

Unclassified

DCD/DAC/GOVNET/M(2007)2



Organisation de Coopération et de Développement Economiques  
Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development

21-Dec-2007

English text only

DEVELOPMENT CO-OPERATION DIRECTORATE  
DEVELOPMENT ASSISTANCE COMMITTEE

DCD/DAC/GOVNET/M(2007)2  
Unclassified

**DAC Network on Governance**

**DRAFT SUMMARY RECORD OF THE 10TH GOVNET MEETING**

18-19 October 2007  
OECD Headquarters  
Paris

*This document is submitted FOR APPROVAL at the next meeting of the GOVNET.*

Bathylle Missika --- Tel: ++33 1 45 24 19 79 --- bathylle.missika@oecd.org

Sebastian Bartsch --- Tel: ++33 1 45 24 90 21 --- sebastian.bartsch@oecd.org

JT03238272

Document complet disponible sur OLIS dans son format d'origine  
Complete document available on OLIS in its original format

English text only

## DRAFT SUMMARY RECORD OF THE 10<sup>TH</sup> GOVNET MEETING

### **Introduction: Administrative items and scene-setting**

1. The Chair, Mr. Eduard Westreicher (Germany), opened the meeting with an introduction of the themes of the following two days, and welcomed the new DCD Deputy Director, Mr. Stephen Groff.
2. The Chair defined the desired outcomes of the 10<sup>th</sup> GOVNET meeting: (i) to bring members up-to-date with the work being undertaken in Task Teams and to set a clear path for the way forward in the current biennium 2007-08; (ii) to develop ideas for the GOVNET's 2009-10 work programme; (iii) to agree on ways to reach out to non-OECD and non-DAC donors, partner countries and civil society.
3. Two items, related to all of the GOVNET's work streams, were in particular highlighted by the Chair: (i) the increasing interaction of the GOVNET with other DAC and OECD bodies, deriving from the importance of the GOVNET's work; (ii) the new publications of the network and their dissemination.
4. The agenda and the summary record of the last GOVNET meeting were approved.
5. Ms. Alexandra Trzeciak-Duval (Secretariat) explained the process to select the next Chair and the Bureau in 2008, indicating that the Secretariat will call for applications early next year. The Secretariat thanked the Chair and the Bureau for their important work and highlighted that the present Chair kindly agreed to secure a transition period between old and new Chair.

### **Item 1: Anti-corruption**

6. The Chair opened the session by informing participants that the DAC-approved *Policy Paper on Anti-Corruption: Setting an Agenda for Action* had just been published in both French and English.
7. The Secretariat gave a brief report of the seminar on anti-corruption in fragile states held on 17 October 2007, jointly organised by the GOVNET Anti-Corruption Task Team and the Fragile States Group. The seminar's objectives were to identify and analyse the challenges of tackling corruption in fragile states and take stock of donors' anti-corruption interventions in this area. A seminar summary will be made available to GOVNET members. Key emerging lessons included that addressing corruption in fragile environments can contribute to state building and enhanced stability, while the issues of legitimacy, effectiveness and security are inter-related and must be taken into account. It was also noted that overlooking integrity and anti-corruption issues in fragile states is risky and can result in increased violence and/or low state legitimacy. Finally, donors should prioritise their interventions. Possible entry points include supporting accountability mechanisms (especially in the reconstruction process), strengthening local competences, and rapidly identifying where corruption is most damaging to state building.
8. The Secretariat updated the meeting on progress with donor discussions in seven partner countries currently being held to inform the development of joint responses to corruption in order to avoid mixed messages and optimise harmonisation. A final report of findings to date will be sent to the GOVNET in early January 2008. Some of the emerging conclusions from this exercise suggested that

donors need to agree on indicators and benchmarks before moving towards joint responses, while developing joint responses poses challenges of donor harmonisation.

9. A summary of the discussions held in the context of the UN Convention Against Corruption (UNCAC) Working Group on Technical Assistance (TA) was provided by the ADB and Belgium. The meetings of this Group (held in Montevideo and Vienna in June and October 2007) aimed at exploring the modalities of implementation of the UNCAC on the ground and the role that donors should play. It was noted that donor representatives are often absent from UNCAC meetings, including the 1<sup>st</sup> Conference of States Parties (CoSP) held in Jordan in December 2006. Representatives from Justice and/or Interior Ministries and relevant anti-corruption bodies usually lead delegations. Finally, the anti-corruption Task Team Chair reported that the Netherlands had offered to submit a note with contributions from the GOVNET Anti-Corruption Task Team to the Conference of the States Parties on the relationship between UNCAC and development co-operation.

10. The World Bank (Mr. Sanjay Pradhan) gave an overview of its recently approved Governance and Anti-Corruption Strategy (GAC) which is now being rolled out. Global collective action, as reflected in the GOVNET's *Policy Paper on Anti-Corruption*, is one of the components of this strategy, which also puts emphasis on mainstreaming governance and anti-corruption in sectors, strengthening the demand for good governance (supporting the non Executive branches of government) and engaging with the private sector. Regarding collective donor action, it was noted that developing joint responses to corruption, supporting asset recovery, conducting joint assessments and supporting a Multi-Donor Trust Fund (MDTF) to foster the demand for good governance are amongst the World Bank's priorities. The World Bank made a proposal for the MDTF available to the GOVNET. Finally, an update was given of the recently launched StAR (Stolen Asset Recovery) initiative which has been developed with UNODC, in collaboration with the OECD, Norad, the IMF, the G8 and partner countries.

11. Finally, the Secretariat introduced a paper on proposed collaboration between the GOVNET and the OECD Working Group on Bribery (WGB). Mr. Patrick Moulette (Secretariat of the WGB) gave a summary of discussions of this paper with the WGB at its meeting on 11 October. The WGB had received the paper positively and asked that its proposals be fine-tuned before moving forward.

12. During the discussion, members gave strong support to the proposed collaboration between GOVNET and the WGB. A proposal on trainings on the OECD Convention for field personnel was well received. Some members offered to share existing training materials on the Convention developed by their own ministries. It was suggested that other stakeholders could be involved in these training, such as the private sector or local Transparency International (TI) chapters.

13. With regard to the MDTF, members suggested that more information on the content, value added, comparative advantage and modalities of such an instrument would be desirable. The MDTF should also avoid duplicating existing initiatives as well as avoid crowding out or overflowing the market of civil society-driven initiatives. Connections between CSOs and partner governments should also be handled with caution. Amongst the areas of interest to the members, some suggested looking into how to strengthen parliaments in their oversight role.

14. Finally, members made comments on the UNCAC process. It will be key for member states to establish a strong mechanism to monitor it and to achieve a better understanding of technical assistance (TA) and how it can support the implementation of UNCAC. At the next CoSP in Bali at the end of January 2008, donors need to be present to make these points. The GOVNET's Anti-Corruption Task Team will work towards that aim.

## **Item 2: Taxation and Governance**

15. The Chair opened the session on Taxation and Governance by highlighting its main issues and desired outcomes and by underlining that this important work also underpins the G8/Heiligendamm process.

16. Mr. Kevin Carroll, Chair of the Taxation and Accountability Task Team, presented the *Policy Paper on Taxation and Governance* for approval. He asked GOVNET members to indicate which areas for future work, as set out in the paper, should be prioritized between now and the end of the biennium. The Task Team Chair emphasized that the authors of the Policy Paper managed to draft a document which encompasses very technical issues, but nevertheless remains a readable document for a non-specialist audience. Once approved, the paper will go to the DAC in mid-November 2007.

17. Mr. Richard Parry from the OECD Centre for Tax Policy and Administration (CTPA) gave an overview of the CTPA's work and initiatives, including a proposal for a 2008 conference on taxation and governance with CTPA and the GOVNET in collaboration with South Africa and African tax and customs specialists. He underlined the importance of continuing to work closely with the GOVNET and suggested that the GOVNET present the *Policy Paper on Taxation and Governance* at the April 2008 CTPA Advisory Group meeting in Chile, which brings together tax experts from all over the world.

18. The GOVNET Chair opened the discussion, reminding the delegates that the objectives of this session should be to get approval for the Policy Paper and to give indications regarding priorities of work on taxation for the remainder of the current biennium. Members expressed overall support for the Policy Paper and welcomed the timeliness of a discussion on taxation in the context of development and governance. Generally, the paper was perceived as a good basis to lay out the governance implications of tax reform and should lead to a reflection on how to operationalise some of these issues.

19. Participants also agreed that the paper should indicate that there are no systematic linkages between taxation and accountability. Also, a one-sided emphasis on the governance side of taxation has to be avoided by taking fiscal and economic development issues into consideration. Furthermore, various delegates stressed that the GOVNET should pay more attention to the "resource curse" problem, taking into account that Norway and Botswana are good examples of how taxation was used in a positive way to deal with these issues.

20. A user-friendly, short version of the key messages of the paper will be prepared and there will be more reflection on co-operation with the aid effectiveness group on issues of taxation next year. France will circulate its paper on how to mobilize taxation at partner countries local level.

21. As the paper has to be issued in light of the DAC Meeting in November, the Secretariat proposed to revise the paper according to the suggestions of members, to re-circulate it and to then proceed under the silence procedure for approval, giving members one week to object to the final version of the paper.

22. Once revised, as agreed on in this session, the paper was in principle approved. The tax and accountability work stream was recognized as highly important and the priorities for future work are to translate it into political incentives and to further closely collaborate with the tax centre.

23. The next Taxation and Accountability Task Team Meeting will take place on 7 November in Dublin.

**Item 3: Human rights**

24. The Chair reminded participants that only a few days after the last GOVNET meeting in February, the DAC had given its final approval to the *Action-oriented Policy Paper on Human Rights and Development*. Since then, the Human Rights Task Team has been active in building synergies with other DAC networks and processes.

25. The Co-Chair of the Human Rights Task Team, Ms. Siobhán McInerney-Lankford (World Bank) and the Secretariat (Mr. Sebastian Bartsch) informed participants about the implementation of the Task Team's work programme and the way forward for the rest of the biennium. In accordance with the PWB 2007-08 and the action areas defined in the *Action-oriented Policy Paper*, the Task Team is focusing on strengthening the inter-linkages between human rights and three areas: aid effectiveness, conflict prevention/peace building and poverty reduction.

26. Work on human rights and aid effectiveness is focusing on further empirical substantiation of the potential for mutual reinforcement of both areas and identification and use of entry points into preparations for the September 2008 High-Level Forum on Aid Effectiveness. To this end, the Task Team is commissioning a study on the inter-linkages and synergies between human rights and aid effectiveness to improve development outcomes in the health sector. It will zero in on practical examples of (i) analytical contributions based on the human rights framework; (ii) how using human rights instruments may foster improved health outcomes through strengthening accountability at a number of levels; and (iii) examine how the *Paris Declaration's* key principle of ownership may be strengthened by using key human rights principles in the definition of national priorities in the health sector, and in establishing process and outcome indicators and benchmarks for the design and evaluation of health sector strategies and plans. Furthermore, the Task Team is contributing to the DFID-led "Aid Effectiveness Evidence Gathering Project on Gender Equality, Human Rights and Social Exclusion".

27. In its joint work with members of the DAC Network on Conflict, Peace and Development Co-operation (CPDC), the Task Team is currently working on 'Programming Notes' that illustrate how much can be gained by linking human rights and conflict prevention, peace and security strategies to achieve greater coherence and impact on the ground and how this can be done in practice. The themes for these papers are transitional justice; children in armed violence; demobilisation and reintegration; and service delivery in fragile states from an economic and social rights perspective. A stocktaking of field experience in 3-4 countries will help lay the empirical foundation of this work.

28. Human rights and poverty is the most recently instituted work stream. Different ideas are still being debated, but there are several areas at the human rights-poverty interface where significant foundational work has already been done (including in agencies represented on the Human Rights Task Team), and the key question remaining is one of applying this fruitfully to the DAC context to establish more common ground between the human rights and pro-poor economic agendas. Possible synergies with current work of the DAC Network on Poverty Reduction (POVNET) are another consideration.

29. Finally, the Human Rights Task Team is involved in the preparation of the February 2008 GOVNET conference on governance assessment (see para 52-54) where human rights assessment will feature as a special thematic area to be looked into.

30. During the discussion, members acknowledged the high quality of the Human Rights Task Team's work and stressed the importance of the systematic linkages that it has established to the aid effectiveness agenda and the key principles of the Paris Declaration. The joint work with the CPDC was particularly welcomed for the selection of highly relevant issues and as an example of how work can be linked with other groups and to their priorities. Several participants suggested this model should be

extended to the Fragile States Group (FSG), in particular to its work on state-building, and expressed the hope that such collaboration would continue after the merger of CPDC and FSG.

31. New outputs of the human rights work streams will be presented to the GOVNET at the network's next meeting in the summer of 2008. The next meeting of the Human Rights Task Team is tentatively scheduled for March/April 2008 in New York.

#### **Item 4: Governance codes**

32. The Chair of the Informal Task Team (Mr. Christian Gruenhagen, Germany) and the Secretariat (Mr. Sebastian Bartsch) presented for discussion a proposal for a new governance code structure and informed participants about the work conducted since the last GOVNET meeting. They emphasized the excellent working relationship established with the DAC Working Party on Statistics and its branch of the Secretariat, which is key for the success of this work. They reiterated why an update of the codes is important, what the main shortcomings and gaps of the current system are, and they explained the improvements that the proposed new code structure could deliver. To frame the discussion, they drew attention to specific challenges on which the Informal Task Team seeks the GOVNET's advice, including how to deal with anti-corruption in a new code structure and whether a code for migration should be included in the new governance codes.

33. Participants welcomed the broad direction of this work as well as the basic outline and the level of detail of the proposed new code structure. It was pointed out that re-checking of some of the proposed codes with regard to coverage and completeness aspects is essential. GOVNET members also regarded the convertibility between old and new codes and a further improvement and refinement of the clarifications as key. In this connection, Mr. John O'Grady (Ireland) informed participants that some work has already been invested in the development of an easy-to-use convertibility scheme.

34. Covering anti-corruption appropriately was seen by many as the biggest challenge since it spans a number of governance themes. Many members were in favour of a marker solution. However, the Secretariat (Mrs. Valérie Gaveau) pointed out that the experience with existing markers (PD/GG, Gender) is not encouraging, that members have difficulties with reporting on the markers and the WP-STAT would definitely reject the introduction of another marker. Members therefore supported as a second-best solution the Informal Task Team's proposal to introduce a code for anti-corruption in the public sector pillar of the new code structure. The creation of a code for ODA spent on activities related to migration – as suggested by the European Commission – did not attract consensus.

35. Participants shared the Informal Task Team's opinion that a clear line needs to be drawn between the new governance codes and the security-related codes, especially between the areas of legal and judicial development in the governance codes on the one hand, and security system reform in the security-related codes on the other. The Secretariat (Ms. Patti O'Neill) stated that the solution foreseen for the code related to gender equality was not satisfactory, the issue not being the content of the code but its placement in the new structure. (The original suggestion was to put it into the human rights pillar.) It was agreed that the Secretariat will develop ideas to accommodate both issues.

36. As to the further process, GOVNET members agreed to the suggestion contained in the room document: they will be approached once more early in January with a refined formal proposal, asked for final comments and then for approval on a lapse of time basis. The Informal Task Team will then present this endorsed proposal for discussion at an informal meeting of the WP-STAT scheduled for end of February or early March. Final WP-STAT approval will be sought in mid-2008.

**Item 5: GOVNET work programme 2009-10**

37. The Secretariat (Mrs. Alexandra Trzeciak-Duval) introduced the item and explained the context, including the current DAC evaluation, in which the DAC Programme of Work and Budget (PWB) 2009-10 is being planned. The GOVNET's DAC Facilitator (Mr. Anton Stadler, Switzerland) highlighted the possible implications of enhanced outreach to non-DAC donors and civil society organizations. The Secretariat (Mr. Ben Dickinson) reminded participants of the GOVNET's strengths (in particular its strong link to aid effectiveness issues and its convening authority) and limitations (e.g. that the GOVNET is not the right place for conducting original research). In considering the future work programme, the importance of a balance between deepening current work streams and new perspectives and themes was emphasized, as was coherence between GOVNET work streams.

38. In the discussion, the need for more collective action, a focus on frontier areas and strong links to major DAC priorities (especially aid effectiveness) were identified as key criteria for GOVNET work proposals. All participants acknowledged the progress being made by the GOVNET and the need for continuity and deepening of current work found broad support. This applied in particular to the strongly institutionalized work on anti-corruption and human rights.

39. With regard to potential areas for new GOVNET work, many participants expressed a strong interest in a cluster of related concerns including the support of institutions and principles of democracy, parliaments, political parties, pluralism, federalism, the inclusion of minorities and state-society relations. In this connection, stronger links between the GOVNET and the Fragile States Group's work on state-building would be welcomed. Proposals with less widespread GOVNET support included: governance aspects of climate change, governance at the sector level, local governance and decentralization, public sector reform, global drivers of bad governance and work on taxation beyond 2008.

40. The Secretariat (Mr. Ben Dickinson) summarized the discussion and clustered the ideas and views expressed by participants into three potential GOVNET work proposals:

- Continuation and deepening of work on anti-corruption to further advance collective donor action and respond to emerging challenges.
- Continuation and deepening of work on human rights to further progress to a practical focus and country-level influence.
- New work on aid to support domestic accountability, including elements of the democratisation agenda, key institutions such as parliaments and political parties, state-society relations, the media. Although there was no appetite to maintain a Task Team focused narrowly on taxation issues, the insights from the taxation and governance work in 2007-08 would feed into this new proposal.

41. On governance at the sector level, Mr. Dickinson reminded participants of the DAC's reluctance to enter into detailed sector work. However, a focus on health as a 'tracer sector' for aid effectiveness as part of preparations for the September 2008 High-Level Forum on Aid Effectiveness in Accra, could provide entry points for a governance perspective. A meeting on this topic held the day before the next GOVNET meeting may be feasible and the same approach could be taken to climate change and governance. With regard to governance assessment, the conclusion was that the GOVNET should neither form a dedicated work stream nor spend scarce resources on it, but it could continue to host discussions.

42. The Chair agreed that based on the GOVNET's discussion, the Secretariat develop three draft proposals by the end of November, presented in the format of the template contained in *DCD/DIR(2007)16* to be reviewed by GOVNET members prior to submission.

#### **Item 6: Network management**

43. The Secretariat (Mrs. Alexandra Trzeciak-Duval) presented the current budget of the GOVNET. All in all, the GOVNET had an estimated budget of € 708.000 in 2007/2008 and is still in a satisfactory financial situation now.

#### **Item 7: GOVNET contributions to the 3<sup>rd</sup> High-Level Forum on Aid Effectiveness (Accra, 2-4 September 2008)**

44. The Secretariat (Mrs. Alexandra Trzeciak-Duval and Mr. Hubert de Milly) gave an overview on where preparations for the Accra High-Level Forum (HLF) currently stand, on the structure of the HLF and the further process leading to Accra. The immediate task for the GOVNET was to agree on what it would highlight as its possible contributions to Accra in a concise note providing a short update on its work to implement the Paris agenda – including expected objective, output and timing – to be sent by 25 October to the Chair of the Working Party on Aid Effectiveness for discussion and feedback at the WP-EFF in November.

45. In the discussion, there was broad agreement that all current GOVNET work streams have a lot to offer for Accra. While many stressed that governance is an issue cross-cutting the entire aid effectiveness agenda (although only sporadically mentioned in the Paris Declaration), ownership and mutual accountability were identified as those key principles of the Paris Declaration that would probably benefit most from insights of GOVNET work. Some participants explicitly cautioned against overburdening the Paris Declaration and forgetting about what Ministers, especially from partner countries, would see as priorities. There was demand for more detailed information about the process of Accra preparations, in particular on the format and substantive material for the roundtables.

46. It was agreed that based on the discussion the Secretariat will prepare a draft of the requested concise note by Tuesday 23 October. Members will have 24 hours to comment so that the document can be finalised in time to meet the 25 October deadline.

#### **Item 8: Outreach and dialogue with non-DAC donors**

47. The Secretariat (Mr. Brian Hammond) gave an introductory overview of the dialogue with non-members in which the DAC has increasingly engaged in the last three years. A particular focus is on the 'BRICS' (Brazil, Russia, India, China and South Africa) and their growing role as emerging donors. Objectives of this work are to improve information on non-DAC donors' aid programmes, strengthen co-operation in selected areas such as aid statistics, facilitate convergence of good donor practices and develop a stable institutional arrangement in which a permanent policy dialogue would contribute to improved international aid effectiveness. The fact that representatives of the BRICS will for the first time participate in parts of the DAC Senior Level Meeting in December 2007 marks a new milestone in this regard.

48. Further significant developments are the decision of the 2007 OECD Ministerial Council Meeting on accession and enhanced engagement of non-members and that the OECD is, at the G-8's request, providing a platform to carry out a dialogue between the G-8 and the so-called 'O-5' countries (Brazil, China, India, Mexico and South Africa) to address key policy issues in the areas of investment, innovation, development co-operation (particularly in Africa) and energy efficiency. As a consequence, DAC outreach will be further developed and embedded within the broader OECD frameworks for these three processes.

49. Without being prescriptive on the modalities of implementation, the DAC expects its subsidiary bodies to advance outreach in the context of their work. This is why the GOVNET discussed how it wants to respond to this new challenge, including questions such as (i) the importance and suitability of governance as a topic for dialogue with non-DAC donors; (ii) how the GOVNET can contribute to future DAC dialogue events (such as the September 2007 DAC policy dialogue in Seoul); (iii) whether the GOVNET wants to create its own specific occasions for dialogue with non-DAC donors on governance issues; (iv) whether it wants to give non-DAC donors a role (systematic or occasional) in GOVNET meetings and its Task Teams' work and what such a role should entail.

50. In the discussion, participants agreed that the GOVNET would need to be pragmatic and find its own way of responding to the challenge of outreach. It was stressed that it would take time to develop fruitful relationships. On the importance and desirability of GOVNET outreach to non-DAC donors different views were articulated. Some members questioned the suitability of governance as a topic for dialogue because of its political delicacy. By contrast, others drew the meeting's attention to the valuable experience that certain countries have made on their development paths and how this could enrich the GOVNET's work. This would include issues such as the "capable state", means to reduce corruption and creation of an enabling investment climate. It was also suggested that, in view of the heterogeneity of the BRICS, the GOVNET could identify themes of relevance to individual non-DAC donors and engage selectively with them on that basis. Collaboration with South Africa on taxation was mentioned as a case in point, but also a possible outreach event in Asia on democracy issues or even a series of events on a range of governance themes. The World Bank referred to the possibilities of using videoconferencing and new technical tools for outreach and offered to assist the GOVNET in engaging virtually with these countries through the Bank's Global Development Learning Network (GDLN).

51. In conclusion, it was agreed that more clarity from members is still needed about what they want the GOVNET to do, topics of common interest and the creative use of modern technologies for outreach. As practical next step, the Secretariat will invite further comments and ideas from members when circulating the draft summary record.

#### **Item 9: Governance assessment**

52. Ms. Mette Gonggrijp (Netherlands) and Mr. Mark Nelson (World Bank Institute), who are both part of the GOVNET's core group on Governance Assessment, provided participants with an update on the GOVNET governance assessment conference to be held on 20-21 February 2008 in London. They explained the expected main outputs of this event – consisting of a survey, a sourcebook and principles for harmonisation – and the process and method to develop them, and indicated how specific thematic issues and assessments (e.g. focusing on corruption, human rights or conflict) might be dealt with in the context of the conference. Ms. Claire Naval (Secretariat) referred to the links with work of the OECD-hosted Metagora project.

53. Participants commended the group for their work and accomplishments. Issues that were raised in the discussion for further consideration related, *inter alia*, to the role of the specific thematic issues (some saw a risk of overloading the agenda), how work on governance assessment could be taken forward beyond the London conference and in particular the potential usefulness of the expected outputs for the Accra High-Level Forum on Aid Effectiveness. With regard to the agenda and guiding questions for the conference, a focus on 'why and how' governance assessments are conducted as well as on principles for harmonisation of governance assessments was seen as particularly promising.

54. To further advance preparations, it was agreed that selected members of the GOVNET will be contacted to provide information related to governance assessments for the survey. The core group will

meet at the end of December 2007 or early in January 2008. GOVNET members will be updated regularly on the evolution of the project.

#### **Item 10: Capacity development**

55. The Secretariat (Mr. Sebastian Bartsch) updated GOVNET members on recent decisions of the DAC concerning work on capacity development (CD). These include a revision of the GOVNET's mandate and the creation of a process of horizontal learning across CD work streams. Being no longer in the lead for overall CD work, the GOVNET is now dealing with CD only to the extent that governance aspects are concerned. CD work identified in the PWB 2007-08 (on Indicator 4 of the Paris Declaration, disaggregation of technical co-operation statistics and CD in fragile states) is taken forward in the relevant subsidiary bodies of the DAC. The DAC itself now takes on overall responsibility for CD.

56. Building on the wealth of ongoing CD work in the DAC and various parts of the OECD, a new process of horizontal learning across CD work streams will give CD greater visibility and a higher profile in the DAC and in its relations to other bodies in the OECD. It will facilitate the generation and co-ordination of CD-related input into the September 2008 High-Level Forum on Aid Effectiveness as well as lesson learning by linking different strands of ongoing CD work to the 2006 guidance *The Challenge of Capacity Development: Working towards Good Practice*. The main expected outputs of this work are a report combining a structured overview of CD work in the DAC with a casebook-type presentation of lessons and emerging good practices (early 2009), an interim report for the Accra HLF and a lesson learning workshop as part of Accra preparations.

57. The Secretariat (Ms. Outi Honkatukia and Mr. Ben Dickinson) informed participants about the recently launched Partnership for Democratic Governance (PDG) for which an Advisory Unit is being created at the OECD. The PDG's main idea is the effective delivery of key government services and functions in partner countries on an interim basis – whilst building capacity and accountability – through contracting out to external actors. Founding members are Australia, Brazil, Canada, Chile, Denmark, Japan, Korea, Mexico, New Zealand, Poland, Turkey, the United States, OECD, UNDP, OAS, and IADB. The Advisory Unit's functions will be to assess and analyse partner country needs, advise on solutions, act as a clearing house matching demand with suppliers and advise on contracting. It will not be a direct supplier of personnel, nor a financier for contracted services. A PDG information event for senior development officials will be held in conjunction with the DAC Senior Level Meeting in December. The first PDG Steering Group meeting, chaired by the OECD Secretary-General, will be held on 28-29 January 2008 in Paris.

#### **Conclusions from the Chair**

58. The Chair drew together the highlights of the two days, generally underlining that the work delivered by the GOVNET so far has had very good results and delivers an increasingly important output. Regarding the work programme 2009-10, members and Secretariat will be in close touch over the next weeks and months in order to ensure transparency. Furthermore, the meeting has shown the increasing synergies between GOVNET and other DAC sub-bodies and parts of the OECD. Last, the GOVNET's work is likely to become even more visible in future, in particular by taking the *Policy Paper on Taxation and Governance* to the DAC and by contributing to the preparation of the Accra High-Level Forum on Aid Effectiveness.

59. The Chair thanked the whole Secretariat for its support and adjourned the meeting to June 2008.

**Participants list for the DAC Network on Governance (GOVNET)/  
Liste des participants pour le Réseau du CAD sur la gouvernance (GOVNET)**

**18-19/10/2007**

**Chair/Président**

**Mr. Eduard WESTREICHER**

*Head of Division*

*Governance, Democracy, Human Rights, Gender  
Federal Ministry for Economic Cooperation and  
Development (BMZ)*

*Email: [eduard.westreicher@bmz.bund.de](mailto:eduard.westreicher@bmz.bund.de)*

**Belgium/Belgique**

**Mme Carine PETIT**

*Counsellor, Governance issues*

*Direction Development Cooperation*

*Ministry of Foreign Affairs, Development  
Cooperation and Foreign Trade*

*Email: [Carine.Petit@diplobel.fed.be](mailto:Carine.Petit@diplobel.fed.be)*

**Canada/Canada**

**M. Tarik Ali KHAN**

*Director,*

*Democratic Institutions and Conflict Division*

*Policy Branch*

*Canadian International Development Agency  
(CIDA)*

*Email: [Tarik\\_khan@acdi-cida.gc.ca](mailto:Tarik_khan@acdi-cida.gc.ca)*

**Ms. Sophie LAM**

*Analyst Governance*

*Canadian International Development Agency  
(CIDA)*

*Email: [sophie\\_lam@acdi-cida.gc.ca](mailto:sophie_lam@acdi-cida.gc.ca)*

**Denmark/Danemark**

**Ms. Mille DOLLNER**

*Head of section Department for Development  
Policy, Ministry of Foreign Affairs*

*Email: [mildolm.dk](mailto:mildolm.dk)*

**Mr. Ivan NIELSEN**

*Adviser, Human rights and democratisation*

*Ministry of Foreign Affairs*

*Email: [ivaniem.dk](mailto:ivaniem.dk)*

**Finland/Finlande**

**Ms. Sari LEHTIRANTA**

*Counsellor*

*Permanent Delegation*

*Email: [sari.lehtiranta@formin.fi](mailto:sari.lehtiranta@formin.fi)*

**France/France**

**Mr. Michel BLANQUART**

*DGCID – DPDEV/G/GFI  
Ministère des Affaires Etrangères et européennes  
Email : michel.blanquart@diplomatie.gouv.fr*

**Mme Violette DE VILLEMEUR**

*Ministère des Affaires étrangères et européennes  
Sous Directrice gouvernance démocratique  
Email : violette.devillemeur@diplomatie.gouv.fr*

**M. Richard TESTA**

*Ministère des Affaires étrangères et européennes  
DGC10 – DPDEV/G/GFI  
Email : richard.testa@diplomatie.gouv.fr*

**M. Serge GRAZIANI**

*Ministère des Affaires étrangères et européennes  
Direction des politiques de développement  
Sous direction de la gouvernance,  
Chargé de mission  
Email: [serge.graziani@diplomatie.gouv.fr](mailto:serge.graziani@diplomatie.gouv.fr)*

**Germany/Allemagne**

**Dr. Frédéric BOEHM**

*GTZ  
Email: frederic.boehm@gtz.de*

**Mr. Matthias WITT**

*Division 42, State and democracy  
GTZ  
Email: matthias.witt@gtz.de*

**Mr. Christian GRÜNHAGEN**

*Desk Officer  
Federal Ministry for Economic Cooperation and  
Development (BMZ)  
Email: christian.grunhagen@bmz.bund.de*

**Greece/Grèce**

**Dr. Nike Ekaterini KOUTRAKOU**

*Emergency, Humanitarian and Food aid Director  
Ministry of Foreign Affairs  
Email: n.koutrakou@mfa.gr*

**Mrs. Tskinka PANAGIOTA**

*Counsellor  
Greek Permanent delegation  
Email: g.tsirka@greece-oecd.org*

**Ireland/Irlande**

**Mr. Kevin CARROLL**

*Development Specialist  
Technical Section  
Irish Aid  
Email: kevin.carroll@dfa.ie*

**Italy/Italie**

**Miss Giuliana URSO**

*Trainee/Stagiaire  
Italian Permanent Representation to the OECD  
Email: stagista2.ocse@esteri.it*

**Japan/Japon**

**Mme Yoko KAMADA**

*Policy Analyst  
Japan International Cooperation Agency (JICA)  
Email: yokokamada.fr@jica.go.jp*

**Mr. Yoshihiro TAKAGIRI**

*First Secretary  
Permanent delegation  
Email: katagiri@deljp-ocde.fr*

**Korea/Corée**

**Mr. Noh Wan PARK**

*Counsellor  
Permanent delegation  
Email: nwpark90@mofat.go.kr*

**Mr. Chusok YOON**

*First Secretary  
Permanent delegation  
Email: csyoon98@mofat.go.kr*

**Mexico/Mexique**

**M Neydi CRUZ**

*Permanent delegation  
Email: cneydi@delgamexocde.org*

**Netherlands/Pays-Bas**

**Mrs. Mette GONGGRIJP**

*Senior Policy Advisor  
Ministry of Foreign Affairs  
Email: mette.gonggrijp@minbuza.nl*

**Norway/Norvège**

**Mr. Lornts FINANGER**

*Senior Adviser  
Norad  
Email: Lornts.finanger@norad.no*

**Spain/Espagne**

**Mr. Miguel Angel LOMBARDO CHICO**

*Governance Advisor  
Ministry of foreign affairs and cooperation  
Email: colaboradores.mlc@mae.es*

**Sweden/Suède**

**Mrs. Ulrika FUNERED**

*Deputy Director  
Ministry for Foreign Affairs  
Email: [ulrika.funered@sida.se](mailto:ulrika.funered@sida.se)*

**Mr. Mikael BOSTROM**

*Head of Division  
Swedish International Development Cooperation  
Agency, SIDA  
Email: [mikael.bostrom@sida.se](mailto:mikael.bostrom@sida.se)*

**Switzerland/Suisse**

**Ms. Anne LUGON-MOULIN**

*Deputy Head  
Governance Division  
Swiss Agency for Development and Cooperation  
(SDC)  
Email: [anne.lugon-moulin@deza.admin.ch](mailto:anne.lugon-moulin@deza.admin.ch)*

**Mr. Toni STADLER**

*DAC facilitator  
Email : [Toni.stadler@eda.admin.ch](mailto:Toni.stadler@eda.admin.ch)*

**United Kingdom/Royaume-Uni**

**Mr. Julius COURT**

*Governance Adviser  
Department for International Development (DFID)  
Email: [julius-court@dfid.gov.uk](mailto:julius-court@dfid.gov.uk)*

**Dr. Mark ROBINSON**

*Head of Profession, Governance and Conflict  
DFID Department of international development  
Email: [mark-robinson@dfid.gov.uk](mailto:mark-robinson@dfid.gov.uk)*

**EC/CE**

**Mr. Thierry PIETRZIAK**

*Policy Office, DG Development  
Governance, migration and pan-african relations  
Email: [thierry.pietrziak@ec.europa.eu](mailto:thierry.pietrziak@ec.europa.eu)*

**Mr. Pierre HENNEBERT**

*Europe Aid co-operation Office - Governance,  
Human rights and Gender  
Email: [pierre.hennebert@ec.europa.eu](mailto:pierre.hennebert@ec.europa.eu)*

**African Development Bank/Banque africaine de développement**

**Dr. Carlos SANTISO**

*Manager, Governance Division  
Governance, Economic and Financial Management  
Department  
African Development Bank  
Email: [c.santiso@afdb.org](mailto:c.santiso@afdb.org)*

**Asian Development Bank (ADB)/Banque asiatique de développement (ADB)**

**Ms. Kathleen MOKTAN** *Director, Capacity Development and Governance Division  
Regional and Sustainable Development Department  
Asian Development Bank  
Email: [kmoktan@adb.org](mailto:kmoktan@adb.org)*

**UNDP/PNUD**

**M. Philliat MATSHEZA** *Policy Advisor Anti-Corruption  
Email: [phil.matsheza@undp.org](mailto:phil.matsheza@undp.org)*

**World Bank/Banque mondiale**

**Ms. Michele DE NEVERS** *Director, Capacity Development and Thematic Programs  
The World Bank  
Email: [mdenevers@worldbank.org](mailto:mdenevers@worldbank.org)*

**Mr. Mark NELSON** *Program Manager  
Capacity Enhancement Resource Center  
The World Bank  
Email: [mnelson@worldbank.org](mailto:mnelson@worldbank.org)*

**Mr. Sanjay PRADHAN** *Director, Public Sector Governance  
Poverty Reduction and Economic Management Network  
The World Bank  
Email: [spradhan@worldbank.org](mailto:spradhan@worldbank.org)*

**Dr. Siobhan MCINERNEY-LANKFORD** *Counsel  
ESSD & International Law, Legal Department  
Email: [smcinerney@worldbank.org](mailto:smcinerney@worldbank.org)*

**OECD/OCDE**

**Mr. Steve GROFF** *Deputy Director  
DCD  
Email: [stephen.groff@oecd.org](mailto:stephen.groff@oecd.org)*

**Mr. Brian HAMMON** *Counsellor to the Director  
DCD  
Email: [brian.hammon@oecd.org](mailto:brian.hammon@oecd.org)*

**Ms. Alexandra TRZECIAK-DUVAL** *Head of Division  
DCD/POL  
Email: [Alexandra.TRZECIAK-DUVAL@oecd.org](mailto:Alexandra.TRZECIAK-DUVAL@oecd.org)*

**Mr. Sebastian BARTSCH** *Administrator (Network on Governance GOVNET)  
DCD/POL  
Email: [Sebastian.BARTSCH@oecd.org](mailto:Sebastian.BARTSCH@oecd.org)*

**Mr. Benjamin DICKINSON**

*Principal Administrator  
(Unit Head: Governance, Peace and Security)  
DCD/POL  
Email: [Ben.DICKINSON@oecd.org](mailto:Ben.DICKINSON@oecd.org)*

**Mme Bathylle MISSIKA**

*Administrator (Network on Governance GOVNET)  
DCD/POL  
Email: [Bathylle.MISSIKA@oecd.org](mailto:Bathylle.MISSIKA@oecd.org)*

**Ms. Alexandra JONAS**

*DCD/POL  
Email: [alexandra.jonas@oecd.org](mailto:alexandra.jonas@oecd.org)*

**Mr. Hubert DE MILLY**

*DCD/EFF  
Email: [hubert.demilly@oecd.org](mailto:hubert.demilly@oecd.org)*

**Mr. Jens SEDEMUND**

*DCD/PEER  
Email: [jens.sedemund@oecd.org](mailto:jens.sedemund@oecd.org)*

**Mme Valérie GAVEAU**

*Statistician, DCD/STAT  
Email: [valerie.gaveau@oecd.org](mailto:valerie.gaveau@oecd.org)*

**Mr. Raul SUAREZ**

*METAGORA, DCD/PARIS 21  
Email: [raul.suarez@oecd.org](mailto:raul.suarez@oecd.org)*

**Mme Sylvie WALTER**

*METAGORA, DCD/PARIS 21  
Email: [sylvie.walter@oecd.org](mailto:sylvie.walter@oecd.org)*

**Mme Claire NAVAL**

*METAGORA, DCD/PARIS 21  
Email: [claire.naval@oecd.org](mailto:claire.naval@oecd.org)*

**Mr. Patrick MOULETTE**

*Head of Division, DAF/ACD  
Email : [Patrick.moulette@oecd.org](mailto:Patrick.moulette@oecd.org)*

**Mr. Joachim POHL**

*DAF/ACD  
Email : [Joachim.pohl@oecd.org](mailto:Joachim.pohl@oecd.org)*

**Mr. Richard PARRY**

*Head of Unit, CTP  
Email : [Richard.parry@oecd.org](mailto:Richard.parry@oecd.org)*

**OECD Development Centre/Centre de développement**

**Mr. Charles OMAN**

*Email : [Charles.oman@oecd.org](mailto:Charles.oman@oecd.org)*

**OTHER**

**IDEA**

**Ms. Ingrid WETTERQVIST**

*Director*

*Governance and external relations*

*Email: i.wetterqvist@idea.int*

**Ms. Kulan AMIN**

**Transparency International /Transparency International**

*Global Programmes*

*Transparency International*

*Email: kamin@online.no*