

Unclassified

DAF/COMP/GF/WD(2006)36



Organisation de Coopération et de Développement Economiques
Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development

06-Mar-2006

English - Or. English

**DIRECTORATE FOR FINANCIAL AND ENTERPRISE AFFAIRS
COMPETITION COMMITTEE**

Cancels & replaces the same document of 03 February 2006

Global Forum on Competition

EVALUATION QUESTIONNAIRE

Please return this evaluation questionnaire to the Secretariat at the end of the Forum (Thursday 9 February 2006).

JT03205054

Document complet disponible sur OLIS dans son format d'origine
Complete document available on OLIS in its original format

DAF/COMP/GF/WD(2006)36
Unclassified

English - Or. English

GLOBAL FORUM ON COMPETITION

8-9 February 2006

Purpose of the Questionnaire

The OECD's Global Forum on Competition (GFC) was launched in October 2001, with the purpose of bringing together high-level competition officials from around the world for policy dialogue, sharing of experiences and development/dissemination of best practices on topics of global relevance in competition law and policy. Since that time, the concept of the GFC has assumed a stronger development dimension and the level of attention that is being given to international competition issues has intensified. In addition, the GFC is increasingly being considered to be a natural place for developing and transitioning economies to benefit from the type of peer review process that has been conducted in the Competition Committee for several years. In this dynamic context, which has been accentuated by the emergence or increased activity of other international and regional groups in the field of competition law and policy, it is particularly important to obtain feedback from GFC participants regarding the sixth meeting of the GFC and future directions.

A significant theme coming out of the replies to the evaluation questionnaires circulated at the last two meetings of the GFC, and informal comments provided verbally by participants at these meetings, was that the GFC ought to pursue the breakout session model which was highly appreciated. In this year's meeting we have again breakout sessions and we are particularly keen to know your views as to whether we have met your expectations.

Your evaluation will be highly appreciated because of its great value for the future of the OECD's work in the competition policy area.

Respondent

Name: _____

Job title: _____

Institution: _____

Country: _____

Earlier participation in meetings of the Global Forum on Competition

- October 2001
- February 2002
- February 2003
- February 2004
- February 2005
- None

Please return the questionnaire to the blue box in the back of Room No. 1 or to an assistant of the OECD Secretariat at the end of the meeting.

THANK YOU FOR YOUR ASSISTANCE

I. EVALUATION OF THE GFC MEETING ON 8-9 FEBRUARY 2006**I.1 Organisation of the meeting**

(Please read the questions carefully as the points given as answers can indicate different assessment in some cases; e.g. the denotation of 1 is normally positive but under some points it reflects a negative appraisal.)

I.1.1)	Paris was a good location for this meeting	<i>Fully agree</i>			<i>Totally disagree</i>	
		1	2	3	4	5

I.1.2)	The length of the meeting was	<i>Too long</i>	<i>About right</i>	<i>Too short</i>	
		1	2	3	4

I.1.3)	The amount of information made available to participants in advance of the meeting was	<i>Too much</i>	<i>About right</i>	<i>Insufficient</i>	
		1	2	3	4

I.1.4)	The overall amount of documentation made available in connection with the meeting was	<i>Too much</i>	<i>Sufficient</i>	<i>Insufficient</i>	
		1	2	3	4

I.1.5)	The quality of the Secretariat notes was	<i>Excellent</i>	<i>Satisfactory</i>	<i>Unsatisfactory</i>	
		1	2	3	4

I.1.6)	The Breakout Sessions in Session III succeeded in providing sufficient time for interventions and discussion	<i>Fully agree</i>			<i>Totally disagree</i>	
		1	2	3	4	5

I.1.7)	Future meetings of the GFC should include breakout sessions to provide more time for interventions and discussion	<i>Fully agree</i>			<i>Totally disagree</i>	
		1	2	3	4	5

I.1.8)	The time allocated to interventions and discussion in other sessions was	<i>Too much</i>	<i>Sufficient</i>	<i>Insufficient</i>	
		1	2	3	4

I.1.9)	The OECD Secretariat organised the meeting in an effective way	<i>Fully agree</i>			<i>Totally disagree</i>	
		1	2	3	4	5

I.2 Relevance of the meeting

I.2.1)	The themes of the meeting will be useful for me in my job or for the institution I represent	<i>Fully agree</i>			<i>Totally disagree</i>	
		1	2	3	4	5

I.2.2)	The themes of the meeting will benefit my country from a longer term perspective	<i>Fully agree</i>			<i>Totally disagree</i>	
		1	2	3	4	5

I.2.3)	This meeting has given me food for thought on how to improve my country's approach to competition	<i>Fully agree</i>			<i>Totally disagree</i>	
		<i>1</i>	<i>2</i>	<i>3</i>	<i>4</i>	<i>5</i>

I.2.4)	As a result of this meeting, my understanding of approaches in other countries has deepened	<i>Fully agree</i>			<i>Totally disagree</i>	
		<i>1</i>	<i>2</i>	<i>3</i>	<i>4</i>	<i>5</i>

I.2.5)	Which parts of the meeting did you find most useful for your day-to-day work?	<i>Fully agree</i>			<i>Totally disagree</i>	
		<i>1</i>	<i>2</i>	<i>3</i>	<i>4</i>	<i>5</i>

I.3 Individual sessions: interest

Please indicate the extent to which you found the individual sessions to be of interest.

I.3.1)	Roundtable on concessions	<i>Highly Interesting</i>			<i>Not Interesting</i>	
		<i>1</i>	<i>2</i>	<i>3</i>	<i>4</i>	<i>5</i>

I.3.2)	Roundtable on prosecuting cartels without direct evidence of agreement	<i>Highly Interesting</i>			<i>Not Interesting</i>	
		<i>1</i>	<i>2</i>	<i>3</i>	<i>4</i>	<i>5</i>

I.3.3)	Cartel case studies	<i>Highly Interesting</i>			<i>Not Interesting</i>	
		<i>1</i>	<i>2</i>	<i>3</i>	<i>4</i>	<i>5</i>

I.3.4)	Peer review of Chinese Taipei's competition law and policy	<i>Highly Interesting</i>			<i>Not Interesting</i>	
		<i>1</i>	<i>2</i>	<i>3</i>	<i>4</i>	<i>5</i>

I.3.5)	Evaluation and Future Work	<i>Highly Interesting</i>			<i>Not Interesting</i>	
		<i>1</i>	<i>2</i>	<i>3</i>	<i>4</i>	<i>5</i>

I.4 Individual sessions: quality

Please evaluate the overall quality of sessions, considering the presentations, documents and discussion.

I.4.1)	Roundtable on concessions	<i>High</i>				<i>Low</i>
		<i>1</i>	<i>2</i>	<i>3</i>	<i>4</i>	<i>5</i>

I.4.2)	Roundtable on prosecuting cartels without direct evidence of agreement	<i>High</i>				<i>Low</i>
		<i>1</i>	<i>2</i>	<i>3</i>	<i>4</i>	<i>5</i>

I.4.3)	Cartel case studies	<i>High</i>				<i>Low</i>
		<i>1</i>	<i>2</i>	<i>3</i>	<i>4</i>	<i>5</i>

I.4.4)	Peer review of Chinese Taipei's competition law and policy	<i>High</i>				<i>Low</i>
		<i>1</i>	<i>2</i>	<i>3</i>	<i>4</i>	<i>5</i>

I.4.5)	Evaluation and Future Work	<i>High</i>				<i>Low</i>
		<i>1</i>	<i>2</i>	<i>3</i>	<i>4</i>	<i>5</i>

II. COMPARISON BETWEEN THE CURRENT AND EARLIER GFC MEETINGS

(Only for participants who attended earlier meetings of the Global Forum on Competition)

How would you evaluate the current GFC meeting, in comparison with earlier ones, in the following aspects?

<p>II.1. The general organisation of the meeting</p> <p>Please comment:</p>	<p><i>Better</i> <i>Same</i> <i>Worse</i></p> <p><i>1</i> <i>2</i> <i>3</i> <i>4</i> <i>5</i></p>
<p>II.2. The relevance of the topics of the meeting</p> <p>Please comment:</p>	<p><i>Better</i> <i>Same</i> <i>Worse</i></p> <p><i>1</i> <i>2</i> <i>3</i> <i>4</i> <i>5</i></p>
<p>II.3. The quality of preparations by the OECD Secretariat</p> <p>Please comment:</p>	<p><i>Better</i> <i>Same</i> <i>Worse</i></p> <p><i>1</i> <i>2</i> <i>3</i> <i>4</i> <i>5</i></p>
<p>II.4. The quality of inputs from chairs, experts and lead discussants</p> <p>Please comment:</p>	<p><i>Better</i> <i>Same</i> <i>Worse</i></p> <p><i>1</i> <i>2</i> <i>3</i> <i>4</i> <i>5</i></p>
<p>II.5. The quality of inputs from intervenors</p> <p>Please comment:</p>	<p><i>Better</i> <i>Same</i> <i>Worse</i></p> <p><i>1</i> <i>2</i> <i>3</i> <i>4</i> <i>5</i></p>
<p>II.6. The time available for interventions and discussion</p> <p>Please comment:</p>	<p><i>Better</i> <i>Same</i> <i>Worse</i></p> <p><i>1</i> <i>2</i> <i>3</i> <i>4</i> <i>5</i></p>

III. SUGGESTIONS FOR FUTURE WORK OF THE GLOBAL FORUM ON COMPETITION

III.1 Future discussion topics

III.1.1 *I have filled in the form annexed to this questionnaire (Annex 1) by indicating the suggested topics in which my country/authority is interested. In addition, I propose the following topics for future GFC meetings:*

- 1.
- 2.
- 3.
- 4.
- 5.

Comment:

III.2 Organisation of work

III.2.1 The general approach to the work of the GFC is appropriate	<i>Fully agree</i>		<i>Totally disagree</i>	
	<i>1</i>	<i>2</i>	<i>3</i>	<i>4 5</i>
III.2.2 The dissemination of materials of the GFC is appropriate:	<i>Fully agree</i>		<i>Totally disagree</i>	
Comments:	<i>1</i>	<i>2</i>	<i>3</i>	<i>4 5</i>

III.3 The work of the Global Forum on Competition could become more relevant for my work in the following ways

Please specify:

III.4 The quality of the Global Forum on Competition proceedings and its organisation could be improved in the following ways

Please specify:

III.5 Networking among officials

		<i>Fully agree</i>		<i>Totally disagree</i>		
		<i>1</i>	<i>2</i>	<i>3</i>	<i>4</i>	<i>5</i>
III.5.1	The meeting was useful for networking among participants					
III.5.2	The networking possibilities of the meeting could be more profitably exploited the following way:					

III.6 Other comments

Please specify:

GLOBAL FORUM ON COMPETITION
8 – 9 February

GFC Future Topics: Please check the boxes of the topics which you would like to address in future meetings.

International Co-operation	Cooperation among competition authorities, including capacity building and exchanges of non confidential information Regional Competition initiatives	<input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/>
Dominance	Vertical restrictions of competition Abuse of dominance: exclusionary and exploitative practices <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • discrimination (1st and 2nd level) • distributional agreements: relationship between collusion (vertical) and abuse of dominance Should discrimination of prices between export and local buyers be a concern for competition authorities? Abuse of dominant position in distribution and in railway Essential facilities: access to networks/abuse of dominance (exclusionary practices) Buying power of distributors; effects on providers and consumers	<input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/>
Mergers	Operations of mergers and acquisitions Public interest as defense in merger cases Evaluation of efficiency gains in merger cases Merger control in regulated industries (particularly, telecom and banking services markets) Cases study on merger control	<input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/>

	Merger control and review	<input type="checkbox"/>
	Conditional mergers and monitoring of ex-post conducts	<input type="checkbox"/>
Efficiency	Relevance of efficiency in competition concerns	<input type="checkbox"/>
Regulation/ Liberalisation	Competition in regulated sectors	<input type="checkbox"/>
	Regulatory reform	<input type="checkbox"/>
	Deregulation of local services and competition	<input type="checkbox"/>
	Telecom regulation and competition law	<input type="checkbox"/>
	Regulation and development of competition in telecommunication sector – Joint forum for competition and regulatory organs	<input type="checkbox"/>
	Competition in the banking sector	<input type="checkbox"/>
	Merger control in regulated industries (particularly, telecom and banking services markets)	<input type="checkbox"/>
	Regulatory capture in sectors such as: telecom, energy, transport ..., Country experiences	<input type="checkbox"/>
Intellectual property	Interface between competition policy and intellectual property rights	<input type="checkbox"/>
	• healthcare and pharmaceuticals	<input type="checkbox"/>
	• food: agro-processing & biotechnology	<input type="checkbox"/>
Designing the agency and the law	Agency design	<input type="checkbox"/>
	How to establish an efficient competition enforcement agency?	<input type="checkbox"/>
	Tools and techniques for competition enforcement	<input type="checkbox"/>
	Model competition law for developing countries	<input type="checkbox"/>
Competition and Consumer Policies	Issues at the interface between Competition and Consumer Policies	<input type="checkbox"/>

Note. The 2006 GFC Agenda addresses the suggestions made at the 2005 GFC on cartels and concessions