions of the assay	When nuclear fraction from GH3 cells is used it is not possible to discriminate between the TR α 1 and TR β 1 The design would be suitable for testing other TDC such as OH-BDEs
Additional information	This assay has been evaluated in an automated format in the developing laboratory. Does not appear to be sensitive to solvent vehicle DMSO at high concentrations.
Are data from studies publically available	Peer reviewed publication has been submitted (Paul et al. 2013).
Assay included in OECD DRP 57 (OECD, 2006) or DRP 178 (OECD, 2012)	Not in DRP #57, Yes in DRP #178
Proposed/potential regulatory purpose	This assay would be for example a first step in a stepwise assessment of TDCs

Assay Name	TR alpha/beta activity using transient transfection in human cells
Molecular Initiation Event or Key Event	Thyroid receptor binding using LBD in transient transfection
Endpoint(s)/ purpose of the assay	Human, zebrafish, and <i>X. laevis</i> TR α activity was monitored on (GAL4RE) ₅ - β globin-luciferase construct using species-specific ligand-binding domain (LBD) inserted in pSG5-GAL4-puro plasmid (Fini 2012). TR beta LBD was used in Sun et al 2008
Major literature citations	Fini et al, 2012 Toxicological Sciences 125(2), 359–367 ; Sun et al., 2008 Toxicology. 2008 Jul 30; 249(2-3):238-42.
Tissue, Cells or Extract utilized in assay and species source	Transient transfection assays were performed in HeLa cells using Jet-PEI and activity of different chemicals were monitored via luciferase quantification(Fini et al.2012).
Laboratories performing the work	DR Patrick Balaguer's laboratory. IRCM, Institut de Recherche en Cancérologie de Montpellier, INSERM, U896, Université Montpellier1, CRLC Val d'Aurelle Paul Lamarque, Montpellier 34298, France DR Wong's laboratory. Key Laboratory of Reproductive Medicine, Institute of Toxicology, Nanjing Medical University, Nanjing, China.
Availability of assay components for wide use (public or commercial, or not available)	All materials are available
Assay throughput (high, medium, low)	High (384 well plates)
Development stage and validation status – further needs	In use
Chemicals tested	Test chemicals are TBBPA parent compound and major metabolite mono sulphate TBBPA (Fini et al 2012)
Known restrictions of the assay	This assay is using LBD so it only reflects part of the nuclear receptor interactions.
Additional information	This assay has been evaluated in an automated format in the developing laboratory.
Are data from studies publically available	Yes
Assay included in OECD DRPs?	No, not included in OECD DRP 57 (2006) or OECD DRP 178 (2012)
Proposed/potential regulatory purpose	B

Assay Name	TR alpha/beta partner in cell free system
Molecular Initiation Event or Key Event	Corepressors such as nuclear hormone receptor corepressor (NCoR) are recruited by unliganded TRs, whereas coactivators such as steroid receptor coactivator-2 (SRC2) are recruited when triiodothyronine (T3) is bound to TRs.
Endpoint(s)/ purpose of the assay	Recombinant LBD of the human TR α 1 isoform (hTR α 1 LBD) was produced as a fusion with glutathione S-transferase, and used to develop assays based on fluorescence polarization to quantify the binding of either NCoR- or SRC2-derived fluorescent peptides to the hTR α 1 LBD (Lévy-Bimbot et al., 2012)
Major literature citations	Zhuang et al. J Mol Graph Model. 2013 Jul;44:155-6
Tissue, Cells or Extract utilized in assay and species source	Lévy-Bimbot et al., 2012 Chemosphere. 2012 May;87(7):782-8
Laboratories performing the work	Yves Lévy Univ Paris-Sud, Public Health-Environment, UMR 8079, France.
Availability of assay components for wide use	YES
Assay throughput	High (384 well plates)
Development stage and validation status – further needs	In use
Chemicals tested	TBBPA interferes with LBD and allows NCOR release but does not bind to SRC2 (Lévy-Bimbot et al., 2012)
Known restrictions of the assay	This assay is using LBD so it only reflects part of the nuclear receptor interactions.
Additional information	The study was designed to probe the TR α 1 conformational changes induced by endocrine disrupting chemicals. Cell-free assays were based on binding of transcriptional coregulator peptides to the ligand binding domain of TR α 1. The thyroid disrupting chemical TBBPA promotes corepressor release but inhibits T3-induced coactivator recruitment. TBBPA may disrupt the function of both unliganded and T3-bound TR α 1 by promoting an inactive TR α 1 conformation.
Are data from studies publically available	YES
Assay included in OECD DRPs?	No, not included in OECD DRP 57 (2006) or DRP 178 (2012)
Proposed/potential regulatory purpose	C

Assay Name	Bacterial biosensors for screening isoform-selective ligands for human thyroid receptors α-1 and β-1
Molecular Initiation Event or Key Event	The biosensor assay is an <i>Escherichia coli</i> (<i>E. coli</i>) growth-based technique. the conformation of the TR LBD is linked to the activity of a thymidylate synthase (TS) reporter enzyme
Endpoint(s)/ purpose of the assay	TR α -1 bacterial biosensor indicates the presence of thyroid active compounds through their impacts on the growth of an engineered <i>Escherichia coli</i> strain in a simple defined medium.
Major literature citations	Gierach et al 2012 FEBS Open Bio. 2012 Aug 15; 2:247-53.
Tissue, Cells or Extract utilized in assay and species source	E Coli
Laboratories performing the work	David Wood Department of Chemical Engineering, Princeton University, Princeton, NJ 08544,USA.
Availability of assay components for wide use (public or commercial, or not available)	Public
Assay throughput (high, medium, low)	Medium
Development stage and validation status – further needs	In development
Chemicals tested	T3, Triac, GC-1 and KB-141
Known restrictions of the assay	This assay is using LBD so it only reflects part of the nuclear receptor interactions.
Additional information	See Gierach et al. 2012
Are data from studies publically available	Yes
Assay included in OECD DRPs?	No, not included in OECD DRP 57 (2006) or DRP 178 (2012)
Proposed/potential regulatory purpose	B

Assay Name	Effects of TR Ligands on Hormone Dissociation Rates
Molecular Initiation Event or Key Event	Ligands promote dissociation of radiolabeled bound hormone from the buried ligand binding cavity (LBC) more rapidly than excess unlabeled hormone itself.
Endpoint(s)/ purpose of the assay	Challenger ligands bind allosteric sites on the LBD to induce hormone dissociation. T ₃ binding affinities were determined by saturation binding assays
Major literature citations	Suzana T. Cunha Lima, et al., 2009 J Steroid Biochem Mol Biol. 2009 November; 117(4-5): 125–131.
Tissue, Cells or Extract utilized in assay and species source	TRs were incubated overnight with saturating (1nM) ¹²⁵ I-T ₃ at 4°C No cells
Laboratories performing the work	Department of General Biology, Biology Institute, Federal University of Bahia, Campus of Ondina, Salvador, BA, Brazil.
Availability of assay components for wide use (public or commercial, or not available)	Public but need radioactivity
Assay throughput (high, medium, low)	Medium
Development stage and validation status – further needs	In development
Chemicals tested	Agonists T3, T4, GC1, GC24 antagonists NH-3, NH-5, NH-7
Known restrictions of the assay	High concentrations used. Low sensitivity and radioactivity necessary
Additional information	See Cunha Lima et al/, 2012
Are data from studies publically available	Yes
Assay included in OECD DRPs?	No, not included in OECD DRP 57 (2006) or DRP 178 (2012)
Proposed/potential regulatory purpose	C

6.2 TR Transactivation Assays

105. These are reporter gene assays that address overall activation of the TR or specifically evaluate the function of co-activators or co-repressors of the TR. Representative examples are presented here. Analysis of these types of assays in Part 1 includes 10 individual assays.

Assay Name	Reporter gene assay using GH3-TRE cell line (luciferase gene reporter assay)
Molecular Initiation Event or Key Event	Thyroid receptor activation
Endpoint(s)/ purpose of the assay	This assay measures the Luciferase activity (induction) in GH3 cells stably transfected with a pGL4CP-SV40-2xtaDR4 construct (GH3.TRE-Luc). In parallel, cell toxicity is assessed by resazurine method (reduction of resazurine to the fluorescent resofurin depending on the cell viability).
Major literature citations	Jaime Freitas, Patricia Cano, Christina Craig-Veit, Michael L Goodson, J. David Furlow, Albertinka J. Murk, Feb 2011. Detection of thyroid hormone receptor disruptors by a novel stable in vitro reporter gene assay. Toxicology in Vitro. Vol 25(1): 257-266
Tissue, Cells or Extract utilized in assay and species source	Rat pituitary tumor (GH3) cell line
Laboratories performing the work	jaime.freitas.wur.nl (Wageningen University, Tox Dept, De Dreijen, Tuinlaan 5, Building 320, Wageningen, 6703 HE, The Netherlands.)
Availability of assay components for wide use (public or commercial, or not available)	No
Assay throughput (high, medium, low)	High/Medium
Development stage and validation status – further needs	Assay in the research phase / It has undergone internal validation (see publication listed), not yet used by multiple laboratories. This assay has been validated for HTS screening by NCGC via their assay optimization and development program. The assay is currently being used to screen ~8k chemicals for the Tox21 program.
Chemicals tested	T3, T4, TRIAC, TETRAC, OH-PBDEs, OH-PCBs; the study proved they are agonists Amiodarone, BPA, TCBPA, TBBPA; the study proved they are antagonists
Known restrictions of the assay	
Additional information	This assay shows ambiguous effect of amiodarone (previously considered as antagonistic) because it appears as an agonistic here. May interfere in a different mechanism of action (inhibit cellular efflux pumps).

Are data from studies publically available	
Assay included in OECD DRPs?	No, not included in OECD DRP 57 (2006) or DRP 178 (2012)
Proposed/potential regulatory purpose	Assay could provide a quick screen for chemicals that have potential thyroid hormone receptor activity. Could provide screening level information that would inform which higher level assays should be utilized. Can provide mode of action information that would be useful for hazard identification.

6.3. Assays that Evaluate Interactions of TR With Other Regulatory Proteins

106. Assays are available in the literature but could not be analysed at present and are therefore not included in this document.

Assay Name	Reporter gene assay using Mt T/E-2 cell line (no hormone secreting cell line)
Molecular Initiation Event or Key Event	TH receptor activation (TR α 1, TR β)
Endpoint(s)/ purpose of the assay	This assay measures the test compound effect through the Luciferase activity
Major literature citations	Matsubara et al., An improved thyroid hormone reporter assay to determine the thyroid hormone-like activity of amiodarone, bithionol, closantel and rafoxanide, in Toxicol Lett. 2012 Jan 5;208(1):30-35
Tissue, Cells or Extract utilized in assay and species source	Rat pituitary tumor cell line
Laboratories performing the work	Author : Nariaki Fujimoto, mfjm@hiroshima-u.ac.jp
Availability of assay components for wide use (public or commercial, or not available)	Yes (cell lines available from Sigma Chemical)
Assay throughput (high, medium, low)	Medium (48-well plates), suitable for High
Development stage and validation status – further needs	Cell lines utilized usually in ER assays (1999, 2002) and in TR assays (2004). Validation needed
Chemicals tested	Has high sensitivity: ability to detect T3 at 10 ⁻¹¹ M. The ED used was TBBPA. Chemicals tested are in one hand pharmaceuticals (amiodarone, closantel, bithionol, rafoxanide) and in the other hand industrial products TBBPA which is a flame retardant. This study confirms amiodarone is not real antagonistic, as it was suspected in Freitas et al. study.
Known restrictions of the assay	
Additional information	
Are data from studies publically available	
Assay included in OECD DRPs?	No, not included in OECD DRP 57 (2006) or DRP 178 (2012)
Proposed/potential regulatory purpose	Could be used for assessing Thyroid Disrupters Chemicals (TDCs) that have hormone-like activity on thyroid receptor. This assay has high sensitivity, higher than other known assays (10 ⁻¹¹ M vs. 10 ⁻⁸ M).

BLOCK #7 ASSAYS**RELEVANT SHORT-TERM ASSAYS INEGRATING MULTIPLE MOAs**

107. This block includes *in vivo* assays using zebrafish larvae and thyroid gland organ explants cultures. The larvae assay is performed under *in vitro* conditions at very early stages of development and represent relevant alternatives to the traditional *in vivo* testing, and were thus included in the scoping effort. An assay using *Xenopus laevis* embryos is already included in the OECD work plan (Project 2.39) and therefore is not described here.

7.1. Zebrafish Assays

Assay Name	Zebrafish Eleutheroembryo Screen
Molecular Initiating/Key Event	Impaired T4 synthesis
Endpoint(s)/ purpose of the assay	Detection of relative follicular T4 levels using immunohistochemistry techniques of the whole eleutheroembryo with an antibody to T4. Signal is detected using fluorescence.
Major literature citations	Raluda et al., 2012. Reproductive Toxicology, 33, 188-197; Thienpont et al. , 2011, Env. Sci. Technol. 45, 7525-7532; Raldúa and Babin. 2009. Env. Sci. Technol. 43, 6844-6850.
Tissue, Cells or Extract utilized in assay and species source	Zebrafish larvae (<i>Danio rerio</i>)
Laboratories performing the work	Institute of Environmental Assessment and Water Research (IDÆA-CSIC), Jordi Girona 18, 08034, Barcelona, Spain. University of Bordeaux, Talence, France
Availability of assay components for wide use	Zebrafish and antibodies required for the assay are commercially available.
Assay throughput	Low
Development stage and validation status – further needs	The assay is at the standardization stage in 2 labs. About 24 chemicals have been tested, variability has decreased. Standardization of the protocol and possibly transfer to another lab, and assessment of additional chemicals would be needed for pre-validation status.
Chemicals tested	Amiodarone hydrochloride, MMI, MeHg (II) chloride, KClO ₄ , PTU, atrazine, 2,4-D, DDT, fenoxycarb, 4-NP, KSCN, NaNO ₃ , NaBr, genistein, resorcinol, phloroglucinol, sulfamethoxazole, bisphenol A, benzophenone-2, benzophenone-3, linuron, pyrazole, amitrole, DDT, ETU, mancozeb, TBBPA, BDE-209, HBCD, PFOS, PFOA, BDE-47
Known restrictions of the assay	Use in research and development; does not address mechanism of action but captures several possible mechanisms; is low throughput because the image analysis is not automatable; the signal is from a two-dimensional representation of a three-dimensional phenomenon (image capture of a whole-mount stained organ).
Additional information	
Data publically	Yes, in published literature.

available ?	
Assay included in OECD DRPs?	Not in DRP 57 but Raldúa and Babin, 2009 is included in the DRP 178.
Proposed/potential regulatory purpose	Screen for T4 synthesis inhibition

7.2. Thyroid Gland Explant Culture

Assay Name	<i>Xenopus laevis</i> thyroid gland explant culture
Molecular Initiating/Key Event	Multiple initiating events such as thyroid peroxidase inhibition, iodide uptake inhibition, among others, may lead to changes in the measured endpoint of T4 release.
Endpoint(s)/ purpose of the assay	This assay measures the release of T4 by thyroid glands from pro-metamorphic <i>X. laevis</i> into the culture media in response to stimulation by bovine TSH. The purpose of the assay is to detect the ability of chemicals to inhibit the synthesis and release of T4 from these glands.
Major literature citations	Hornung et al. 2010. <i>Toxicological Sciences</i> , 118, 42-51.
Tissue, Cells or Extract utilized in assay and species source	Thyroid glands from pro-metamorphic <i>X. laevis</i>
Laboratories performing the work	US EPA, Mid-Continent Ecology Division, Duluth, MN
Availability of assay components for wide use	Organisms and assay components are all commercially available
Assay throughput	Low. The assay as currently designed takes 8 days in culture.
Development stage and validation status – further needs	Research; requires further standardization/validation.
Chemicals tested	Methimazole, propylthiouracil, perchlorate
Known restrictions of the assay	Additional research is needed to increase confidence in mechanistic interpretation of T4 release inhibition. Further research is in progress to address methods to identify when reduction in T4 release is a result of impact at a thyroid-specific molecular initiating event versus reduced T4 release due to general toxicity.
Additional information	
Data publically available ?	Data are available in the published literature.
Assay included in OECD DRPs?	Assay is included in DRP 178
Proposed/potential regulatory purpose	TSH stimulation of the thyroid gland to release T4 is a conserved response among vertebrates, and thyroid hormone release to maintain proper circulating levels of the hormone is critical for normal physiological function of peripheral tissues. Thus an assay that can measure the effect of chemicals to limit the ability of the gland to release T4 in response to TSH stimulation has potential for use in a regulatory framework.

BLOCK #8 ASSAYS**INTEGRATIVE CELLULAR ASSAYS**

108. This block contains assays with the potential to evaluate disruption of thyroid hormone function by evaluating the effects of xenobiotics on TH- or THR- mediated cell proliferation and differentiation.

8.1. T-screen Assays

Assay Name	T-screen assay using GH3-cell line
Molecular Initiation Event or Key Event	Cell proliferation in response to TR activation by T3 and/or T4
Endpoint(s)/ purpose of the assay	This assay measures cell proliferation in response to activation of the thyroid receptor in GH3 cells. Cell proliferation assessment is performed by the use of the blue resazurin which is reduced mitochondrial resazurin/diaphorase/NADPH in GH-3 cells in to pink fluorescent resofurin. Decrease in fluorescence reflects less cell proliferation.
Major literature citations	Hamers et al., Toxicological Sciences, 2006, 92(1), 157-173; Taxvig et al. Toxicological Sciences, 2008, 106, 206-213; Taxvig et al. Toxicology and Applied Pharmacology, 2011, 250, 263-269; Ghisari & Bonefeld-Jorgensen (2005). Molecular and Cellular Endocrinology, Vol. 244(1-2), 31–41.
Tissue, Cells or Extract utilized in assay and species source	Rat pituitary tumor (GH3-cell line)
Laboratories performing the work	Institute for Environmental Studies, Vrije Universiteit Amsterdam, 108 HV Amsterdam, The Netherlands. National Food Institute, Technical University of Denmark, Department of Toxicology and Risk Assessment, DK-2860 Søborg, Denmark
Availability of assay components for wide use	
Assay throughput	Medium to high
Development stage and validation status – further needs	Research stage.
Chemicals tested	31 chemicals including brominated flame retardants such as BDEs, TBBPA, HBCDs
Known restrictions of the assay	This assay studies only the competition between the chemicals and the T3 hormone, to be exhaustive competition between chemicals and T4 shall be assessed too (even if it is already known that T4 has less affinity for the TR than T3). The endpoint is non-specific in that there are a number of processes that can be affected, in addition to T3 signaling, that will increase or decrease cell proliferation (eg., cytotoxicity will decrease fluorescence). The use of fluorescence indicators make this assay not useful for classes of chemicals that have fluorescence properties.

Additional information	Taxvig et al (2008) cell toxicity was assessed (resazurin reduction within mitochondria). Taxvig et al (2011) include testing of effect of metabolism by use of human S9 liver fraction or PCB induced rat microsomes.
Are data from studies publically available	
Assay included in OECD DRPs?	Yes, included in DRP#178 (OECD, 2012): Taxvig et al (2011)
Proposed/potential regulatory purpose	This assay allows screening on chemicals that have the potential ability to bind the thyroid receptors. Cell toxicity has to be assessed in parallel in order to confirm the relevancy of chemicals that display an antagonistic activity

8.2. Developmental Neurotoxicity *in vitro* Assay

Assay Name	Human neural progenitor cells (hNPCs) assay
Molecular Initiation Event or Key Event	Cell proliferation, migration and differentiation
Endpoint(s)/ purpose of the assay	This assay evaluates multiple endpoints including cell proliferation, differentiation and migration. Proliferation is indexed by measuring size of the sphere. Differentiation of oligodendrocytes assessed in cell cultures that have been allowed to differentiate for several days, exposed to fixed in 2% paraformaldehyde, mounted on slides, and subjected to immunohistochemistry. Oligodendrocyte-positive cells are counted and expressed as number of cells/sphere. Relative nestin expression is assessed as a marker of undifferentiated hNPC. Cell migration is assessed when growth factors are withdrawn. Over course of several days cells move from the sphere both radially and tangentially. Migration is measured by determining the distance from the edge of the sphere to the furthest migrated cells 48-hours after initiation of differentiation at four defined positions on the sphere. Cell viability is measured by mitochondrial reductase activity, released LDH, and coloration by Trypan colorant.
Major literature citations	Schreiber et al, Environmental Health Perspectives, 2010, 118: 572-578
Tissue, Cells, Extract utilized in assay and species source	Primary fetal human neural progenitor cells
Laboratories performing the work	Institut für umweltmedizinische Forschung gGmbH an der Heinrich Heine-Universität, Toxicology, Auf'm Hennekamp 50, 40225 Düsseldorf, Germany
Availability of assay components for wide use	Yes, commercially available, but may be restrictive in terms of quantity. May also be ethical issues
Assay throughput	Low-Medium
Development stage and validation status – further needs	Research phase
Chemicals tested	BDE-47 and BDE-99
Known restrictions of the assay	Disruption of developmental processes probed in this assay can occur through many non-thyroid mediated pathways so effects identified may not be selective for thyroid disruption. The age at which the donor cells are harvested can also impact the outcome, i.e., distance hNPCs migrate may be robust but can vary widely dependent on the age of the donor cells (gestational vs postnatal). There may be ethical and availability concerns due to the use of fetal human cells. Rodent-based NPC neurosphere models may alleviate some of these restrictions and be more appropriate for large scale cytotoxicity assess.
Additional information	Alm et al. (2008) Neurotoxicology 29 :628-637 provides <i>in vivo</i> data that were confirmed by this current <i>in vitro</i> study. Cell-toxicity is taken into account in this assay.
Are data from	Yes, published literature

studies publically available	
Assay included in OECD DRPs?	Yes in OECD DRP 178 (2012)
Proposed/potential regulatory purpose	Evaluation of developmental neurotoxicity based on alterations in cell proliferation, differentiation and migration induced by thyroid disruptors

REFERENCES

- Andrews, P. et al. (2002), "Sensitive detection of the endocrine effects of the estrogen analogue ethinylestradiol using a modified enhanced subacute rat study protocol (OECD test guideline no. 407)", *Archives of Toxicology*, Vol. 76, No. 4, pp. 194-202.
- Andrews, P. et al. (2001), "Feasibility and potential gains of enhancing the subacute rat study protocol (OECD test guideline no. 407) by additional parameters selected to determine endocrine modulation. A pre-validation study to determine endocrine-mediated effects of the antiandrogenic drug flutamide", *Archives of Toxicology*, Vol. 75, No. 2, pp. 65-73.
- Bock, K.W. (1974), "Oxidation of Barbiturates and the Glucuronidation of 1-Naphtol in Perfused Rat Liver and in Microsomes", *Naunyn-Schmiedberg's Arch. Pharmacol* Vol. 283 pp. 319-330.
- Butt, C. M. et al. (2011), "Halogenated Phenolic Contaminants Inhibit the In Vitro Activity of the Thyroid-Regulating Deiodinases in Human Liver," *Toxicological Sciences* Vol. 124 No. 2 pp. 339-347.
- Cao, J. et al. (2010), "Structure based investigation on the binding interaction of hydroxylated polybrominated diphenyl ethers with thyroxine transport proteins", *Toxicology* Vol. 277 No. 1-3 pp. 20-28.
- Chang, H. C. and D. R. Doerge (2000), "Dietary genistein inactivates rat thyroid peroxidase in vivo without an apparent hypothyroid effect", *Toxicology and Applied Pharmacology* Vol. 168, No. 3 pp. 244-252.
- Cunha Lima S.T. et al. (2009), "Differential Effects of TR Ligands on Hormone Dissociation Rates: Evidence for Multiple Ligand Entry/Exit Pathways", *The Journal of Steroid Biochemistry and Molecular Biology*, Vol. 117, No. 4-5, pp. 125-131.
- Decherf, S. et al. (2010), "Disruption of thyroid hormone-dependent hypothalamic set-points by environmental contaminants", *Molecular and Cellular Endocrinology*, Vol. 323 No. 2 pp. 172-82.
- Divi, R. L. and D. R. Doerge (1996), "Inhibition of thyroid peroxidase by dietary flavonoids", *Chemical Research and Toxicology* Vol. 9, No. 1 pp. 16-23.
- Divi, R. L., H. C. Chang and D. R. Doerge (1997), "Anti-thyroid isoflavones from soybean: isolation, characterization, and mechanisms of action," *Biochemical Pharmacology* Vol. 54, No. 10 pp. 1087-1096.
- Doerge, D.R. and R.S. Takazawa (1990), "Mechanism of peroxidase inhibition by ethylenethiourea", *Chemical Research in Toxicology* Vol. 3, No. 2 pp. 98-101.
- Fekete, C. and R.M. Lechan (2007), "Negative feedback regulation of hypophysiotropic thyrotropin-releasing hormone (TRH) synthesizing neurons: role of neuronal afferents and type 2 deiodinase", *Frontiers in Neuroendocrinology*, Vol. 28 No. 2-3 pp. 97-114.
- Freyberger, A., H. Ellinger-Ziegelbauer and F. Krötlinger (2007), "Evaluation of the rodent Hershberger bioassay: Testing of coded chemicals and supplementary molecular-biological and biochemical investigations", *Toxicology*, Vol. 239, No. 1-2, pp. 77-88.

Freyberger, A. and H. J. Ahr (2006), “Studies on the goitrogenic mechanism of action of N,N,N',N'-tetramethylthiourea”, *Toxicology* Vol. 217, No. 2-3 pp. 169-175.

Friesema, E. C. et al. (2003), “Identification of monocarboxylate transporter 8 as a specific thyroid hormone transporter”, *The Journal of Biology and Chemistry* Vol. 278 No. 41 pp. 40128-40135.

Friesema, E. C. et al. (2008), “Effective cellular uptake and efflux of thyroid hormone by human monocarboxylate transporter 10”, *Molecular Endocrinology* Vol. 22 No. 6 pp. 1357-69.

Ghisari, M. and E.C. Bonefeld-Jorgensen (2005), “Impact of environmental chemicals on the thyroid hormone function in pituitary rat GH3 cells”, *Molecular and Cellular Endocrinology*, Vol. 244 No. 1-2 pp. 31-41.

Hamers, T. et al. (2006), “In Vitro Profiling of the Endocrine-disrupting Potency of Brominated Flame Retardants”, *Toxicological Sciences*, Vol. 92 No. 1 pp. 157-173.

Heldin, N.E. et al. (1994), “Thyrotropin (TSH)-induced receptor internalization in nonthyroidal cells transfected with a human TSH-receptor complementary deoxyribonucleic acid”, *Endocrinology* Vol. 134 No. 5 pp. 2032-6.

Hinkle, P.M., D.G. Lewis and T.L. Greer (1980), “Thyrotropin-releasing hormone-receptor interaction in GH3 pituitary cells”, *Endocrinology* Vol. 106 No. 3 pp. 1000-5.

Hollenberg, A.N., T. Monden, and F.E. Wondisford (1995), “Ligand-independent and -dependent functions of thyroid hormone receptor isoforms depend upon their distinct amino termini”, *The Journal of Biological Chemistry*, Vol. 270 No. 24 pp. 14274-80.

Hosoya, T. (1963), “Effect of various reagents including antithyroid compounds upon the activity of thyroid peroxidase”, *The Journal of Biochemistry* Vol. 53 pp. 381-388.

Kinne, A. et al. (2009), “Surface translocation and tri-iodothyronine uptake of mutant MCT8 proteins are cell type-dependent”, *Journal of Molecular Endocrinology* Vol. 43 No. 6 pp. 263-271.

Lecat-Guillet, N. et al. (2007), “A 96-Well Automated Radioiodide Uptake Assay for Sodium Iodide Symporter Inhibitor”, *Assay and Drug Development Technologies* Vol. 5 No. 4 pp. 535-540.

Lezoualc'h, F. et al (1992), “Assignment of the beta-thyroid hormone receptor to 3,5,3'-triiodothyronine-dependent inhibition of transcription from the thyrotropin-releasing hormone promoter in chick hypothalamic neurons”, *Molecular Endocrinology*. Vol. 6 No. 11 pp. 1797-804.

Marchesini, G. R. et al. (2008), “Biosensor discovery of thyroxine transport disrupting chemicals”, *Toxicology and Applied Pharmacology* Vol. 232 No. 1 pp. 150-160.

Montano, M. et al. (2012), “New approaches assess the TTR binding capacity of bio-activated thyroid hormone disruptors”, *Toxicological Sciences*, Vol. 130 No. 1 pp. 94-105.

Paul, K. B. et al. (2013), “Cross-species analysis of thyroperoxidase inhibition by xenobiotics demonstrates conservation of response between pig and rat”, *Toxicology* Vol. 312C pp. 97-107.

Perrone, C.E. et al. (2004), “Embryonic turkey liver: activities of biotransforming enzymes and activities of DNA-reactive carcinogens”, *Archives of Toxicology*, Vol.78, No. 10, pp. 589-598.

- Radovic B., C. Schmutzler and J. Köhrle (2005), “Xanthohumol stimulates iodide uptake in rat thyroid-derived FRTL-5 cells”, *Molecular Nutrition & Food Research* Vol. 49, No. 9 pp. 832-836.
- Raldúa, D. and P.J. Babin (2009), “Simple, rapid zebrafish larva bioassay for assessing the potential of chemical pollutants and drugs to disrupt thyroid gland function”, *Environmental Science and Technology* Vol. 43 No. 17 pp. 6844-6850.
- Raluda, D., B. Theinpont and P.J. Babin (2012), “Zebrafish eleutheroembryos as an alternative system for screening chemicals disrupting the mammalian thyroid gland morphogenesis and function”, *Reproductive Toxicology*, Vol. 33 No.2 pp. 188-197.
- Renko, K. et al. (2012), “Identification of iopanoic acid as substrate of type 1 deiodinase by a novel nonradioactive iodide-release assay”, *Endocrinology* Vol. 153 No. 5 pp. 2506-13.
- Schmutzler, C. A. et al. (2007), “The ultraviolet filter benzophenone 2 interferes with the thyroid hormone axis in rats and is a potent in vitro inhibitor of human recombinant thyroid peroxidase”, *Endocrinology* Vol. 148, No. 6 pp. 2835-2844.
- Schmutzler, C. et al. (2007), “Endocrine disruptors and the thyroid gland--a combined in vitro and in vivo analysis of potential new biomarkers”, *Environmental Health Perspective* Vol. 115 Suppl. 1 pp.77-83.
- Schoenmakers CHH, et al. (1992) “Species differences in liver type I iodothyronine deiodinase”, *Biochimica et Biophysica Acta* Vol. 1121 pp. 160–166.
- Schreiber, T. et al. (2010), “Polybrominated Diphenyl Ethers Induce Developmental Neurotoxicity in a Human in Vitro Model: Evidence for Endocrine Disruption”, *Environmental Health Perspectives* Vol. 118 No.4 pp. 572-578.
- Sue, M. et al. (2012), “Propylthiouracil increases sodium/iodide symporter gene expression and iodide uptake in rat thyroid cells in the absence of TSH”, *Thyroid* Vol. 22 No. 8 pp. 844-52.
- Takayama, S. et al. (1986), “Antithyroid effects of propylthiouracil and sulfamonomethoxine in rats and monkeys”, *Toxicology and Applied Pharmacology* Vol. 82 No.2 pp. 191-199.
- Taugog, A. (1970), “Thyroid peroxidase and thyroxine biosynthesis”, *Recent Prog Hormone Res* Vol. 26, pp. 189-247.
- Taxvig, C. et al. (2008), “Do parabens have the ability to interfere with steroidogenesis?” *Toxicological Sciences*, Vol. 106 No. 1 pp. 206-213
- Taxvig, C. et al. (2011), “Use of external metabolizing systems when testing for endocrine disruption in the T-screen assay”, *Toxicology and Applied Pharmacology*, Vol. 250 No. 3 pp. 263-269.
- Thienpont, B. et al. (2011), “Zebrafish eleutheroembryos provide a suitable vertebrate model for screening chemicals that impair thyroid hormone synthesis”, *Environmental Science and Technology* Vol. 45 No. 17 pp. 7525-7532.
- Tong et al.(2017), “In vitro Glucuronidation of Thyroxine and Triiodothyronine by Liver Microsomes and Recombinant Human UDP-Glucuronosyltransferases”, *Drug Metabolism and Disposition* Vol. 35, pp2203-2210

Waltz, L., Pillette L. and Ambroise Y. (2010), “A non-radioactive iodide uptake assay for Sodium iodide symporter function”, *Analytical Biochemistry* Vol. 396 No. 1 pp. 91-5.

Westholm, D. E. et al. (2009), “Competitive inhibition of organic anion transporting polypeptide 1c1-mediated thyroxine transport by the fenamate class of nonsteroidal antiinflammatory drugs”, *Endocrinology* Vol. 150 No. 2 pp.1025-32.

ANNEX 1

OECD Conceptual Framework for Testing and Assessment of Endocrine Disrupters (as revised in 2012)

The OECD Conceptual Framework for Testing and Assessment of Endocrine Disrupters (as revised in 2012) lists the OECD Test Guidelines and standardized test methods available, under development or proposed that can be used to evaluate chemicals for endocrine disruption. The Conceptual Framework is intended to provide a guide to the tests available which can provide information for endocrine disrupters' assessment but is not intended to be a testing strategy. Furthermore, this Conceptual Framework does not include evaluation of exposure; however this should be included when deciding whether further testing is needed. Further information regarding the use and interpretation of these tests is available in Guidance Document No. 150.

Mammalian and non-mammalian Toxicology		
Level 1 Existing Data and Non-Test Information	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Physical & chemical properties, e.g., MW reactivity, volatility, biodegradability All available (eco) toxicological data from standardized or non-standardized tests. Read across, chemical categories, QSARs and other <i>in silico</i> predictions, and ADME model predictions 	
Level 2 <i>In vitro</i> assays providing data about selected endocrine mechanism(s) / pathways(s) (Mammalian and non-mammalian methods)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Estrogen or androgen receptor binding affinity Estrogen receptor transactivation (OECD TG 455 – OECD TG 457) Androgen or thyroid transactivation (If/when TGs are available) Steroidogenesis in vitro (OECD TG 456) MCF-7 cell proliferation assays (ER ant/agonist) Other assays as appropriate 	
	Mammalian Toxicology	Non-Mammalian Toxicology
Level 3 <i>In vivo</i> assays providing data about selected endocrine mechanism(s) / pathway(s) ¹	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Uterotrophic assay (OECD TG 440) Hershberger assay (OECD TG 441) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Xenopus embryo thyroid signalling assay (When/if TG is available) Amphibian metamorphosis assay (OECD TG 231) Fish Reproductive Screening Assay (OECD TG 229) Fish Screening Assay (OECD TG 230) Androgenized female stickleback screen (GD 140)
Level 4 <i>In vivo</i> assays providing data on adverse effects on endocrine relevant endpoints ²	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Repeated dose 28-day study (OECD TG 407) Repeated dose 90-day study (OECD TG 408) 1-generation reproduction toxicity study (OECD TG 415) Male pubertal assay (see GD 150, Chapter 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Fish sexual development test (OECD TG 234) Fish Reproduction Partial Lifecycle Test (when/If TG is Available) Larval Amphibian Growth & Development Assay (when TG is available) Avian Reproduction Assay (OECD TG 206)

	<p>C4.3)³</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Female pubertal assay (see GD 150, Chapter C4.4)³ • Intact adult male endocrine screening assay (see GD 150, Chapter Annex 2.5) • Prenatal developmental toxicity study (OECD TG 414) • Chronic toxicity and carcinogenicity studies (OECD TG 451-3) • Reproductive screening test (OECD TG 421 if enhanced) • Combined 28-day/reproductive screening assay (OECD TG 422 if enhanced) • Developmental neurotoxicity (OECD TG 426) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Mollusc Partial Lifecycle Assays (when TG is available)⁴ • Chironomid Toxicity Test (TG 218-219)⁴ • Daphnia Reproduction Test (with male induction) (OECD TG 211)⁴ • Earthworm Reproduction Test (OECD TG 222)⁴ • Enchytraeid Reproduction Test (OECD TG 220)⁴ • Sediment Water Lumbriculus Toxicity Test Using Spiked Sediment (OECD TG 225)⁴ • Predatory mite reproduction test in soil (OECD TG 226)⁴ • Collembolan Reproduction Test in Soil (TG OECD 232)⁴
<p>Level 5 <i>In vivo</i> assays providing more comprehensive data on adverse effects on endocrine relevant endpoints over more extensive parts of the life cycle of the organism²</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Extended one-generation reproductive toxicity study (OECD TG 443)⁵ • 2-Generation reproduction toxicity study (OECD TG 416 most recent update) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • FLCTT (Fish LifeCycle Toxicity Test) (when TG is available) • Medaka Multigeneration Test (MMGT) (when TG is available) • Avian 2 generation reproductive toxicity assay (when TG is available) • Mysid Life Cycle Toxicity Test (when TG is available)⁴ • Copepod Reproduction and Development Test (when TG is available)⁴ • Sediment Water Chironomid Life Cycle Toxicity Test (OECD TG 233)⁴ • Mollusc Full Lifecycle Assays (when TG is available)⁴ • Daphnia Multigeneration Assay (if TG is available)⁴

¹ Some assays may also provide some evidence of adverse effects.

² Effects can be sensitive to more than one mechanism and may be due to non-ED mechanisms.

³ Depending on the guideline/protocol used, the fact that a substance may interact with a hormone system in these assays does not necessarily mean that when the substance is used it will cause adverse effects in humans or ecological systems.

⁴ At present, the available invertebrate assays solely involve apical endpoints which are able to respond to some endocrine disrupters and some non-EDs. Those in Level 4 are partial lifecycle tests, while those in Level 5 are full- or multiple lifecycle tests.

⁵ The Extended one-generation reproductive Toxicity Study (OECD TG 443) is preferable for detecting endocrine disruption because it provides an evaluation of a number of endocrine endpoints in the juvenile and adult F1, which are not included in the 2-generation study (OECD TG 416) adopted in 2001

Notes to the OECD Revised Conceptual Framework

Note 1: Entering at all levels and exiting at all levels is possible and depends upon the nature of existing information and needs for testing and assessment.

Note 2: The assessment of each chemical should be made on a case by case basis, taking into account all available information.

Note 3: The framework should not be considered as all inclusive at the present time. At levels 2, 3, 4 and 5 it includes assays that are either available or for which validation is under way. With respect to the latter, these are provisionally included.