

**Development Co-operation Directorate
Development Assistance Committee**

DAC Working Party on Development Finance Statistics

JOINT INCAF/WP-STAT TASK TEAM ON BOUNDARIES OF ODA IN THE FIELD OF PEACE AND SECURITY

FIRST MEETING

Draft Agenda

16 October 2014, OECD, Paris

This first meeting takes place in the OECD Delta building, at Boulogne-Billancourt, 4 Quai du Point du Jour.

Contact:

Julia BENN, DCD/SDF - Tel: +33(0)1 45 24 90 39 - julia.benn@oecd.org

Alan WHAITES, DCD/GPP - Tel: +33(0)1 45 24 95 03 - alan.whaites@oecd.org

JT03363893

Complete document available on OLIS in its original format

This document and any map included herein are without prejudice to the status of or sovereignty over any territory, to the delimitation of international frontiers and boundaries and to the name of any territory, city or area.

**JOINT INCAF/WP-STAT TASK TEAM ON BOUNDARIES OF ODA IN THE FIELD OF PEACE
AND SECURITY**

16 OCTOBER 2014 (9:30 - 18:00), OECD, PARIS

DRAFT AGENDA

9:30	<p>1. Welcoming remarks, introduction & election of the Co-Chairs</p> <p>It is proposed to elect two co-Chairs, one for WP-STAT and one for INCAF.</p>
9:45	<p>2. General overview of current rules</p> <p>Short presentation by the Secretariat on current ODA-eligibility rules in the field of peace and security.</p>
10:00	<p>3. Screening of items proposed by members for consideration</p> <p>Items proposed by members and examples have been compiled in one document, circulated separately to members. To facilitate discussion at the meeting, items are grouped by themes.</p> <p>The scenario of discussion for each group of items will be as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Presentation of current ODA-eligibility rules (Secretariat) ○ Presentation of the item for consideration focusing on concrete examples (<i>one of the proposing members</i>) ○ Questions for discussion: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ What is members' assessment of the volume of financing involved under this item? ○ Is the item covered by the current Directives, but needs clarification? ○ If the item is not considered ODA-eligible under the current rules, what would be the policy implications of changing the rules and including such item in ODA? Should we seek political guidance on further work on this item at the December 2014 DAC HLM?
10:00	<p>3.1 Counter-terrorism and fight against serious organised crime</p> <p>Item (a) Activities in support of the rule of law including fight against serious organised crime in developing countries (e.g. narcotics and piracy) and counter-terrorism/fight against violent extremism.</p> <p>➤ Presentation by proposing member (United Kingdom).</p>

11:00	Coffee Break
11:30	<p>3.2 Engagement with the military</p> <p>Item (b) Training of military personnel in non-military matters Item (c) Security sector reform and management of security expenditures Item (e) Additional costs incurred for the use of military equipment and infrastructure to deliver humanitarian aid or perform development services Item (h) Delivery agent (military/civilian) versus activity purpose</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Presentations by proposing members (European Union – SSR and management of security expenditures; Netherlands – training) ➤ Additional questions for discussion, specific to this group of items: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Can proposing members explain whether their proposals would entail counting the full costs of relevant activities as ODA eligible where undertaken by military personnel from OECD member countries (and not solely the “additional costs” incurred for the use of military personnel to deliver humanitarian aid or perform development services)? ➤ In the “OECD Security Sector Reform Handbook”, there is a statement that “Donors should engage in SSR with three major overarching objectives: i) the improvement of basic security and justice service delivery, ii) the establishment of an effective governance, oversight and accountability system; and iii) the development of local leadership and ownership of a reform process to review the capacity and technical needs of the security system.” Objective ii) might be a relevant existing policy to inform the discussion under this group of items, and the following related issues could be considered: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ the training of military personnel in matters that increase effective governance, oversight and accountability; ○ whether the term “effective governance, oversight and accountability” can be understood as encompassing activities that promote broader inclusion (gender, ethnicity etc.), financial integrity, strengthen rule of law within the military, respect for human rights and ability to inform and liaise with democratic governance institutions; ○ activities that go beyond the “effective governance, oversight and accountability” rubric and that might contribute to military capability, including the training of UN peacekeeping personnel prior to deployment.
12:30	Lunch

14:00	3.2 Engagement with the military – cont'd
14:30	3.3 Disarmament Item (d) Civilian activities related to disarmament ➤ Presentation by proposing member (Norway)
15:00	3.4 Bilateral peacekeeping Item (f) Bilateral peacekeeping expenditures ➤ Presentation by proposing member (Portugal)
15:30	3.5 Human rights and justice Item (g) Human rights and justice ➤ Presentation by proposing member (Switzerland)
16:00	Coffee break
16:30	4. Wrap-up (report to the 3 November DAC meeting) and next steps
18:00	Close

ANNOTATIONS

I. Meeting objectives

1. The objective of the meeting, in line with the Terms of Reference of the Task Team will be to screen the items that members have proposed for consideration as ODA-eligible and assess the volume of financing involved.
2. The meeting will also:
 - **identify items that would imply a revision of the Directives and set out the policy implications of including such items in ODA**, with a view to seeking political guidance on further work on these items at the December 2014 DAC HLM; and
 - **identify items that are within the existing Directives**, with a view to clarifying the relevant reporting instructions in due course.

II. Meeting documents

3. A document compiling all the items that members have proposed for consideration [see paragraph 6 of [DCD/DAC\(2014\)41](#)] and their illustrating examples is circulated separately [[DCD/DAC/STAT\(2014\)16](#)].
4. **Task Team members are requested to examine the examples in advance of the meeting, including the volume implications.** Have members undertaken or are they planning to undertake these kinds of activities? If so, what is their order of magnitude (average project size, number of activities and total expenditures per year)?

III. Next steps

5. The items identified by the Task Team as needing political guidance from the HLM will be submitted for consideration by the DAC at its meeting on 3 November.